



## Journal of Knowledge Management

Better knowledge with social media? Exploring the roles of social capital and organizational knowledge management

Pratyush Bharati, Wei Zhang, Abhijit Chaudhury,

### Article information:

To cite this document:

Pratyush Bharati, Wei Zhang, Abhijit Chaudhury, (2015) "Better knowledge with social media? Exploring the roles of social capital and organizational knowledge management", Journal of Knowledge Management, Vol. 19 Issue: 3, pp.456-475, <https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-11-2014-0467>

Permanent link to this document:

<https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-11-2014-0467>

Downloaded on: 05 October 2018, At: 00:50 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 82 other documents.

To copy this document: [permissions@emeraldinsight.com](mailto:permissions@emeraldinsight.com)

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 3751 times since 2015\*

### Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2013), "Customer knowledge management via social media: the case of Starbucks", Journal of Knowledge Management, Vol. 17 Iss 2 pp. 237-249 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/13673271311315196">https://doi.org/10.1108/13673271311315196</a>

(2015), "The role of organizational culture in the knowledge management process", Journal of Knowledge Management, Vol. 19 Iss 3 pp. 433-455 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-08-2014-0353">https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-08-2014-0353</a>

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:320271 []

### For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit [www.emeraldinsight.com/authors](http://www.emeraldinsight.com/authors) for more information.

### About Emerald [www.emeraldinsight.com](http://www.emeraldinsight.com)

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

\*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

# Better knowledge with social media?

## Exploring the roles of social capital and organizational knowledge management

Pratyush Bharati, Wei Zhang and Abhijit Chaudhury



Pratyush Bharati and Wei Zhang are both based at Management Science and Information Systems Department, University of Massachusetts, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. Abhijit Chaudhury is a Professor based at Department of Computer Information Systems, Bryant University, Rhode Island, USA.

### Abstract

**Purpose** – The purpose of this paper is to explore social media's impact on organizational knowledge quality through the theoretical lens of social capital and resource exchange.

**Design/methodology/approach** – This is a theory-confirming, quantitative study using panel data collected through a Web-based survey.

**Findings** – The results show that while social media affects structural capital and cognitive capital directly, it only affects relational capital indirectly through structural and cognitive capital. Moreover, overall social media and the enhanced social capital do help promote organizational efforts in knowledge management, which subsequently leads to a higher level of organizational knowledge quality.

**Research limitations/implications** – All survey respondents were from the USA, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. The authors also call for more research in establishing the time sequence in the proposed causal relations and in the individual-level mechanism through which social media promotes organizational knowledge quality.

**Practical implications** – This study highlights both the potential and limitations of social media in promoting organizational knowledge management. Businesses must consciously manage the assimilation and use of social media to benefit from them.

**Originality/value** – The authors position the study at the intersection of social media, social capital and knowledge management and explicate how social media work through social capital and organizational knowledge management efforts to affect knowledge quality.

**Keywords** Social capital, Social media, Knowledge management, Knowledge quality

**Paper type** Research paper

### 1. Introduction

Organizations are investing in social media for communicating with customers, promoting employee collaborations and integrating with partners and suppliers (Chui *et al.*, 2012; Bughin *et al.*, 2011). There has been plenty of research on the effect of social media, especially on marketing and corporate communication (e.g. see recent special issue, Duan, 2013). However, to the extent that firms and individuals are increasingly using social media explicitly or implicitly for knowledge sharing (Bughin *et al.*, 2012), there are very few studies on social media's contribution in enhancing organizational knowledge. In this paper, the authors report a study that attempts to fill this gap by investigating the impact of social media on organizational knowledge quality. For this purpose, the study adapted and further developed Tsai and Ghoshal's (1998) seminal framework on social capital to serve as the theoretical base.

That knowledge is a strategically important resource for sustainable competitive advantage in the economy has long been recognized and acknowledged (Teece, 1998). This notion of the strategic importance of knowledge is partly built on the resource-based theory of the firm (Barney, 1991), which holds that valuable, rare, imperfectly imitable and non-substitutable resources lead to sustainable competitive advantage. Knowledge owned

Received 12 November 2014  
Revised 1 March 2015  
3 March 2015  
Accepted 4 March 2015

University of Massachusetts  
and College of Management  
Joint Research Grant.


by organizations can exactly be such a resource (Grant, 1996; Teece, 1998). The past two decades have witnessed firms proactively engaging in knowledge management hoping to improve performance through better management of what they know. In their knowledge management efforts, organizations have always tried to take full advantage of what information technologies (IT) can offer. In fact, nowadays it is hard to imagine a knowledge management initiative that is completely technology-free (Hansen *et al.*, 1999; Joshi *et al.*, 2010).

One recent IT that has been particularly popular for knowledge management is social media. Social media are Web 2.0 technologies that allow people to produce and share user-generated content (O'Reilly, 2007). They enable organizations to connect with their customers, suppliers and vendors in novel ways and a timely manner (Kietzmann *et al.*, 2011). Social media assimilation by organizations has seen exponential growth, with technologies such as blogs, Facebook and LinkedIn becoming widely adopted by organizations (Bharati *et al.*, 2014). According to the 2011 McKinsey survey, around 70 per cent of the organizations use social technologies such as social networking and blogs to increase speed to access knowledge and around 50 per cent use the social technologies to increase speed to access experts (Bughin *et al.*, 2012). As organizations increasingly use social media for knowledge management (Paroutis and Al Saleh, 2009), researchers are calling for more research in this area (von Krogh, 2012; Panahi *et al.*, 2013). This paper answers this call by exploring the influences of social media on social capital and organizational knowledge management and subsequently their influence on knowledge quality at the organizational level. To be more specific, it investigates the role of organizational social capital as a result of social media-based external connections that can aid the quality of the firm's overall knowledge stock, focusing on the central role played by organizational emphasis on knowledge management.

The authors attempt to make two contributions to the literature with this study. First, this study focuses on the quality of organizational knowledge. Traditionally, knowledge management research was more about how to increase the volume of organizational knowledge stock (Rafaelli and LaRose, 1993; Wasko and Faraj, 2005). As more recent research shows that more knowledge does not necessarily lead to better performance (Levine and Prietula, 2012; Haas and Hansen, 2007), quality of knowledge contributed or transferred is now drawing more research attention (Wasko and Faraj, 2005; Durcikova and Gray, 2009; Chen *et al.*, 2011; Poston and Speier, 2005). This study follows this trend. Second, the authors position the study at the intersection of social media, social capital and knowledge management. The research model adapted and extended the work of Tsai and Ghoshal (1998) to social media and knowledge management. In their work, Tsai and Ghoshal (1998) explicated the three dimensions of social capital – structural, cognitive and relational – and investigated how they affect resource exchange and combination with other firms and ultimately innovations within firms. This study adapted and extended this theoretical model to the management of organizational knowledge in firms by focusing on knowledge exchange and combination – the core of organizational knowledge management initiatives – and subsequently its impact on knowledge quality.

Figure 1 depicts the overall research framework. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: it begins with the theoretical development of the research model and subsequently

**Figure 1** Overall research framework



presents the hypotheses, followed by a description of the survey study that was conducted to assess the research model. Results from the survey study are presented next, along with a discussion of the findings. Considerations of the contributions, limitations and implications of the study for future research conclude the paper.

## 2. Theoretical development

### 2.1 Knowledge management and knowledge quality

For organizations engaging in knowledge management, one of their primary concerns has been the lack of employee participation (Davenport and Prusak, 1998), especially with technology-based solutions. Consequently, research efforts in knowledge management have consistently focused on how to motivate knowledge contributions to increase the volume of organizational knowledge asset (Rafaeli and LaRose, 1993; Wasko and Faraj, 2005).

Nevertheless, volume alone is not sufficient to ensure the success of knowledge management efforts. Research has long warned against the pitfall of building a “digital junkyard” filled with knowledge that nobody actually uses (McDermott, 1999). It has been further argued that it is quality, not volume, of the contributed knowledge that affects the success of knowledge repositories (Markus, 2001; Durcikova and Gray, 2009). Knowledge quality matters because knowledge of higher quality is more likely to be successfully transferred and reused (Kane *et al.*, 2005; Zhang and Watts, 2008), and companies who acquire knowledge of higher quality are more innovative and financially better off (Soo *et al.*, 2003).

As organizations pay more attention to knowledge quality, the authors believe that organizational knowledge management initiatives nowadays should lead not only to more knowledge but also – perhaps even more importantly – better knowledge. Following Durcikova and Gray (2009), the authors define organizational knowledge quality as the extent to which the precision and accuracy of the knowledge acquired by an organization meets the organization’s knowledge need. Referring organizational emphasis on knowledge management to the extent to which an organization commits to engaging knowledge management initiatives as their strategic moves (Kearns and Sabherwal, 2006), the authors hypothesize:

*H1.* A higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management is associated with a higher level of organizational knowledge quality.

### 2.2 Organizational social capital and knowledge management

Consistent with the interests in organizational knowledge quality and organizational emphasis on knowledge management efforts, in this study, the authors are concerned about social capital at the organizational level, which refers to the relationships between organizations and the meanings of these relationships, thereby making it an important productive resource that organizations should profit from (Tsai and Ghoshal, 1998).

Social capital has been conceptualized as having three dimensions: structural, relational and cognitive (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998). Structural dimension of social capital captures the interaction pattern between organizations; relational dimension refers to the relationship assets such as trust nurtured through the interactions; and cognitive dimension describes the extent to which the organizations share a common understanding emerging from these interactions. The interrelations between the three dimensions were explicated, hypothesized and tested by Tsai and Ghoshal (1998). These relationships are re-examined in the context of the current study.

*2.2.1 Interrelationships between structural, cognitive and relational dimensions of social capital.* Structural links or ties are a fundamental aspect of social capital, as they create opportunities for social capital transactions (Adler and Kwon, 2002). Actors with more frequent and deeper social interactions are more likely to develop similar opinions through

their interactions (Granovetter, 1973). In this sense, communications between organizations within a social field help develop understandings and visions shared by the organizations. For example, when an organization and its competitors are interacting with their customers using certain technologies, in the process, they will develop a shared understanding of the use and benefits of the technologies. Social interaction can thus help shape a common set of goals, visions and values (Tsai and Ghoshal, 1998). Therefore:

*H2.1.* A higher level of structural capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of cognitive capital of the organization.

Interactions between organizations create opportunities for stimulating trust and perceived trustworthiness (Tsai and Ghoshal, 1998). As the social interaction grows, trust between an organization and its network of organizations develops. Organizational interactions can thus help build trusting relationships with other organizations. Trust can also induce joint efforts (Ring and Van de Ven, 1994) and can play a pivotal role in the willingness of network actors to share knowledge (Levin and Cross, 2004; Szulanski *et al.*, 2004), constituting the relational dimension of social capital resource (Inkpen and Tsang, 2005). The authors therefore posit:

*H2.2.* A higher level of structural capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of relational capital of the organization.

Cognitive dimension of social capital implies common values and shared visions between organizations. Meaningful knowledge exchanges require some shared understanding between parties (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998; Grant, 1996). These shared values and interpretations encourage the development of trusting relationships. An entity that shares the network's common values is likely to be perceived as trustworthy by other members of the network, and trusting relationships between an organization and its network usually means that common goals and values have brought and kept them together (Barber, 1983). Hence the authors hypothesize:

*H2.3.* A higher level of cognitive capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of relational capital of the organization.

*2.2.2 Social capital and knowledge management.* Social capital creates channels of communications that promote exchange, creation and recombination of knowledge among individuals, business groups and business partners (Tsai and Ghoshal, 1998). In this way, social capital enables knowledge management activity such as knowledge acquisition (Yli-Renko *et al.*, 2001; Anand *et al.*, 2002), knowledge transfer (Inkpen and Tsang, 2005) and knowledge contribution (Wasko and Faraj, 2005) within and across the firm. Therefore, improved social capital between organizations makes it more feasible for organizations to engage in knowledge management initiatives and easier for employees to participate in the initiatives.

To the extent that social capital facilitates organizational knowledge management, organizations must treat it as a productive resource, and consciously take advantage of it. Specifically, the attributes of each dimension facilitates the combination and exchange of knowledge between organizations (Tsai and Ghoshal, 1998). Social interactions are essential to knowledge exchange. Intensive, close social interactions produce stronger ties with closure (Coleman, 1988) that leads to tighter communication between organizations (Hoffman *et al.*, 2005), increasing the depth, breadth and efficiency of technical and market knowledge exchanges (Yli-Renko *et al.*, 2001). Broad and large number of ties also help organizations to be exposed to diverse and novel external knowledge (Zhao and Aram, 1995), which is important to generating new knowledge (McEvily and Zaheer, 1999). Structural capital is thus fundamental to successful knowledge management and a key asset to organizational knowledge management efforts. A higher level of structural capital should facilitate knowledge management. Having referred organizational emphasis on knowledge management to the extent to which an organization commits to engaging

knowledge management initiatives as their strategic moves (Kearns and Sabherwal, 2006), the authors propose:

*H3.1.* A higher level of structural capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management.

Cognitive capital is instrumental to knowledge management, as it embodies the common interests that inspires knowledge sharing and the shared understanding that facilitates knowledge sharing (Wenger, 1998). Such common interests and shared understanding are essential to “share and integrate aspects of knowledge which are *not* common between them” (Grant, 1996, pp.115-116, emphasis original). To the extent that cognitive capital can be a force underlying more effective knowledge management, the authors hypothesize:

*H3.2.* A higher level of cognitive capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management.

Relational capital is concerned with the nature of relationships between organizations. It describes the trust between organizations and their commitment to each other (Wasko and Faraj, 2005). Relational capital allows organizations to share knowledge willingly and openly without concern for opportunistic behavior by their counterparts (Tsai and Ghoshal, 1998). It also motivates organizations to absorb acquired knowledge once they have confidence in the competency of the knowledge source that increases the effectiveness of knowledge sharing (Levin and Cross, 2004). Thus relational capital provides the social and cultural environment in which knowledge management occurs and the authors posit:

*H3.3.* A higher level of relational capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management.

### *2.3 Social media and knowledge management*

Knowledge management nowadays inevitably involves technological components (Hansen *et al.*, 1999; Joshi *et al.*, 2010). It utilizes information and communication technologies to improve people-to-people connections (i.e. personalization in Hansen *et al.*, 1999) and/or people-to-document accesses (i.e. codification in Hansen *et al.*, 1999). While deploying codification-based technologies was popular in knowledge management practices, the philosophy underlying such initiatives was criticized (McDermott, 1999) and the value of such efforts doubted (Ko and Dennis, 2011; Haas and Hansen, 2005). Personalization-based technologies, on the other hand, complement codification-based technologies by connecting knowledge owners and knowledge seekers, facilitating the exchange of tacit knowledge.

Some social media technologies were designed to promote knowledge sharing (e.g. online communities and blogs) and knowledge creation (e.g. wikis and crowd-sourcing). Some others were designed to keep people connected (e.g. Facebook and LinkedIn) (Meyer, 2010). Some social media can supply endless reusable knowledge through user-generated content (Kane and Fichman, 2009); some other social media technologies make it easier to access knowledge residing in experts' minds through bridging the temporal and spatial gaps between knowledge seekers and knowledge owners. Moreover, social media allow people to maintain large number of electronic connections. Such connections can be strong enough to foster trust, common value and deep understanding, thus facilitating knowledge sharing between users (Baehr and Alex-Brown, 2010). Yet at the same time, they can be diversified enough so that new knowledge and new perspectives can flow through them (Gray *et al.*, 2011; Levin and Cross, 2004). Resultantly, social media facilitates communication (Li *et al.*, 2005), collaboration (Jarvenpaa and Majchrzak, 2010) and innovation (Gray *et al.*, 2011; Meyer, 2010).

Thus, social media excel at supporting both people-to-document and people-to-people connections, bringing multi-fold benefits to knowledge management (Andriole, 2010). It is important for organizations to embrace them and consciously utilize them to support their knowledge management initiatives (von Krogh, 2012; Levy, 2009). Conversely, having

social media technologies in place would provide the organizations with the necessary technological environment to commit to knowledge management initiatives. Noticing that the adoption and usage of social media – a complex technology over a network of users – is more a process than a decision (Ravichandran, 2005), the authors use organizational social media assimilation to describe the extent to which social media are deployed and used by organizations and posit:

*H4.* A higher level of organizational social media assimilation is associated with a higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management.

#### *2.4 Social media and social capital*

Several studies at individual level have produced empirical supports for the positive influence of social media on social capital (Baehr and Alex-Brown, 2010; Ellison *et al.*, 2007). Social media should positively affect structural capital, as electronic connections are capable of both creating new relationships online and maintaining existing ones (Zhao, 2006). As organizations increasingly use social media to connect with customers, suppliers, competitors and other firms in their industry (Bughin *et al.*, 2011), social media should help improve inter-organizational communications and interactions, increasing structural capital:

*H5.1.* A higher level of organizational social media assimilation is associated with a higher level of structural capital of an organization.

Plenty of previous research also showed that electronic connections on which the social media are built can foster trust and build bonds between communicating partners. Users adapt to the technical features of communication media over time, circumventing their restrictions (e.g. using off-line meetings to complement online communications) and exploiting their strengths (e.g. utilizing digital interaction histories left online). Even in online communities where communications are text-based and asynchronous and thus considered lean, competency-based and benevolence-based trust can flourish (Zhang and Watts, 2008) and the emotional support between members (Rheingold, 1993) and sense of belonging (Blanchard and Markus, 2004) can be surprisingly strong. Moreover, contemporary social media can now take advantage of multimedia communications (e.g. video blog and Skype), further facilitating the formation of trust between partner organizations such as vendors and suppliers. As organizational members increasingly use social media to interact with their business partners in other organizations, the authors hypothesize:

*H5.2.* A higher level of organizational social media assimilation is associated with a higher level of relational capital of an organization.

To the extent that social media facilitate knowledge sharing, it must support the development of cognitive capital, “a shared code or a shared paradigm that facilitates a common understanding of collective goals and proper ways of acting in a social system” (Tsai and Ghoshal, 1998, p. 465). Such shared code, paradigm and common understandings are indispensable for effective knowledge sharing (Wenger, 1998). While traditionally researchers have emphasized the importance of frequent, face-to-face communications in shaping the common understandings, more recent research suggested that social media such as online communities can be a fertile environment for the emergence of common understandings (Zhang and Watts, 2008). Social media is even more important for the development of cognitive capital across organizational boundaries where employees at different organizations are usually separated from each other geographically. By bridging the temporal and space gap, social media increases the opportunities for employees at different organizations to engage each other and to collaborate with each other. Thus firms that are interacting using social media are more likely to develop a common understanding. Moreover, the content generated through social media provides employees with the congealed materials over which they can contemplate

over meanings and negotiate the shared code or paradigm, facilitating the emergence of common understanding in the distributed setting (Wenger, 1998). Thus the authors posit:

*H5.3.* A higher level of organizational social media assimilation is associated with a higher level of cognitive capital of an organization.

The hypotheses described above are depicted graphically in Figure 2, together with the control variable, firm size. It has been well-established in the information systems (IS) literature that firm size is often a proxy for resource slack and infrastructure (Mohr and Morse, 1977). It is included here to isolate the effects from these factors on knowledge quality.

### 3. Research method

Social networks and knowledge management initiatives are inherently field-based. Accordingly, the authors chose to test the above theoretically derived research model with real-world data collected from surveying employees who were familiar with social media technology, social network and knowledge management initiatives in their organizations.


#### 3.1 Measures

The survey instrument was developed by adopting and adapting existing measures from previous research (see Appendix for details on the measurements of constructs and sources). All constructs except organizational social media assimilation were reflective and were measured with seven-point Likert scales. For organizational social media assimilation, representative social media technologies (Web services, blogs, LinkedIn and Facebook) were taken into account and a formative construct was used and items were measured with the Guttman scale (Fichman, 2001).

A firm interacts with other institutions in its environment through its marketing, procurement and the management sides. Through its marketing activity, a firm interacts with customers and competitors; through procurement, it interacts with suppliers; and through its management side, it comes into contact with government, media, auditors, potential employees and so on. Social capital research has been usually focused on one of the above three channels of interactions and often on only one type of institution. For example, in the study by Leana and Pil (2006), the research was based on a school community, whereas in the study by Tsai and Ghoshal (1998), the focus was on internal business units. Liao and Welsch (2005) in their research on social capital in small firms cast a wider net and their items included friends and firms for structural capital, well-respected people and community leaders for cognitive capital and relational capital was based on banks, governments and community groups.

For this study, the authors took a similar approach to that in the study by Liao and Welsch (2005), measuring structural capital on the basis of quality and time spent in

**Figure 2** Research model with hypotheses



communication with customers, cognitive capital on the commonality of vision with competitors and relational capital with trust and non-exploitative relationship with suppliers. In doing so, the authors hope to include a more comprehensive view of organizational social capital but reduce the number of questions the survey respondents need to answer.

### 3.2 Data collection

A Web-based survey questionnaire was administered to collect the data and test the proposed model (Figure 1). The population for this study was chosen by a professional market research company based in the USA. The company had over 6 million members across various industry verticals and professions, including more than 1.25 million members in its US business panel. It could offer panelists across 40 business profiles and 300 consumer panel segmentations. With this large number of panelists on its rolls, it could offer panel members with much finer granular attributes to suit academic research. This kind of survey process provides greater control (based on the attributes selected) and is getting embraced by IS researchers (Bulgurcu *et al.*, 2010).

The identities of participants were kept confidential by the company. The population selected for this study was IS professionals and managers who should be familiar with organizational social media technologies supporting knowledge management. To encourage participation, the respondents were given a points-based incentive redeemable for prizes. A total of 725 individuals were invited to access the survey developed on Survey Monkey. As the survey asked respondents to answer questions on their organizations' behalf, the 725 individuals were further asked screening questions to ascertain that they were familiar with social media and knowledge management initiatives in their organizations as well as their organizations' connections to the suppliers, customers and competitors. The participants were not informed that the screening questions served as exclusion criteria. Out of the 725 individuals who accessed the survey, 319 made it past the screening questions and were invited to complete the survey. Some respondents entered invalid answers in textboxes or failed to complete the survey. The deletion of these cases and the initial screening for outliers resulted in a final sample size of 283.

Table I provides sample demographics. The sample covered a broad range of industries. Most respondents were from the private sector, with around 75 per cent from organizations with more than 100 employees. Most respondents (71.7 per cent) identified themselves as IT professional. While the authors certainly wish more managers and executives had participated in the survey, it should be noted that all respondents passed the screening questions. More than 40 per cent of the respondents also reported a management

**Table I** Sample demographics

Position of respondent in organization	Frequency	(%)	Respondent management experience (year)		
			Frequency	(%)	
CEO/Senior Manager	5	1.8	0-3	163	57.6
Manager/Supervisor	70	24.8	4-6	49	17.3
IT Professional	203	71.7	7-9	32	11.3
Other	5	1.8	10+	39	13.8
			Respondent work experience (year)		
			0-5	39	13.8
			6-15	135	47.7
			16-25	57	20.1
			25+	52	18.4
			Size of organization (number of employees)		
			0-100	76	26.9
			101-1,000	72	25.4
			1,001-10,000	65	23
			10,000+	70	24.7

Note: \*Organizations could belong to more than one industry

experience of more than three years and an overwhelming majority of the respondents (86.2 per cent) had been working for more than five years. Hence, the authors are confident that the respondents were qualified to answer the survey questions.

#### 4. Results

The measurement and structural model are evaluated by the component-based partial least squares (PLS) approach with the Smart-PLS software package (Ringle *et al.*, 2005). The PLS approach is appropriate for this exploratory research, as the phenomenon being studied is relatively new and new theory needs to be developed (Henseler *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, both formative and reflective constructs are used in this study, which made PLS particularly attractive (Chin, 1998).

##### 4.1 Assessment of measurement properties

Table II presents the psychometric properties of the constructs included in this study. Measurement quality of reflective constructs is assessed by investigating the convergent validity, individual item reliability, composite reliability and discriminant validity of the measurement model (Barclay *et al.*, 1995).

The authors examined the convergent validity using factor loadings and cross-loadings of the indicators on their reflective constructs, average variance extracted (AVE) and composite reliability (Table II). All reflective item factor loadings were significant and greater than 0.70. The AVE values were greater than 0.50. Composite reliability is the recommended measure (Chin, 1998), as it overcomes some of Cronbach's alpha deficiencies by taking into account the different indicators loadings (Henseler *et al.*, 2009). The reflective construct measure loadings were above the recommended threshold of 0.70 for composite reliability (Yi and Davis, 2003).

The discriminant validity of constructs was assessed by comparing the square roots of the AVEs with other correlation scores in the correlation matrix. Table III shows that none of the construct correlations (non-diagonal entries) exceeded the corresponding square root of AVE (diagonal entries). This suggests that the measures of each construct correlated more highly with their own items than with items measuring other constructs (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). This ensures the discriminant validity of the constructs in the research model.

**Table II** Psychometric properties of reflective and formative constructs

Construct	CR	AVE	Indicator	Mean	Median	SD	Weight (formative)	Loading (reflective)
KQ (reflective)	0.94	0.83	KQL1	4.73	5.00	1.29	–	0.91
			KQL2	4.79	5.00	1.31	–	0.94
			KQL3	4.96	5.00	1.25	–	0.89
OEKM (reflective)	0.85	0.66	OEK1	5.37	6.00	1.32	–	0.80
			OEK2	5.30	6.00	1.37	–	0.88
			OEK3	4.39	5.00	1.51	–	0.75
STR (reflective)	0.81	0.68	STR1	5.17	5.00	1.31	–	0.76
			STR2	5.53	6.00	1.13	–	0.89
REL (reflective)	0.87	0.77	REL1	4.97	5.00	1.22	–	0.89
			REL2	4.80	5.00	1.15	–	0.87
COG (reflective)	0.83	0.71	COG1	4.77	5.00	1.28	–	0.79
			COG2	5.18	5.00	1.06	–	0.89
OSMA (formative)	–	–	OSM1	5.36	6.00	1.94	0.54	–
			OSM2	4.19	4.00	2.16	0.30	–
			OSM3	3.94	4.00	2.12	0.41	–

**Notes:** KQ = knowledge quality; OEKM = organizational emphasis on knowledge management; STR = structural capital; REL = relational capital; COG = cognitive capital; OSMA = organizational social media assimilation; CR = composite reliability; AVE = average variance extracted; *N* = 283. All loadings are significant at *p* < 0.001 level

**Table III** Square root of AVE and latent variable correlation

<i>Construct</i>	<i>KQ</i>	<i>OEKM</i>	<i>STR</i>	<i>REL</i>	<i>COG</i>	<i>OSMA</i>
KQ	<b>0.91</b>					
OEKM	0.74	<b>0.81</b>				
STR	0.49	0.57	<b>0.82</b>			
REL	0.44	0.38	0.46	<b>0.88</b>		
COG	0.33	0.34	0.32	0.39	<b>0.84</b>	
OSMA	0.19	0.27	0.24	0.14	0.23	NA

**Notes:** KQ = knowledge quality; OEKM = organizational emphasis on knowledge management; STR = structural capital; REL = relational capital; COG = cognitive capital; OSMA = organizational social media assimilation. Diagonal elements (bold) are the square roots of AVE by latent constructs from their indicators, except NA = not applicable (for formative construct);  $N = 283$

The extent of multicollinearity among constructs is assessed using variance inflation factor (VIF). VIF values below 3.3 indicate the absence of multicollinearity (Diamantopoulos and Sigauw, 2006). The authors calculated the VIF to assess the extent of multicollinearity among constructs. The VIF scores ranged from 1.02 to 1.35 considerably below the threshold value of 3.3. For the formative construct, VIF scores ranged from 1.23 to 1.32 and are well below 3.3, indicating that multicollinearity was unlikely to be an issue with the data. The extent of common method bias was assessed using Harman's one-factor test. In this test, all constructs are entered into an unrotated principal component factor analysis. The threat of common method bias is high if a single factor accounts for more than 50 per cent of variance (Harman, 1960; Mattila and Enz, 2002). The results show that no single factor accounts for the bulk of the variance and, therefore, common method bias was unlikely. Unlike reflective constructs, the different dimensions of formative constructs are not expected to demonstrate internal consistency and correlations (Chin *et al.*, 1996). Formative constructs as compared to reflective constructs do not have to exhibit internal consistency or reliability (Chin, 1998; Gefen *et al.*, 2000; Petter *et al.*, 2007). Absolute item weights were examined to determine the relative contribution of items constituting each formative construct (Chin *et al.*, 1996). Table IV shows that all item weights were significant and contribute to the formative construct. Taken together, the results suggest that the instrument has acceptable measurement properties.

**Table IV** Loadings and cross-loadings

<i>Construct</i>	<i>KQ</i>	<i>OEKM</i>	<i>STR</i>	<i>REL</i>	<i>COG</i>	<i>OSMA</i>
KQ1	<b>0.91</b>	0.66	0.44	0.42	0.27	0.20
KQ2	<b>0.94</b>	0.72	0.48	0.43	0.31	0.17
KQ3	<b>0.89</b>	0.64	0.43	0.35	0.31	0.15
OEKM1	0.59	<b>0.80</b>	0.48	0.22	0.28	0.25
OEKM2	0.63	<b>0.88</b>	0.48	0.31	0.23	0.27
OEKM3	0.58	<b>0.75</b>	0.43	0.40	0.32	0.14
STR1	0.33	0.41	<b>0.76</b>	0.26	0.24	0.17
STR2	0.47	0.53	<b>0.89</b>	0.48	0.28	0.22
REL1	0.35	0.35	0.45	<b>0.89</b>	0.31	0.15
REL2	0.41	0.31	0.37	<b>0.87</b>	0.38	0.09
COG1	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.31	<b>0.79</b>	0.10
COG2	0.31	0.32	0.31	0.35	<b>0.88</b>	0.27
OSMA1	0.18	0.23	0.23	0.11	0.19	<b>0.85</b>
OSMA2	0.11	0.18	0.16	0.09	0.18	<b>0.69</b>
OSMA3	0.14	0.21	0.16	0.11	0.18	<b>0.74</b>

**Notes:** KQ = knowledge quality; OEKM = organizational emphasis on knowledge management; STR = structural capital; REL = relational capital; COG = cognitive capital; OSMA = organizational social media assimilation;  $N = 283$

#### 4.2 Assessment of structural model


PLS structural model results are shown in Figure 3 and summarized in Table V. The model accounts for 55 per cent of variance in organizational knowledge quality and for 38 per cent of the variance in organizational emphasis on knowledge management. Firm size, the control variable, appeared to have no effect on organizational knowledge quality.

As shown in Figure 3, the effect of organizational emphasis on knowledge management on knowledge quality is significant and positive ( $\beta = 0.74$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), supporting *H1*. *H2.1*, *H2.2* and *H2.3* are about the interrelationships between three dimensions of social capital, structural capital, relationship capital and cognitive capital. Replicating Tsai and Ghoshal (1998), this study hypothesized that structural capital will be positively associated with cognitive capital (*H2.1*) and relationship capital (*H2.2*), and cognitive capital will be positively associated with relationship capital (*H2.3*). Indeed, the path coefficients for the three hypothesized associations are all positive ( $\beta = 0.28$  for *H2.1*;  $\beta = 0.38$  for *H2.2*;  $\beta = 0.28$  for *H2.3*) and highly significant at  $p < 0.001$  level. *H2.1*, *H2.2* and *H2.3* are thus supported, providing another empirical evidence to the theoretical arguments made by Tsai and Ghoshal (1998) regarding the interrelationships between the three dimensions of social capital.

Following Tsai and Ghoshal (1998) and focusing on knowledge as the most important resource that should be consciously managed by organizations, this study posited that social capital will positively influence organizational knowledge management initiatives through *H3.1*, *H3.2* and *H3.3*. The path coefficients from all three dimensions of social capital to organizational emphasis on knowledge management are indeed positive and significant ( $\beta = 0.46$ ,  $p < 0.01$  for structural capital;  $\beta = 0.13$ ,  $p < 0.05$  for cognitive capital; and  $\beta = 0.10$ ,  $p < 0.05$  for relational capital). Hence, *H3.1*, *H3.2* and *H3.3* are supported.

*H4*, *H5.1*, *H5.2* and *H5.3* concern the impacts of social media on organizational knowledge management and social capital. The path coefficient from organizational social media assimilation to organizational emphasis on knowledge management is positive and significant ( $\beta = 0.12$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), in support of *H4*. While the results suggest that organizational social media assimilation does positively affect structural capital and cognitive capital as hypothesized in *H5.1* and *H5.3* ( $\beta = 0.24$ ,  $p < 0.01$  and  $\beta = 0.17$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , respectively), this study found no support for *H5.2*, as the path coefficient from organizational social media assimilation to relational capital is not significant at  $p < 0.05$  level ( $\beta = 0.02$ ).

**Figure 3** PLS test of the proposed structural model



**Table V** Summary of results of structural model testing

<i>Hypothesis</i>	<i>Hypothesis details</i>	<i>Result</i>
<i>Effects of knowledge management on knowledge quality</i>		
H1	A higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management is associated with a higher level of organizational knowledge quality	Supported ( $\rho < 0.001$ )
<i>Interrelationships between organizational social capital</i>		
H2.1	A higher level of structural capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of cognitive capital of the organization	Supported ( $\rho < 0.001$ )
H2.2	A higher level of structural capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of relational capital of the organization	Supported ( $\rho < 0.001$ )
H2.3	A higher level of cognitive capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of relational capital of the organization.	Supported ( $\rho < 0.001$ )
<i>Effects of social capital on knowledge management</i>		
H3.1	A higher level of structural capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management	Supported ( $\rho < 0.01$ )
H3.2	A higher level of cognitive capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management	Supported ( $\rho < 0.05$ )
H3.3	A higher level of relational capital of an organization is associated with a higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management	Supported ( $\rho < 0.05$ )
<i>Effects of social media on knowledge management</i>		
H4	A higher level of organizational social media assimilation is associated with a higher level of organizational emphasis on knowledge management	Supported ( $\rho < 0.01$ )
<i>Effects of social media on social capital</i>		
H5.1	A higher level of organizational social media assimilation is associated with a higher level of structural capital of an organization	Supported ( $\rho < 0.01$ )
H5.2	A higher level of organizational social media assimilation is associated with a higher level of relational capital of an organization	<i>Not supported</i>
H5.3	A higher level of organizational social media assimilation is associated with a higher level of cognitive capital of an organization	Supported ( $\rho < 0.001$ )

## 5. Discussion

As organizations increasingly use social media for knowledge management, in this study, the authors explored how social media could affect organizational knowledge quality. This study used the Tsai and Ghoshal (1998) framework of the impact of social capital on resource sharing and integration. It refocused the framework on knowledge management and knowledge quality and extended it to include social media, arguing that social media positively affects social capital and organizational knowledge management, which ultimately lead to superior organizational knowledge quality. The framework was tested and confirmed using panel data.

The results showed significant relationship between organizational emphasis on knowledge management and organizational knowledge quality. As few studies have focused on organizational knowledge quality, this study offers a rare glimpse into the effect of organizational knowledge management efforts on the quality of knowledge they own. To organizations that are concerned about the quality of their knowledge stock, the findings that organizations that are committed to knowledge management indeed are more likely to own better knowledge are reassuring.

To explore what could have affected organizational knowledge quality, the authors did an *ad hoc* test of the direct links to organizational knowledge quality from the three dimensions of social capital and organizational assimilation of social media. Interestingly, none of these links was significant at  $p < 0.05$  level. It is plausible that the enhanced organizational emphasis on knowledge management leads to overall improved knowledge quality above and beyond the improvement caused by social capital. The statistical links between social capital and knowledge quality could have been masked, but it could also suggest the central role played by organizational efforts toward knowledge management. Therefore, social media may have provided the technical tools, while social capital may have facilitated the linkages to external knowledge; yet, it still requires concerted knowledge management efforts by organizations before they can reap the benefits of knowledge management, i.e. knowledge of higher quality. Future studies can focus more on the links between organizational social capital and knowledge quality and shed more light on how social capital may impact knowledge quality.

The study confirmed the validity of the [Tsai and Ghoshal \(1998\)](#) framework in the knowledge management context, highlighting the close relationship between social capital and organizational knowledge management efforts. The three dimensions of social capital – structural, relational and cognitive – are all significantly associated with each other, as expected. Moreover, structural capital and cognitive capital are positively associated with organizational emphasis on knowledge management. The impact of relational capital on organizational emphasis on knowledge management is also significant. All these findings lend strong support to the theoretical arguments the authors made following [Tsai and Ghoshal \(1998\)](#).

To explore the influence of social media on knowledge management, the authors argued that social media usage could facilitate organizational knowledge management efforts and the development of social capital. The research model conceptualized organizational social media assimilation – the extent to which social media is adopted and used by organizations – as antecedents to organizational emphasis on knowledge management and social capital. The results showed a strong, positive link from organizational social media assimilation to organizational emphasis on knowledge management, suggesting that social media can be a powerful facilitator for organizational knowledge management efforts. The links from social media to structural capital and from social media to cognitive capital are also significant and positive. Thus social media usage does appear to help increase social interactions that promote increased communication between organizations, leading to higher level of social capital. It also facilitates the emergence of common understanding shared by organizations, promoting cognitive capital.

While the authors argued that organizational social media assimilation should be positively associated with relational capital, data analysis suggested that this is not the case. Rather, the data analysis hints that social media affects relational capital indirectly through structural capital and cognitive capital. This finding was unexpected, but not totally surprising. Relational capital embodies the relationship assets such as trust developed through the interactions within the social network. Trust in the knowledge-sharing context is built on the perception of the ability and benevolence of the trustees ([Levin and Cross, 2004](#); [Mayer et al., 1995](#)). While social media affords the users the opportunities to interact and collaborate, it alone does not dictate the formation of trust. It is through interactions and collaborations that users develop perceptions of ability and benevolence of their counterparts in other organizations, which in turn lead to the formation of trust toward other organizations. As structural capital develops through interactions and cognitive capital develops through collaborations, and both structural and cognitive capital promote the development of relational capital, the effect of social media on relational capital might be just indirectly through structural and cognitive capital.

## 6. Conclusions

### 6.1 Summary of findings

This article reports a study at the intersection of social media, social capital and knowledge management, examining the impact of social media on organizational knowledge quality through the theoretical framework on social capital offered by Tsai and Ghoshal (1998). The authors argued that organizational assimilation of social media helps to grow social capital between organizations, which facilitates knowledge management efforts in organizations and subsequently leads to organizational knowledge of higher quality.

Panel data collected through a survey supported the research model: While organizational assimilation of social media positively affects organizational social capital, the social capital's effects on organizational knowledge quality are indirectly through organizational emphasis on knowledge management, and so is the organizational assimilation of social media's effects on organizational knowledge quality.

### 6.2 Limitations of the research and findings

As one of the first studies empirically investigating the relationship between social media and knowledge management, this study was exploratory in nature and certainly with some limitations. It was limited to the USA and thus its generalization has obvious geographical limitation and does not account for country-specific differences. Although the survey method was appropriate for testing the theoretically deduced research model in field settings, the authors were not able to compensate all the limitations imposed by the survey method. For example, survey respondents generally provide a positive evaluation of their own organizations and this may bias surveys. The quantitative data of this study are based on perceptions of individuals assessing at an organizational level and inter-organizational level. While the authors made efforts to ensure that the respondents are knowledgeable and experienced to answer questions at this level, the results are still based on their perceptions and not on measurable output.

Finally, the quantitative data were collected using a survey instrument in a cross-sectional manner. The implied directions of the hypotheses – as shown in the research model (Figure 2) – were based on theoretical induction. The statistical analyses presented in the paper certainly cannot confirm the causality of the links proposed in the model. Moreover, research has also indicated that existing knowledge can well influence the assimilation of technologies (Ravichandran, 2005), including social media. To clarify the time sequence in the causal relations, future research needs to collect time-series data, perhaps by surveying the same respondents in the organizations at different time instances, which will be both theoretically intriguing and practically important.

### 6.3 Implications for practitioners and researchers

Despite these limitations, this study has significant implications to both practitioners and researchers. As more organizations contemplate using social media for knowledge management, this study should interest practitioners. It shows that while social media affects structural capital and cognitive capital directly, it appears to affect relational capital only indirectly. Moreover, while social media usage does seem to affect organizational knowledge quality, the impact seems indirectly through social capital and organizational emphasis on knowledge management. Thus this study highlights both the potential and limitations of social media in promoting organizational knowledge management. While it is reassuring to know that social media can help improve organizational knowledge quality, the effect is not direct and automatic. Businesses must consciously manage the assimilation and use of social media to benefit from them. One way to do so is to use them to grow social capital in all three dimensions and to facilitate knowledge management. Just investing in social media technologies is not sufficient.

To researchers, this study contributes to a better understanding of the intersection of social media, social capital and organizational knowledge management. It adapted the [Tsai and Ghoshal \(1998\)](#) framework to the context of organizational knowledge management and extended it to include social media as the antecedent. It explicates how social media affects organizational knowledge quality. In doing so, the study provided one glimpse into the rather complicated dynamics between social media and organizational knowledge management.

Integrating social media with knowledge management, this study contributed to literature in both areas. While research in social media has so far focused more on the implications of its marketing capability that allow businesses to engage with customers in innovative ways (e.g. see recent special issue [Duan, 2013](#)), this study explores how social media can affect organizational knowledge, arguably one of the most important resources for organizations to gain sustainable competitive advantage. In this sense, this study deepens the understanding of the business value of social media, especially in areas beyond marketing.

As organizations increasingly adopt social media as a tool for knowledge management, the reported study is both timely and important ([von Krogh, 2012](#); [Ford and Mason, 2013](#)). Most importantly, it focused on organizational knowledge quality rather than volume. Organizations that have initiated knowledge management quickly learn that while it is relatively easy to increase the volume of knowledge inventory, it is much more difficult to ensure the quality of knowledge contribution ([McDermott, 1999](#)). Now with social media comes endless user-generated content ([O'Reilly, 2007](#)). Yet, the quality of the user-generated content has always been a concern ([Denning et al., 2005](#)). The research model suggests and the results confirm that social media can have a positive influence, albeit indirectly, on the overall organizational knowledge quality.

While there have been limited number of studies on the impact of social media on social capital ([Burke et al., 2011](#); [Ellison et al., 2007](#)), this study differs from earlier efforts in two aspects. First, in terms of level of analysis, this study concerns social capital at the organizational level and explores how social media adoption and usage affect inter-organizational social capital. Second, in terms of the technologies under study, this study attempted to treat social media collectively rather than focusing on one particular kind of social media. The authors believe such organizational-level analysis involving more than just one social medium is especially important for us to understand how organizations can use social media in general as a strategic tool to attain sustainable competitive advantages.

This study explored whether social media can help grow social capital and facilitate organizational knowledge management. The results indicate that social media indeed can be a viable technological choice to enhance organizational knowledge management efforts. Based on the [Tsai and Ghoshal's \(1998\)](#) framework, this study investigated in more detail how social capital affects knowledge management. The results suggest that the three dimensions of social capital – structural, relational and cognitive – indeed have affected knowledge management positively. Of course, this finding could be limited to the reported study only, and closer examination of how social capital affects knowledge management appears to be an interesting area for future research.

Finally, this study showed that organizational emphasis of knowledge management plays a central role in bridging social media and knowledge quality, indicating strongly that the organizational involvement is indispensable in knowledge management. It suggests that organizational processes and practices that enhance quality knowledge gathering and utilization should work in concert with, rather than solely reliant on, social media technologies. Researchers have long warned against over-reliance on technologies in knowledge management ([McDermott, 1999](#)), but there have not been much quantitative evidence of this important notion. In this sense, findings from this study help to fill a gap in the literature.

#### 6.4 Possible areas for future research

Findings from this study suggest many opportunities for future exploration in this area. Among the many possibilities, the following three seem most interesting and promising. First of all, the authors call for future research in both comparable and contrasting research settings and with more refined measures to test the generalizability and validity of the findings.

Second, future research is needed in establishing the time sequence in the proposed causal relations. For example, future research may need to collect time-series data, perhaps by surveying the same respondents in the organizations at different time instances. Researchers may also consider using qualitative studies to triangulate the findings reported in this study. Such studies are both practically important and theoretically intriguing.

Finally, the current study focused on the overall effects of social media, social capital and knowledge management on knowledge quality at the organizational level. While the findings of the positive effects are reassuring, the study did not concern the individual-level mechanism through which social media promotes social capital and facilitates knowledge management and exactly how they work together to improve organizational knowledge quality. Future individual-level research in this area should further enrich the understanding of the complicated dynamics between social media, social capital, knowledge management and knowledge quality.

#### References

- Adler, P.S. and Kwon, S.W. (2002), "Social capital: prospects for a new concept", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 17-40.
- Anand, V., Glick, W.H. and Manz, C.C. (2002), "Thriving on the knowledge of outsiders: tapping organizational social capital", *Academy of Management Executive*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 87-101.
- Andriole, S.J. (2010), "Business impact of web 2.0 technologies", *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 53 No. 12, pp. 67-79.
- Baehr, C. and Alex-Brown, K. (2010), "Assessing the value of corporate blogs: a social capital perspective", *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, Vol. 53 No. 4, pp. 358-369.
- Barber, B. (1983), *The Logic and Limits of Trust*, Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, NJ.
- Barclay, D., Higgins, C. and Thompson, R. (1995), "The partial least squares (PLS) approach to causal modeling: personal computer adoption and use as an illustration", *Technology Studies*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 285-309.
- Barney, J. (1991), "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 99-120.
- Bharati, P., Zhang, C. and Chaudhury, A. (2014), "Social media assimilation in firms: investigating the roles of absorptive capacity and institutional pressures", *Information Systems Frontiers*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 257-272.
- Blanchard, A.L. and Markus, M.L. (2004), "The experienced sense of a virtual community: characteristics and processes", *ACM SIGMIS Database*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 64-79.
- Bughin, J., Byers, A.H. and Chui, M. (2011), *How Social Technologies Are Extending the Organization: McKinsey Global Institute Report*, McKinsey & Company, Chennai.
- Bughin, J., Chui, M. and Manyika, J. (2012), "Capturing business value with social technologies", *McKinsey Quarterly*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 72-80.
- Bulgurcu, B., Cavusoglu, H. and Benbasat, I. (2010), "Information security policy compliance: an empirical study of rationality-based beliefs and information security awareness", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 34 No. 3, pp. 523-548.
- Burke, M., Kraut, R. and Marlow, C. (2011), "Social capital on Facebook: differentiating uses and users", SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, ACM, Vancouver, BC, pp. 571-580.
- Chen, J., Xu, H. and Whinston, A.B. (2011), "Moderated online communities and quality of user-generated content", *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 28 No. 2, pp. 237-268.

- Chin, W.W. (1998), "The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling", Marcoulides, G.A. (Ed.), *Modern Methods for Business Research*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ, pp. 295-336.
- Chin, W.W., Marcolin, B.L. and Newsted, P.R. (1996), "A partial least squares latent variable modeling approach for measuring interaction effects: results from a Monte Carlo simulation study and voice mail emotion/adoption study", *The 17th International Conference on Information Systems*, Barcelona, pp. 21-41.
- Chui, M., Manyika, J., Bughin, J., Dobbs, R., Roxburgh, C., Sarrazin, H., Sands, G. and Westergren, M. (2012), *The Social Economy: Unlocking Value and Productivity through Social Technologies*, McKinsey Global Institute, Chennai.
- Coleman, J.S. (1988), "Social capital in the creation of human capital", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 94 No. 1, pp. S95-S120.
- Davenport, T.H. and Prusak, L. (1998), *Working Knowledge: How Organizations Management What They Know*, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.
- Denning, P., Horning, J., Parnas, D. and Weinstein, L. (2005), "Wikipedia risks", *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 48 No. 12, pp. 152-152.
- Diamantopoulos, A. and Siguaw, J.A. (2006), "Formative versus reflective indicators in organizational measure development: a comparison and empirical illustration", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 263-282.
- Duan, W. (2013), "Special issue on social media: an editorial introduction", *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 55 No. 4, pp. 861-862.
- Durcikova, A. and Gray, P. (2009), "How knowledge validation processes affect knowledge contribution", *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 25 No. 4, pp. 81-107.
- Ellison, N.B., Steinfield, C. and Lampe, C. (2007), "The benefits of Facebook 'friends': social capital and college students' use of online social network sites", *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 1143-1168.
- Fichman, R.G. (2001), "The role of aggregation in the measurement of IT-related organizational innovation", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 25 No. 4, pp. 427-455.
- Ford, D.P. and Mason, R.M. (2013), "Knowledge management and social media: the challenges and benefits", *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, Vol. 23 Nos 1/2, pp. 1-6.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 39-50.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D.W. and Boudreau, M. (2000), "Structural equation modeling and regression: guidelines for research practice", *Communications of AIS*, Vol. 4 No. 7, pp. 1-78.
- Granovetter, M.S. (1973), "The strength of weak ties", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 78 No. 6, pp. 1360-1380.
- Grant, R.M. (1996), "Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 109-122.
- Gray, P.H., Parise, S. and Iyer, B. (2011), "Innovation impacts of using social bookmarking systems", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 629-643.
- Haas, M.R. and Hansen, M.T. (2005), "When using knowledge can hurt performance: the value of organizational capabilities in a management consulting company", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 1-24.
- Haas, M.R. and Hansen, M.T. (2007), "Different knowledge, different benefits: toward a productivity perspective on knowledge sharing in organizations", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 28 No. 11, pp. 1133-1153.
- Hansen, M.T., Nohria, N. and Tierney, T. (1999), "What's your strategy for managing knowledge?", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 77 No. 2, pp. 106-116.
- Harman, H.H. (1960), *Modern Factor Analysis*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. and Sinkovics, R. (2009), "The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing", *Advances in International Marketing (AIM)*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 277-320.

- Hoffman, J.J., Hoelscher, M.L. and Sherif, K. (2005), "Social capital, knowledge management, and sustained superior performance", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 93-100.
- Inkpen, A.C. and Tsang, E.W.K. (2005), "Social capital, networks, and knowledge transfer", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 146-165.
- Jarvenpaa, S.L. and Majchrzak, A. (2010), "Vigilant interaction in knowledge collaboration: challenges of online user participation under ambivalence", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 773-784.
- Joshi, K.D., Chi, L., Datta, A. and Han, S. (2010), "Changing the competitive landscape: continuous innovation through IT-enabled knowledge capabilities", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 21 No. 3, pp. 472-495.
- Kane, A.A., Argote, L. and Levine, J.M. (2005), "Knowledge transfer between groups via personnel rotation: effects of social identity and knowledge quality", *Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes*, Vol. 96 No. 1, pp. 56-71.
- Kane, G.C. and Fichman, R.G. (2009), "The shoemaker's children: using wikis for information systems teaching, research, and publication", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 1-17.
- Kearns, G.S. and Sabherwal, R. (2006), "Strategic alignment between business and information technology: a knowledge-based view of behaviors, outcome, and consequences", *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 129-162.
- Kietzmann, J.H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I.P. and Silvestre, B.S. (2011), "Social media? Get serious! Understanding the functional building blocks of social media", *Business Horizons*, Vol. 54 No. 3, pp. 241-251.
- Ko, D.G. and Dennis, A.R. (2011), "Profiting from knowledge management: the impact of time and experience", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 134-152.
- Leana, C.R. and Pil, F.K. (2006), "Social capital and organizational performance: evidence from urban public schools", *Organization Science*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 353-366.
- Levin, D.Z. and Cross, R. (2004), "The strength of weak ties you can trust: the mediating role of trust in effective knowledge transfer", *Management Science*, Vol. 50 No. 11, pp. 1477-1490.
- Levine, S.S. and Prietula, M.J. (2012), "How knowledge transfer impacts performance: a multilevel model of benefits and liabilities", *Organization Science*, Vol. 23 No. 6, pp. 1748-1766.
- Levy, M. (2009), "Web 2.0 implications on knowledge management", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 13 No. 1, pp. 120-134.
- Li, D., Chau, P.Y.K. and Lou, H. (2005), "Understanding individual adoption of instant messaging: an empirical investigation", *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 6 No. 4, pp. 102-129.
- Liao, J. and Welsch, H. (2005), "Roles of social capital in venture creation: key dimensions and research implications", *Journal of Small Business Management*, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 345-362.
- McDermott, R. (1999), "Why information technology inspired but cannot deliver knowledge management", *California Management Review*, Vol. 41 No. 4, pp. 103-117.
- McEvily, B. and Zaheer, A. (1999), "Bridging ties: a source of firm heterogeneity in competitive capabilities", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 20 No. 12, pp. 1133-1156.
- Markus, M.L. (2001), "Toward a theory of knowledge reuse: types of knowledge reuse situations and factors in reuse success", *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 57-93.
- Mattila, A.S. and Enz, C.A. (2002), "The role of emotions in service encounters", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 4 No. 4, pp. 268-277.
- Mayer, R.C., Davis, J.H. and Schoorman, F.D. (1995), "An integrative model of organizational trust", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 709-734.
- Meyer, J. (2010), "Does social software support service innovation?", *International Journal of the Economics of Business*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 289-311.
- Mohr, M.K. and Morse, E.V. (1977), "Size, centralization and organizational adoption of innovations", *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 42 No. 5, pp. 716-725.
- Nahapiet, J. and Ghoshal, S. (1998), "Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 242-266.

- O'Reilly, T. (2007), "What is web 2.0: design patterns and business models for the next generation of software", *Communications & Strategies*, Vol. 65 No. 1, pp. 17-37.
- Panahi, S., Watson, J. and Partridge, H. (2013), "Towards tacit knowledge sharing over social web tools", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 379-397.
- Paroutis, S. and Al Saleh, A. (2009), "Determinants of knowledge sharing using web 2.0 technologies", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 52-63.
- Petter, S., Straub, D. and Rai, A. (2007), "Specifying formative constructs in information systems research", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 623-656.
- Poston, R.S. and Speier, C. (2005), "Effective use of knowledge management systems: a process model of content ratings and credibility indicators", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 221-244.
- Rafaeli, S. and LaRose, R.J. (1993), "Electronic bulletin boards and 'public goods': explanations of collaborative mass media", *Communication Research*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 277-297.
- Ravichandran, T. (2005), "Organizational assimilation of complex technologies: an empirical study of component-based software development", *Engineering Management, IEEE Transactions on*, Vol. 52 No. 2, pp. 249-268.
- Rheingold, H. (1993), *The Virtual Community: Homesteading on the Electronic Frontier*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.
- Ring, P.S. and Van de Ven, A.H. (1994), "Developmental processes of cooperative interorganizational relationships", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 90-118.
- Ringle, C.M., Wende, S. and Will, A. (2005), *Smartpls 2.0(Beta)*, SmartPLS, Hamburg.
- Soo, C.W., Devinney, T.M. and Midgley, D.F. (2003), "The role of knowledge quality in firm performance", in Tsoukas, H. and Mylonopoulos, N. (Eds), *Organisations as Knowledge Systems: Knowledge, Learning, and Dynamic Capabilities*, Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire, pp. 252-275.
- Szulanski, G., Cappetta, R. and Jensen, R.J. (2004), "When and how trustworthiness matters: knowledge transfer and the moderating effect of causal ambiguity", *Organization Science*, Vol. 15 No. 5, pp. 600-613.
- Teece, D.J. (1998), "Capturing value from knowledge assets: the new economy, markets for know-how, and intangible assets", *California Management Review*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 55-79.
- Teo, H.H., Wei, K.K. and Benbasat, I. (2003), "Predicting intention to adopt interorganizational linkages: an institutional perspective", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 19-49.
- Tsai, W. and Ghoshal, S. (1998), "Social capital and value creation: the role of intrafirm networks", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 41 No. 4, pp. 464-476.
- von Krogh, G. (2012), "How does social software change knowledge management? Toward a strategic research agenda", *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 154-164.
- Wasko, M.M. and Faraj, S. (2005), "Why should I share? Examining social capital and knowledge contribution in electronic networks of practice", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 35-57.
- Wenger, E. (1998), *Communities of Practice: Learning, Meaning and Identity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Yi, M.Y. and Davis, F.D. (2003), "Developing and validating an observational learning model of computer software training and skill acquisition", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 146-169.
- Yli-Renko, H., Autio, E. and Sapienza, H.J. (2001), "Social capital, knowledge acquisition, and knowledge exploitation in young technology-based firms", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 22 Nos 6/7, pp. 587.
- Zhang, W. and Watts, S. (2008), "Capitalizing on content: information adoption in two online communities", *Journal of Association of Information Systems*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 73-94.
- Zhao, L. and Aram, J.D. (1995), "Networking and growth of young technology-intensive ventures in China", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 10 No. 5, pp. 349-370.
- Zhao, S. (2006), "Do internet users have more social ties? A call for differentiated analyses of internet use", *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 844-862.

## Appendix

Table A1 Survey measure			
Construct	Variable	Item	Source
Knowledge quality (reflective)	KQ1	The content of organizational knowledge available in the knowledge-based systems meets my needs	Durcikova and Gray (2009)
	KQ2	Overall, the quality of knowledge available in the Knowledge-based systems is high	
	KQ3	Knowledge available in the knowledge bases is accurate	
Organizational emphasis on knowledge management (reflective)	OEKM1	Knowledge and intellectual capital are viewed as key organizational assets	Kearns and Sabherwal (2006)
	OEKM2	We have ready access to expert knowledge within the organization	
	OEKM3	Organizational knowledge is codified and made available to all employees	
<i>Social capital</i>			
Structural capital (reflective)	STR1	We spend considerable time on meetings and telephone conversation with our important customers	Leana and Pil (2006);
	STR2	We engage in open and honest communication with our customers	Teo <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Relational capital (reflective)	REL1	We know our suppliers on a personal level	Yli-Renko <i>et al.</i> (2001)
	REL2	In our relationship with suppliers neither side takes any advantage	
Cognitive capital (reflective)	COG1	We share the same vision of the industry as our competitors	Leana and Pil (2006)
	COG2	Competitors who are important to us think that new technologies are useful	Teo <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Organizational social media assimilation (formative)	OSMA1	What is the status of use and implementation of Web services?	Fichman (2001)
	OSMA2	What is the status of use and implementation of social media tools such as LinkedIn and Facebook?	
	OSMA3	What is the status of use and implementation of blogs?	
Firm size (control variable)	LSZ	What is the total number of people (full time equivalents) employed in your firm? (natural log)	Mohr and Morse (1977)

### About the authors

Pratyush Bharati is an Associate Professor in the Management Science and Information Systems Department of College of Management at the University of Massachusetts, Boston. He received his PhD from Rensselaer. His research interests are in social media in organizations and society, green information systems and international software services industry. His research has been published or is forthcoming in several international journals, including *Communications of the ACM*, *Decision Support Systems*, *IEEE Computer*, *Information Systems Frontiers* and *IT and People*. He was the recipient of the 2013-14 Fulbright Senior Researcher award to study social media, Big Data and innovation. He currently serves as the Senior Editor of *The Data Base for Advances in Information Systems Journal*, an Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) SIGMIS journal. Pratyush Bharati is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: [Pratyush.Bharati@umb.edu](mailto:Pratyush.Bharati@umb.edu)

Wei Zhang is an Associate Professor of information systems in the College of Management, University of Massachusetts, Boston. He holds a DBA in information systems from Boston University. His research interests include knowledge management, IT innovation and information systems education. He has published academic papers in such journals as *Journal of Association for Information Systems*, *Journal of Knowledge Management* and *Computers & Security*.

Abhijit Chaudhury is a Professor of information systems at Bryant University. His research interests include knowledge management and IT-enabled innovation in organizations. He has published papers in *MIS Quarterly*, *Information Systems Research*, *Communications of ACM*, among others.

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

[www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm](http://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm)

Or contact us for further details: [permissions@emeraldinsight.com](mailto:permissions@emeraldinsight.com)

**This article has been cited by:**

1. Ahsan Ali, Hongwei Wang, Ali Nawaz Khan. 2019. Mechanism to enhance team creative performance through social media: A Transactive memory system approach. *Computers in Human Behavior* **91**, 115-126. [[Crossref](#)]
2. Sitalakshmi Venkatraman, Ramanathan Venkatraman. 2018. Communities of Practice Approach for Knowledge Management Systems. *Systems* **6**:4, 36. [[Crossref](#)]
3. AllamehSayed Mohsen, Sayyed Mohsen Allameh. 2018. Antecedents and consequences of intellectual capital. *Journal of Intellectual Capital* **19**:5, 858-874. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
4. Tiong-Thye Goh, Zhuo Xin, Dawei Jin. 2018. Habit formation in social media consumption: a case of political engagement. *Behaviour & Information Technology* **6**, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
5. André Calero Valdez, Juliana Brell, Anne Kathrin Schaar, Martina Ziefle. 2018. The diversity of why: a meta-analytical study of usage motivation in enterprise social networks. *Universal Access in the Information Society* **17**:3, 549-566. [[Crossref](#)]
6. CrammondRobert, Robert Crammond, OmeiheKingsley Obi, Kingsley Obi Omeihe, MurrayAlan, Alan Murray, LedgerKirstin, Kirstin Ledger. 2018. Managing knowledge through social media. *Baltic Journal of Management* **13**:3, 303-328. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
7. ThaniFatemeh Narenji, Fatemeh Narenji Thani, MirkamaliSeyed Mohammad, Seyed Mohammad Mirkamali. 2018. Factors that enable knowledge creation in higher education: a structural model. *Data Technologies and Applications* **52**:3, 424-444. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
8. Feng Xiong, Larelle Chapple, Haiying Yin. 2018. The use of social media to detect corporate fraud: A case study approach. *Business Horizons* **61**:4, 623-633. [[Crossref](#)]
9. PascucciFederica, Federica Pascucci, AncillaiChiara, Chiara Ancillai, CardinaliSilvio, Silvio Cardinali. 2018. Exploring antecedents of social media usage in B2B: a systematic review. *Management Research Review* **41**:6, 629-656. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
10. ArrigoElisa, Elisa Arrigo. 2018. Social media marketing in luxury brands. *Management Research Review* **41**:6, 657-679. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
11. ChengColin C.J., Colin C.J. Cheng, KrumwiedeDennis, Dennis Krumwiede. 2018. Enhancing the performance of supplier involvement in new product development: the enabling roles of social media and firm capabilities. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* **23**:3, 171-187. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
12. Pratyush Bharati, Abhijit Chaudhury. 2018. Assimilation of Big Data Innovation: Investigating the Roles of IT, Social Media, and Relational Capital. *Information Systems Frontiers* **54**. . [[Crossref](#)]
13. Elena-Mădălina Vătămănescu, Vlad-Andrei Alexandru. Beyond Innovation: The Crazy New World of Industrial Mash-ups 271-285. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Ali Ahani, Nor Zairah Ab. Rahim, Mehrbakhsh Nilashi. 2017. Forecasting social CRM adoption in SMEs: A combined SEM-neural network method. *Computers in Human Behavior* **75**, 560-578. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Se-Yeon Ahn, So-Hyung Kim. 2017. What Makes Firms Innovative? The Role of Social Capital in Corporate Innovation. *Sustainability* **9**:9, 1564. [[Crossref](#)]
16. KargarSanaz, Sanaz Kargar, Jami PourMona, Mona Jami Pour, MoeiniHossein, Hossein Moeini. 2017. Successful customer knowledge management implementation through social media capabilities. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems* **47**:3, 353-371. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
17. Elena-Mădălina Vătămănescu, Andreia Gabriela Andrei, Luminița Nicolescu, Florina Pînzaru, Alexandra Zbucea. 2017. The Influence of Competitiveness on SMEs Internationalization Effectiveness. Online Versus Offline Business Networking. *Information Systems Management* **34**:3, 205-219. [[Crossref](#)]
18. Wen-Jang (Kenny) Jih, Su-Fang Lee. 2017. Effects of Social Presence and Social Capital on User Loyalty to the Social Networking Website. *International Journal of E-Business Research* **13**:3, 18-32. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Syed Ali Raza, Wasim Qazi, Amna Umer. 2017. Facebook Is a Source of Social Capital Building Among University Students. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* **55**:3, 295-322. [[Crossref](#)]
20. HeWu, Wu He, WangFeng-Kwei, Feng-Kwei Wang, AkulaVasudeva, Vasudeva Akula. 2017. Managing extracted knowledge from big social media data for business decision making. *Journal of Knowledge Management* **21**:2, 275-294. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]

21. Setiawan Assegaff. 2017. Social Media Success for Academic Knowledge Sharing in Indonesia (Conceptual Model Development). *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* **190**, 012028. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Ewa Ziemba, Monika Eisenhardt, Róisín Mullins. Use of Information and Communication Technologies for Knowledge Sharing by Polish and UK-Based Prosumers 49-73. [[Crossref](#)]
23. James M. Barry, John T. Gironda. 2017. Operationalizing thought leadership for online B2B marketing. *Industrial Marketing Management* . [[Crossref](#)]
24. Paul M. Leonardi, Emmanuelle Vaast. 2017. Social Media and Their Affordances for Organizing: A Review and Agenda for Research. *Academy of Management Annals* **11:1**, 150-188. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Janice Penni. 2016. The future of online social networks (OSN): A measurement analysis using social media tools and application. *Telematics and Informatics* . [[Crossref](#)]
26. Hugo K.S. Lam, Andy C.L. Yeung, T.C. Edwin Cheng. 2016. The impact of firms' social media initiatives on operational efficiency and innovativeness. *Journal of Operations Management* **47-48**, 28-43. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Young 'Anna' Argyris, Sam Ransbotham. 2016. Knowledge entrepreneurship: institutionalising wiki-based knowledge-management processes in competitive and hierarchical organisations. *Journal of Information Technology* **31:2**, 226-239. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Oliver Zuchowski, Oliver Posegga, Daniel Schlagwein, Kai Fischbach. 2016. Internal crowdsourcing: conceptual framework, structured review, and research agenda. *Journal of Information Technology* **31:2**, 166-184. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Vătămănescu Elena-Mădălina, Elena-Mădălina Vătămănescu, AndreiAndreia Gabriela, Andreia Gabriela Andrei, DumitriuDiana-Luiza, Diana-Luiza Dumitriu, LeovaridisCristina, Cristina Leovaridis. 2016. Harnessing network-based intellectual capital in online academic networks. From the organizational policies and practices towards competitiveness. *Journal of Knowledge Management* **20:3**, 594-619. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
30. Palacios-MarquésDaniel, Daniel Palacios-Marqués, PopaSimona, Simona Popa, Pilar Alguacil MariMaría, María Pilar Alguacil Mari. 2016. The effect of online social networks and competency-based management on innovation capability. *Journal of Knowledge Management* **20:3**, 499-511. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
31. Alan Murray, Armando Papa, Benedetta Cuozzo, Giuseppe Russo. 2016. Evaluating the innovation of the Internet of Things. *Business Process Management Journal* **22:2**, 341-356. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
32. Pedro Soto-Acosta, Diana-Maria Cismaru, Elena-Mădălina Vătămănescu, Raluca Ciochină. 2016. Sustainable Entrepreneurship in SMEs: A Business Performance Perspective. *Sustainability* **8:4**, 342. [[Crossref](#)]
33. Min Hang. Media Industry Development and New Media Business Opportunities 11-21. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Vincenzo Cavaliere, Sara Lombardi, Luca Giustiniano. 2015. Knowledge sharing in knowledge-intensive manufacturing firms. An empirical study of its enablers. *Journal of Knowledge Management* **19:6**, 1124-1145. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
35. Stephan Manning, Marcus M Larsen, Pratyush Bharati. 2015. Global delivery models: The role of talent, speed and time zones in the global outsourcing industry. *Journal of International Business Studies* **46:7**, 850-877. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Marcello Chedid, Leonor Teixeira. The Role of Social Media Tools in the Knowledge Management in Organizational Context 31-57. [[Crossref](#)]
37. Man Fung Lo, Peggy Mei Lan Ng. Knowledge Management for Health Care and Long-Term Care in the Technology-Organization-Environment Context 161-186. [[Crossref](#)]
38. Wen-Jang (Kenny) Jih. Maximizing Social Presence to Improve Website Loyalty 223-238. [[Crossref](#)]
39. Man Fung Lo, Peggy Mei Lan Ng. Knowledge Management for Health Care and Long-Term Care in the Technology-Organization-Environment Context 277-302. [[Crossref](#)]