Cytogenetic and Genome Research

Cytogenet Genome Res 2015;145:315-330 DOI: 10.1159/000431388

Published online: June 23, 2015

Polyploidy in Amphibia

Michael Schmid^a Ben J. Evans^b James P. Bogart^c

^aDepartment of Human Genetics, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ^bDepartment of Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ont., and ^cDepartment of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ont., Canada

Key Words

Allopolyploidy · Anura · Autopolyploidy · Diploidization · Hybridogenesis · Polyploidization · Sex chromosome evolution · Urodela

Abstract

This review summarizes the current status of the known extant genuine polyploid anuran and urodelan species, as well as spontaneously originated and/or experimentally produced amphibian polyploids. The mechanisms by which polyploids can originate, the meiotic pairing configurations, the diploidization processes operating in polyploid genomes, the phenomenon of hybridogenesis, and the relationship between polyploidization and sex chromosome evolution are discussed. The polyploid systems in some important amphibian taxa are described in more detail.

© 2015 S. Karger AG, Basel

Frequent Occurrence of Bisexual Polyploid Amphibians

Polyploidization has been documented across a wide range of animal taxa. These include turbellarians, annelids, mollusks, crustaceans, and insects [Gregory and Mable, 2005], teleost fishes [Schultz, 1980; Le Comber and Smith, 2004], reptiles [Gregory and Mable, 2005], and amphibians [Bogart, 1980; Kawamura, 1984; King, 1990; Schmid et al., 2010; Evans et al., 2012]. In parthe-

nogenetically reproducing animal species, polyploidy is observed relatively frequently [for reviews, see White, 1973; Fujita and Moritz, 2009]. In sexually reproducing animals, however, polyploid species are rare. Possible barriers to polyploidization include the presence of sex chromosomes [Muller, 1925], the prevalence of cross-fertilization [White, 1973], and the histological complexity of advanced animals [Stebbins, 1950]. These barriers may explain why polyploidy is much rarer in animals than in plants [Orr, 1990; Otto and Whitton, 2000].

Bisexual polyploid species of amphibians continue to be discovered. In no other class of vertebrates independently evolved polyploids are so prevalent. Unlike early chordates, including jawed vertebrates and teleost fish, where polyploidization has played a major role in ancestral evolutionary processes [Ohno, 1970, 1974; Furlong and Holland, 2002; McLysaght et al., 2002; Taylor and Raes, 2005; Mable et al., 2011] and in reptiles where polyploids are parthenogenetic [Hall, 1970; Bogart, 1980; Moritz, 1983], the Amphibia are the only vertebrates that have related diploid and polyploid bisexual species or populations. Natural amphibian polyploids have evolved independently in multiple families [Mable et al., 2011].

Autopolyploidy and Allopolyploidy

Polyploids originate by autopolyploidization (genome duplication within a species) and allopolyploidization (genome duplication associated with hybridization

30/2015 3:49:38 PM

/nloaded by: .143.60.1 - 8

KARGER 125

E-Mail karger@karger.com www.karger.com/cgr

© 2015 S. Karger AG, Basel 1424-8581/15/1454-0315\$39.50/0 Michael Schmid Department of Human Genetics, University of Würzburg Biozentrum, Am Hubland DE-97074 Würzburg (Germany) E-Mail m.schmid@biozentrum.uni-wuerzburg.de

among species). Individuals with autotetraploid genomes can develop by fusion of 2 diploid gametes to 1 tetraploid zygote, or by suppression of the first mitosis following fertilization. If this process is repeated, specimens with auto-octoploid karyotypes could result. In recently evolved autopolyploids, the homologous chromosomes of a quartet or octet are expected to exhibit identical chromosome banding patterns in somatic metaphases and to form multivalent pairing configurations in meiosis. In contrast, in an allopolyploid genome, if the karyotypes of the parent species are sufficiently different, then the classical banding techniques applied on the somatic metaphases should show exclusively pairs of homologous chromosomes, which all (or almost all) form bivalents in meiosis. A molecular cytogenetic approach, genomic in situ hybridization, can offer insight into a variety of interesting scenarios of allopolyploidization. A labeled genomic DNA probe of one of the diploid parental species and an unlabeled 'blocking' DNA probe from the other diploid parental species, for example, can be hybridized in situ (in an appropriate ratio) to the metaphase chromosomes of polyploid individuals. This has resulted in the detection of unexpected intergenomic exchanges in polyploid and unisexual individuals of the North American salamanders of the genus Ambystoma [Bi and Bogart, 2006; Bi et al., 2007a, b, 2008, 2009]. Such intergenomic exchanges generate new combinations of genes in the chromosomes, which contributes to the genetic variation and evolutionary flexibility of the unisexual individuals.

Experimental Production of Amphibian Polyploids

It is well known that polyploidy is experimentally inducible in amphibians under certain exceptional physical conditions. These conditions include temperature shock either by chilling or heat treatment of the eggs, puncturing unfertilized eggs with a fine platinum needle, and hydrostatic compression of the eggs, both in Anura [Briggs, 1947; Kawamura, 1951; Kawamura and Torkunaga, 1952; Dasgupta, 1962; Kawamura and Nishioka, 1963; Nishioka and Ueda, 1983; Kashiwagi, 1993] and Urodela [Fankhauser and Griffiths, 1939; Griffiths, 1941; Fankhauser and Watson, 1942; Fankhauser et al., 1942; Fischberg, 1944, 1945, 1948, 1958; Fankhauser and Humphrey, 1950, 1959; Jaylet, 1972; Gaillard and Jaylet, 1975; Ferrier and Jaylet, 1978]. In Urodela, these treatments produced numerous triploid and pentaploid larvae in addition to a few tetraploid and aneuploid ones. The triploid and tetraploid larvae sometimes completed metamorphosis, whereas the aneuploid larvae were phenotypically abnormal and died before or during metamorphosis. Fischberg [1945] was able to examine the effects of triploidy and haploidy on the sexual differentiation of the newt *Ichthyosaura alpestris*: of 27 triploid individuals, 13 with normal testes exhibited intersexual features such as overdeveloped Müllerian ducts, unpigmented Wolffian ducts, and incomplete development of secondary sexual characteristics, while 14 animals had sterile ovaries with sporadic oocytes, but were normal females with respect to the remaining sexual characteristics.

Experimentally produced amphibian triploids probably originate by inhibition of the formation or the release of the second polar body in the egg. After fertilization, the restitution nucleus is composed of 2 sets of maternal chromosomes and 1 set of paternal chromosomes. The mode of origin of the tetraploid and pentaploid individuals is unclear. In animals with a definite sex-determining mechanism, either XYơ/XX♀ or ZZơ/ZW♀, triploidy has a striking effect on sex determination and differentiation. While the homogametic sex is normal, the heterogametic sex is changed to an intersexual condition [Bridges, 1921; Goldschmidt, 1932].

The Polyploid Amphibian Species

Previous reviews on amphibian polyploidy were published by Bogart [1980], Schmid [1980], Kawamura [1984], King [1990], Schmid et al. [2010], and Evans et al. [2012]. A wealth of data on particular species or genera and numerous references can be obtained in these reports. To the best of our knowledge, the present review contains the most complete compilation of polyploid amphibians discovered to date. Naturally occurring polyploid amphibians, including spontaneously originated and/or experimentally produced amphibian polyploids are presented in table 1. In this list, changes of family and species names that were introduced after the publication of the ploidy data are incorporated. These changes are regularly updated in the electronic database of Frost [2014]. To date, natural polyploids and spontaneously originated individuals were found to occur in 15 anuran families (Alsodidae, Arthroleptidae, Bufonidae, Ceratophryidae, Dicroglossidae, Hylidae, Leiopelmatidae, Leptodactylidae, Lymnodynastidae, Microhylidae, Odontophrynidae, Pipidae, Pyxicephalidae, Ranidae, and Strabomantidae), and in 4 urodelan families (Ambystomatidae, Plethodontidae, Salamandridae, and Sire-

Table 1. Occurrence of polyploidy in Amphibia

Order, family, species, species complex ^a	Ploidy level	References ⁿ
Anura		
Alsodidae	2 42i	E
Eupsophus vertebralis	$3n = 42^{\circ}$	Formas, 1993
Arthroleptidae Astylosternus diadematus	4n = 54	Bogart and Tandy, 1976, 1981
Bufonidae		
Amietophrynus poweri	$3n = 30^{j}$	Schmid, 1978
Amietophrynus asmarae Bufotes viridis complex	4n = 40	Bogart and Tandy, 1976; Bogart, 1980; Tandy et al., 1982
prior to revision by Stöck et al., 2001b <i>Bufotes viridis</i> complex	3n = 33	Stöck et al., 1999, 2001a; Borkin et al., 2001; Cavallo et al., 2002
prior to revision by Stöck et al., 2001b	4n = 44	Bogart, 1972; Mazik et al., 1976; Pisanets, 1978; Toktosunov, 1984; Borkin et al., 1986a, b, 2001; Orlova and Uteshev, 1986; Roth and Ráb, 1986, 1987; Wu and Zhao, 1987; Borkin and Kuzmin, 1988; Stöck, 1998; Stöck et al., 2001a, 2006
The following taxa are presently included in	the Bufotes virid	<i>lis</i> complex
Bufotes baturae	3n = 33	Stöck et al., 1999, 2002, 2012; Betto-Colliard et al., 2015
Bufotes pseudoraddei	$3n = 33^{k}$	Stöck et al., 1999
Bufotes zugmayeri	$3n = 33^{k}$	Stöck et al., 2006
Bufotes oblongus danatensis	4n = 44	Stöck et al., 2001b, 2005
Bufotes oblongus oblongus	4n = 44	Stöck et al., 2001b, 2005
Bujotes pewzowi pewzowi	4n = 44	Stock et al., 2001b, 2005, 2010; Betto-Colliard et al., 2015
Bufotes pewzowi strauchi Bufotes pewzowi tarkorensis	4n = 44 4n = 44	Stock et al., 2001b, 2005, 2010 Stöck et al. 2001b, 2005, 2010
Bufotes pewzowi unicolor	4n = 44 4n = 44	Stöck et al., 2001b, 2005, 2010
Ceratophryidae	9n - 104	Pacely 1067, Pacely at al. 1067
Ceratophrys ornata	8n = 104 8n = 104	Bogart, 1967; Beçak et al., 1967 Bogart, 1967; Barrio and Rinaldi de Chieri, 1970a; Bogart and Wasserman, 1972
Ceratophrys joazeirensis	8n = 104	Vieira et al., 2006
Dicroglossidae		
Hoplobatrachus occipitalis	4n = 52	Bogart and Tandy, 1976, 1981
Hylidae		
Hyla versicolor	4n = 48	Wasserman, 1970; Bogart and Wasserman, 1972; Bachmann and Bogart, 1975; Cash and Bogart, 1978; Wiley, 1982; Anderson, 1986, 1991
Hyla versicolor × H. chrysoscelis ^b	3n = 36	Bogart and Bi, 2013
Phyllomedusa tetraploidea	4n = 52	Beçak et al., 1970b; Batistic et al., 1975; Pombal and Haddad, 1992; Haddad et al., 1994
Leiopelmatidae		
Leiopelma hochstetteri	$3n = 33^{j}$	Green et al., 1984
Leptodactylidae		
Pleurodema bibroni	4n = 44	Barrio and Rinaldi de Chieri, 1970b; Kuramoto, 1972; Veloso et al., 1973
Pleurodema kriegi	4n = 44	Barrio and Rinaldi de Chieri, 1970b; Bogart and Wasserman, 1972
Pleurodema cordobae	8n = 88	Valetti et al., 2009
Lymnodynastidae		
Neobatrachus aauilonius	4n = 48	Mahony and Roberts, 1986
Neobatrachus centralis	4n = 48	Mahony and Roberts, 1986
Neobatrachus kunapalari	4n = 48	Mahony and Roberts, 1986
Neobatrachus sudelli	4n = 48	Mahony and Robinson, 1980; Mahony and Roberts, 1986
Microhvlidae		
Aphantophryne pansa	4n = 52	Kuramoto and Allison, 1989
Chiasmocleis leucosticta	4n = 48	Kasahara and Haddad, 1997
Scaphiophryne gottlebei	4n = 52	Vences et al., 2002

Downloaded by: 198.143.60.1 - 8/30/2015 3:49:38 PM

Table 1 (continued)			
Order, family, species, species complex ^a	Ploidy level	References ⁿ	
Odontophrvnidae			
Odontophrynus americanus	4n = 44	Beçak et al., 1966, 1967, 1970a; Bogart, 1967; Martino and Sinsch, 2002	
Pipidae			
Species with Xenopus tropicalis-type karyoty	vpes (Silurana gro	oup)	
X. tropicalis	$3n = 30^{j}$	Schmid and Steinlein; this issue	
X. epitropicalis	4n = 40	Tymowska and Fischberg, 1982; Tymowska, 1991	
X. new tetraploid 1	4n = 40	Tymowska, 1991; Evans et al., 2004	
X. new tetraploid 2	4n = 40	Evans et al., 2004	
Species with Xenopus laevis-type karyotypes	s (<i>Xenopus</i> group)	
X. borealis	4n = 36	Tymowska and Fischberg, 1973; Tymowska, 1976, 1977, 1991	
X. clivii	4n = 36	Tymowska and Fischberg, 1973; Tymowska, 1977	
X. fraseri	4n = 36	Tymowska and Fischberg, 1973; Tymowska, 1977	
X. gilli	4n = 36	Tymowska and Fischberg, 1973; Tymowska, 1977, 1991	
X. laevis	4n = 36	Wickbom, 1945; Weiler and Ohno, 1962; Morescalchi, 1963;	
		Tymowska and Kobel, 1972; Tymowska, 1977, 1991	
X. largeni	4n = 36	Tymowska, 1991	
X. muelleri	4n = 36	Tymowska and Kobel, 1972; Tymowska and Fischberg, 1973; Tymowska, 1991	
X. petersii ^{c, d}	4n = 36	Tymowska and Fischberg, 1973; Tymowska, 1977, 1991	
X. poweri ^a	4n = 36	Tymowska, 1991	
X. pygmaeus	4n = 36	Loumont, 1986	
X. sp. nov. VI ^e	4n = 36	Tymowska, 1991	
X. victorianus ^d	4n = 36	Tymowska and Fischberg, 1973; Tymowska, 1977, 1991	
X. amieti	8n = 72	Kobel et al., 1980	
X. andrei	8n = 72	Loumont, 1983	
X. boumbaensis	8n = 72	Loumont, 1983	
X. itombwensis ^t	8n = 72	Evans et al., 2008	
X. lenduensis	8n = 72	Evans et al., 2011	
X. vestitus	8n = 72	Tymowska, 1976; Tymowska et al., 1977	
X. wittei	8n = 72	Tymowska, 1976; Tymowska and Fischberg, 1980	
X. sp. nov. X	8n = 72	Tymowska, 1991	
X. longipes	12n = 108	Loumont and Kobel, 1991	
X. ruwenzoriensis	12n = 108	Tymowska and Fischberg, 1973; Tymowska, 1991	
X. cf. boumbaensis	12n = 108	Evans, 2007	
X. sp. nov. VIII ^g	12n = 108	Tymowska, 1991	
Pyxicephalidae	4 50		
1 omopterna tanayi	4n = 52	Bogart and Tandy, 1976, 1981; Channing and Bogart, 1996	
Ranidae			
Glandirana rugosa	$3n = 39^{1}$	Kashiwagi, 1993	
Lithobates pipiens	$3n = 39^{1}$	Briggs, 1947	
<i>Lithobates chiricahuensis</i> × <i>L. pipiens</i> ^b	3n = 39	Green and Delisle, 1985	
Pelophylax esculentus ⁿ	3n = 39	Uzzell and Berger, 1975; Heppich, 1978; Heppich and Tunner, 1979	
Pelophylax esculentus ^h	$4n = 52^{j}$	Borkin et al., 2004	
Pelophylax esculentus ^h	$5n = 65^{j}$	Hermaniuk et al., 2013	
Pelohylax nigromaculatus	$3n = 39^{l}$	Kawamura, 1951	
Pelohylax nigromaculatus	$4n = 52^{l}$	Kawamura, 1939	
Pelohylax nigromaculatus	$6n = 78^{l}$	Kawamura, 1939	
Rana japonica	3n = 39 ¹	Kawamura and Tokunaga, 1952	
Strabomantidae			
Holoaden luederwaldti	$3n = 27^{j}$	Campos et al., 2012	

Downloaded by: 198.143.60.1 - 8/30/2015 3:49:38 PM

Table 1 (continued)

Order, family, species, species complex ^a	Ploidy level	References ⁿ
Urodela		
Ambystomatidae ⁱ		
Ambystoma mexicanum	$3n = 42^{l}$	Fankhauser and Humphrey, 1950, 1959
Ambystoma mexicanum	$4n = 56^{l}$	Fankhauser and Humphrey, 1959
Ambystoma nothagenes (unisexual LTTi)	3n = 42	Kraus, 1985
Ambystoma platineum (unisexual LJJ)	3n = 42	Uzzell, 1963, 1964; Sessions, 1982
Ambystoma tremblayi (unisexual LLJ)	3n = 42	Uzzell, 1963, 1964; Sessions, 1982
Ambystoma (unisexual LLT)	3n = 42	Bogart et al., 1985
Ambystoma (unisexual LTT)	3n = 42	Downs, 1978
Ambystoma (unisexual LLLT)	4n = 56	Bogart and Licht, 1986
Ambystoma (unisexual LLTT)	4n = 56	Bogart and Licht, 1986
Ambystoma (unisexual LTTT)	4n = 56	Bogart and Licht, 1986
Ambystoma (unisexual LLLJ)	4n = 56	Bogart and Klemens, 1997
Ambystoma (unisexual LLJJ)	4n = 56	Bogart and Klemens, 1997
Ambystoma (unisexual LJJJ)	4n = 56	Morris and Brandon, 1984
Ambystoma (unisexual LJJB)	4n = 56	Bogart et al., 2009
Ambystoma (unisexual LTJTi)	4n = 56	Bogart, 2003
Ambystoma (unisexual LTTTi)	4n = 56	Bogart et al., 1987
Ambystoma (unisexual LLLLJ)	5n = 70	Lowcock and Murphy, 1991
Plethodontidae		
Eurycea bislineata	$3n = 42^{j}$	Fankhauser, 1939
Eurycea bislineata	$4n = 56^{j}$	Fankhauser, 1939
Salamandridae		
Cynops pyrrhogaster	$3n = 36^{j, l}$	Fankhauser et al., 1942
Ichthyosaura alpestris	3n = 36 ¹	Fischberg, 1944, 1945, 1948, 1958
Lissotriton vulgaris	$3n = 36^{j}$	Böök, 1940; Litvinchuk et al., 1998
Notophthalmus viridescens	$3n = 36^{j, l}$	Fankhauser and Griffiths; 1939; Fankhauser, 1941; Griffiths, 1941;
-		Fankhauser and Watson, 1942
Pleurodeles waltl	$3n = 36^{1}$	Jaylet, 1972; Gaillard and Jaylet, 1975; Ferrier and Jaylet, 1978
Sirenidae		
Pseudobranchus striatus	$4n = 48^{m}$	Morescalchi et al., 1986
Pseudobranchus striatus	$6n = 64^{m}$	Morescalchi and Olmo, 1974; Morescalchi et al., 1986
Siren intermedia	4n = 46	Morescalchi and Olmo, 1974; Morescalchi et al., 1986
Siren lacertina	4n = 52	Morescalchi and Olmo, 1974; Morescalchi, 1975

Included are the naturally occurring polyploid species and spontaneously originated and/or experimentally produced polyploid individuals.

^a Changes of family and species names introduced after publication of the ploidy data were taken into consideration and are regularly updated in the electronic database of Frost [2014].

^b Natural allotriploid hybrids.

^c Species called X. sp. nov. IX by Tymowska [1991].

^d For nomenclature, see Furman et al. [2015].

^e Species called 'X. new tetraploid' by Evans et al. [2004].

^f In the review of Schmid et al. [2010], this species is erroneously listed as dodecaploid.

^g According to Evans et al. [2012], this dodecaploid species status may be the same as *X*. cf. *boumbaensis*; however, unpublished data [B.J. Evans] indicates that these 2 dodecaploid species are in fact each a distinct species.

^h Pelophylax esculentus is a hybrid of Pelohylax lessonae and Pelohylax ridibundus.

ⁱ Unisexual, all-female salamanders in the North American genus *Ambystoma* are mostly polyploid and contain nuclear genomes of 2–4 different species that can include *Ambystoma laterale* (L), *A. jeffersonianum* (J), *A. texanum* (T), *A. tigrinum* (Ti), or *A. barbouri* (B). The unisexuals all have a similar mtDNA and always include at least 1 L genome in their nuclei. The various genomic combinations and the reproductive system used by unisexual *Ambystoma* are described by Bogart [2003] and Bogart et al. [2007, 2009].

^j Spontaneously originated polyploid individual(s) found in wild populations.

^k Unclarified whether the entire taxon is polyploid or only many individuals in the population.

¹ Experimentally obtained polyploid individuals.

^m Results not supported by analyses of Moler and Kezer [1993].

ⁿ Only the relevant initial cytogenetic studies, revisions and reviews are listed.

nidae). It seems that polyploidy is a widespread phenomenon in the orders Anura and Urodela, that has played a role in speciation and evolution. No polyploids have yet been found in species of the order Gymnophiona, but compared to anurans and urodelans, relatively low numbers of individuals have been cytogenetically analyzed.

Bufotes viridis Complex

Palearctic toads of the *Bufotes viridis* complex (table 1) form a monophyletic radiation of at least 12 major mitochondrial DNA haplotype groups [Stöck et al., 2006]. There are several cases of range overlap and interactions through hybridization and polyploidization [Colliard et al., 2010; Dufresnes et al., 2014]. This radiation includes bisexually reproducing diploid (2n = 22), triploid (3n =33) and tetraploid (4n = 44) species, all of which occur in Central Asia [Stöck et al., 2010]. Of particular interest was the discovery of the all-triploid anuran species Bufotes baturae (table 1) [Stöck et al., 1999, 2002, 2012; Betto-Colliard et al., 2015]. This toad species maintains a pure triploid status by an exceptional mechanism that modifies meiosis in males and females in such a way that both parents contribute unequal amounts of their genomes $(n_{\sigma} +$ $2n_{\varphi}$) to their triploid offspring [Stöck et al., 2002, 2012; Betto-Colliard et al., 2015]. In other vertebrates, the very rare occurrence of triploidy is coupled with infertility or unisexuality, or requires the coexistence of individuals with different degrees of ploidy in the reproductive community.

Genera Ceratophrys, Odontophrynus and Pleurodema

South American frogs belonging to the families Ceratophryidae, Odontophrynidae and Leptodactylidae were among the first naturally occurring polyploid species found in vertebrates (table 1). Saez and Brum [1960] observed high chromosome numbers in *Odontophrynus americanus* and *Ceratophrys ornata* but did not realize their polyploid nature. Few years later, Beçak et al. [1966] recognized the tetraploid status of *O. americanus*. Extensive studies on many populations of *O. americanus* in Brazil, Uruguay and Argentina showed that this species consists of diploid (2n = 22) and tetraploid (4n = 44) populations [Beçak et al., 1967, 1970a; Bogart, 1967; Barrio and Rinaldi de Chieri, 1970a; Barrio and Pistol de Rubel, 1972]. The tetraploid *O. americanus* is now considered to be included in a complex of species that contain diploid members [Rosset et al., 2006; Grenat et al., 2009]. Beçak et al. [1967] demonstrated that the Brazilian Ceratophrys dorsata (now C. aurita) is an octoploid species (8n = 104)probably derived from diploid ceratophryidids with 2n = 26 chromosomes. In C. ornata, another species of this genus from Argentina, both octoploid as well as diploid populations were discovered [Bogart, 1967; Bianchi and Molina, 1968; Barrio and Rinaldi de Chieri, 1970a; Bogart and Wasserman, 1972]. Later, the diploid C. ornata was described as a distinct species, C. cranwelli, by Barrio [1980], and a third octoploid species, C. joazeirensis, was reported by Mercadal de Barrio [1986]. Clearly, many of the previously recognized diploid-polyploid populations are, in reality, diploid and polyploid cryptic species, which detract from the notion that polyploidy may arise spontaneously as an artifact in populations of diploid individuals. To better understand the evolution of ploidy levels in this group, it will be useful to know if the 3 species of Ceratophrys had an octoploid common ancestor or if they evolved independently from diploids, tetraploids, or perhaps hexaploids.

Comparative meiotic analyses in male and female individuals of diploid (2n = 22) and tetraploid (2n = 44) *O. americanus*, diploid *C. cranwelli* (2n = 26) and octoploid *C. ornata* (2n = 104) showed that diploid females form more chiasmata in the paired chromosome arms than diploid males and polyploids of both sexes [Rahn and Martínez, 1983].

It has been assumed that the polyploid populations of the South American Odontophrynus and Ceratophrys evolved recently by autopolyploidization [Beçak et al., 1967, 1970a, b; Bogart, 1980]. Their conventionally stained karyotypes show homogeneity within the chromosome groups, and the homologous chromosomes still pair as multivalents in meiosis. In accordance with this, electrophoretic analyses on 9 enzyme systems yielded no detectable polymorphisms in tetraploid O. americanus [Schwantes, 1974]. However, the results obtained by Cbanding show that distinct heterogeneities exist in the banding patterns in the quartets 1-4, 6 and 11 of O. americanus and the octets 2, 4 and 5 of C. ornata [Schmid et al., 1985]. In the chromosomes of the quartets 3 and 4 of O. americanus, there are even slight differences in the arm ratios, which are visible in conventionally stained preparations. Ruiz et al. [1981] performed an extensive cytogenetic study on several diploid and tetraploid populations in the O. americanus complex from Brazil and Uruguay. These authors also found a tetraploid individual in which 2 of the chromosomes of the quartet 4 can

320

be differentiated from the 2 other homologues by the position of constitutively heterochromatic regions. It is remarkable that C-banding subdivides each of the quartets 3, 4 and 11 of O. americanus into exactly 2 pairs of homologous chromosomes and each of the octets 2, 4 and 5 of C. ornata into 2 quartets of homologues. The conclusion could be drawn that these frogs are not autopolyploids as was previously assumed, but rather are of hybrid (allopolyploid) origin. But, the high frequency of meiotic multivalent pairing configurations in O. americanus and C. ornata, which are characteristic for autopolyploid organisms, brings this inference into question. The alternative explanation is that the differences in the banding patterns within the quartets and octets in question developed gradually after autopolyploidization. It is known that polyploid genomes generally become functionally diploid in the course of evolution (diploidization, see below).

Genus Xenopus

Polyploid species of the African clawed frogs of the genus Xenopus (table 1) are thought to have an entirely (or almost entirely) hybrid (allopolyploid) origin [for review, see Evans, 2008]. Amazingly, polyploid species appear to have originated independently by allopolyploidization on multiple occasions, with tetraploids arising twice (once in the Silurana group and once in the Xenopus group as defined by Kobel et al. [1996]), octoploids arising at least 3 times, and dodecaploids arising at least 3 times [Evans, 2007]. Unpublished data (B.J. Evans) has identified additional dodecaploid species and suggests that the actual number of independent polyploidization events is even higher than this. In these species, the analyses of male meiosis demonstrate almost exclusively bivalents [Tymowska, 1991]. The rare multivalent pairing configurations probably have modest genomic repercussions in terms of crossover events between the duplicated pairs of homologous chromosomes per generation, and are not more frequent than in experimentally produced hybrids [Müller, 1977]. Analyses of the conventionally stained tetraploid karyotype of X. epitropicalis have shown that the chromosomes can be grouped into sets of 4 chromosomes (quartets). But, C-banding revealed heterogeneity of the constitutive heterochromatin within all quartets, dividing each of them into 2 pairs of homologous chromosomes [Tymowska and Fischberg, 1982]. In contrast to C-banding, high-resolution BrdU/dT-replication bands in metaphase chromosomes of diploid *X. tropicalis* (2n = 20) and both tetraploid *X. epitropicalis* and *X.* new tetraploid 1 (4n = 40) showed the existence of perfect replication homoeologies in all 10 chromosome pairs and quartets of the 3 species; no apparent replication asynchronies could be detected [Schmid and Steinlein, this issue]. Considering the allopolyploid origin of *X. epitropicalis* and *X.* new tetraploid 1, this observation supports the conclusion that the 2 ancestral diploid parental species were closely related with extremely similar, if not identical, karyotypes. The replication banding patterns in their euchromatic chromosome regions were still the same, whereas the fast-evolving and genetically inert constitutive heterochromatin had already diverged.

Hyla versicolor – H. chrysoscelis Complex

Tetraploid Hyla versicolor (table 1) and diploid H. chrysoscelis are cryptic species that are widely distributed in eastern North America [Bogart, 1980]. The 2 species cannot be distinguished by morphology, but they have distinctly different vocalizations that were originally used to differentiate them [Johnson, 1966] prior to their identification as a diploid (H. chrysoscelis) and tetraploid (H. versicolor) species pair [Wasserman, 1970; Bogart and Wasserman, 1972]. Based on mitochondrial sequences [Ptacek et al., 1994], H. versicolor arose at least 3 times, twice from H. chrysoscelis and once from an unknown maternal ancestor. Using mitochondrial and nuclear markers, Holloway et al. [2006] also suggested that tetraploids arose multiple times from *H. chrysoscelis* and from 2 other extinct lineages. Rather than tetraploidy arising de novo from diploid ancestors, the available mitochondrial data would also support a 'triploid bridge hypothesis' [Ralin and Selander, 1979; Bogart and Bi, 2013] where new tetraploids arise from triploid hybrids.

Terraranan Frogs

The unranked anuran taxon Terrarana is an immense group of frogs that includes the 5 families Brachycephalidae, Ceuthomantidae, Craugastoridae, Eleutherodactylidae, and Strabomantidae [Hedges et al., 2008; Heinicke et al., 2009] and nearly 1,000 recognized species, which is one sixth of the 5,984 known species of anurans [AmphibiaWeb, 2015]. New species of terraranans are being discovered and described at an extraordinary rate of about 15–25 species per year. In a monograph on terra-

ranan frogs, 2,548 specimens belonging to 227 species of Terrarana were cytogenetically examined [Schmid et al., 2010]. Furthermore, all the previously published cytogenetic analyses on at least 1,673 terraranan specimens of 138 species were reevaluated (many of the early reports do not indicate the number of specimens examined). It came as a surprise that all data accumulated in 2010 indicated that polyploidy seems to be absent in the huge taxon Terrarana. Not a single polyploid individual was found among a total of at least 4,221 cytogenetically examined terraranan specimens. The only terraranan species in which the existence of polyploidy had ever been discussed is the complex case of Haddadus binotatus from Brazil [Beçak and Beçak, 1974]. This craugastorid has a moderate diploid chromosome number of 2n = 22, but its chromosomes are by far the largest (in terms of length, width and degree of condensation) of all terraranan species so far examined. Concomitantly, the genome size of this species (27 pg DNA/nucleus) is the largest in the taxon, the nuclear volume in erythrocytes is distinctly larger than in the other terraranans, and multivalent ring configurations (up to dodecavalents) are frequently formed in male meiosis. These observations led Beçak and Beçak [1974] to consider the possibility that the karyotype of H. binotatus originated by polyploidization combined with intercalary duplications. Subsequently, the chromosome number was reduced by nonreciprocal translocations as indicated by the multiple meiotic multivalent ring configurations. But all the examined species of the family Craugastoridae possess diploid chromosome numbers in the range of 2n = 18 to 2n = 24 and fundamental numbers in the range of FN = 32 to FN = 44, which are very similar values to those of *H. binotatus* (2n = 22, FN = 38). Therefore, it is unlikely that the H. binotatus karyotype was derived from a polyploid ancestor that experienced a series of multiple nonreciprocal translocations. It is more conceivable that the unusually large genome of H. binotatus is the result of considerable intercalary amplification of middle repetitive DNA sequences, as has already been demonstrated to exist in other Amphibia. An experimental approach to test this possibility is to subject H. binotatus DNA to reassociation kinetic experiments [Baldari and Amaldi, 1976]. Nevertheless, as has been shown by Siqueira et al. [2004] and Schmid et al. [2010], a translocation heterozygosity involving 2 chromosome pairs exists in the H. binotatus population. This reciprocal translocation, however, only accounts for the meiotic ring quadrivalents and not for multivalents with more than 4 involved chromosomes. Therefore, it has been suggested [Siqueira et al.,

2004] that multiple reciprocal and terminal translocations between non-homologous chromosomes are present in the H. binotatus karyotype. Such small reciprocal translocations between terminal chromosome regions have also been proposed for explaining the multivalent configurations in male meiosis of the frog Physalaemus petersi [Lourenço et al., 2000]. More recently, meiotic ring multivalents, with the participation of nucleolus organizer region (NOR)-bearing chromosomes, have been described for the Brazilian hylid frogs Aplastodiscus albofrenatus and A. arildae, which were again traced back to multiple terminal translocations [Carvalho et al., 2009]. An alternative and simple explanation for such terminal meiotic associations between non-homologous bivalents is non-chiasmatic ectopic pairing between heterochromatic telomeric regions. Such terminal associations are common in many animal species as has been shown by Drets and Stoll [1974] and John and King [1982, 1985]. Furthermore, Callan [1991] has demonstrated that the telomeres of homologous, but also of non-homologous chromosomes, are frequently fused to one another, but dissociate by first meiotic metaphase in meiosis of diploid urodelan and anuran species. Despite the presumption that polyploidy may be absent in terraranans, Campos et al. [2012] reported the first spontaneous triploid individual in the strabomantid Holoaden luederwaldti (table 1). Among 7 individuals $(5\sigma, 2\mathfrak{P})$ collected in the Atlantic Forests of Brazil (Campos de Jordão municipality), 6 were diploid (2n = 18) and 1 male was triploid (3n = 27)in all somatic tissues analyzed. The preparations obtained from testes showed few unidentifiable meiotic stages. No morphological difference between the diploid specimens and the triploid animal was apparent. Taking together the sampling numbers in Schmid et al. [2010] and the finding of Campos et al. [2012], the proportion of spontaneous polyploids in natural terraranan populations under normal environmental conditions is approximately 1:4,200 (~0.025%). This proportion has limited extension to other amphibians that inhabit temperate zones of the northern hemisphere and develop during spring when temperatures can drop abruptly to temperatures below 0°C. As described above, chilling of amphibian eggs can induce polyploidy. Therefore, the rate of spontaneously developing polyploids in these species can be distinctly higher than in the neotropical Terrarana. Thus, Richards and Nace [1977] detected varying and occasionally large numbers of unreduced diploid eggs laid by individual female specimens of the frog Lithobates pipiens and concluded that these eggs, when fertilized, would give rise to triploid embryos.

322

Pelohylax esculentus – P. lessonae – P. ridibundus Complex

Hybridization of 2 diploid species may lead to polyploidy, because differences between 2 divergent genomes can lead to meiotic disturbances and, in turn, to aneuploid gametes [Vrijenhoek, 1989]. Thus, single cases of viable allotriploid hybrids were detected among offspring of natural crosses between the frogs Lithobates chiricahuensis and L. pipiens and between H. versicolor and H. chrysoscelis (table 1). An unusual case of polyploidy occurs in the frog Pelophylax esculentus (table 1). This amphibian exists in numerous diploid and triploid populations from France eastward into European Russia and from southern Scandinavia into Italy. P. esculentus is a hybrid between the bisexual species P. lessonae (LL genome) and P. ridibundus (RR genome) and is sympatric with the parental species over much of its range. Both species and the hybrid can be freely crossed in laboratory conditions, giving progeny of varying viability [Berger, 1988; Berger et al., 1994]. Diploid individuals (LR genome) depend on gametes from one or the other parental species. In the lessonae-esculentus system, frogs exclude the L genome during gametogenesis and produce exclusively clonal R gametes (hybridogenesis, hemiclonal reproduction). Therefore, they have to cross with P. lessonae to produce new hybrids [for reviews, see Graf and Polls Pelaz, 1989; Christiansen, 2009]. Interhybrid crossings yield RR offspring that usually die before reaching sexual maturity, because of homozygosity for deleterious mutations [Vorburger, 2001; Guex et al., 2002; Christiansen, 2009]. A reverse form of this system operates in the ridibundus-esculentus system [for reviews, see Graf and Polls Pelaz, 1989; Christiansen, 2009]. Here LR frogs predominantly produce L gametes and, therefore, have to cross with P. ridibundus in order to form new hybrids.

P. ridibundus does not always exist in stable diploid systems. In many geographic regions, the diploid parental species (LL and RR genomes), diploid hybrids (LR genomes), and triploid hybrids (LLR and LRR genomes) are present [Rybacki and Berger, 2001]. In the northern part of the range (Sweden, Denmark, northern Germany, northern Poland), diploids and triploids often form all-hybrid populations [Regnier and Neveu, 1986; Zavadil, 1994; Lada et al., 1995; Mikulícek and Kotlík, 2001; Rybacki and Berger, 2001; Christiansen et al., 2005; Arioli, 2007; Jakob, 2007; Christiansen and Reyer, 2009; Arioli et al., 2010]. Spontaneous cases of tetraploidy were reported in some populations, but it is not known if they are of any importance for the genetic dynamics of these populations

[Borkin et al., 2004; Christiansen, 2009]. A detailed overview on the hybridogenic reproduction mode of *P. esculentus* in the various populations, the types, ploidy degree and frequencies of different gametes, as well as the XY sex-determining system was published by Christiansen et al. [2005] and Christiansen [2009].

Genus Neobatrachus

There are 9 extant species of Australian lymnodynastid frogs in the genus Neobatrachus. 5 species (N. fulvus, N. pelobatoides, N. pictus, N. sutor, N. wilsmorei) are diploid (2n = 24), and 4 species (*N. aquilonius*, *N. centralis*, *N. kunapalari*, *N. sudelli*) are tetraploid (4n = 48; table 1). The 4 tetraploid species inhabit wide areas of Australia allopatrically. According to Mahony and Roberts [1986], the origin of the tetraploids was by autopolyploidy in a single event. They did not find any indication of diploidization (see below) in the tetraploid karyotypes. In the diakinesis stage of meiosis, in male tetraploids a high frequency of tetravalents was observed; 70% of the diakineses contained more tetravalents than bivalents. Some of the diploid species occur sympatrically with the tetraploid species, and in 2 localities, triploid hybrids were detected. Additionally, a single pentaploid specimen was found.

Diploidization of Polyploid Genomes

Diploidization processes operating in polyploid genomes cause divergent development of originally identical chromosomes and genes. They lead to strict formation of bivalents in meiosis and disomic segregation rates. Diploidized genomes are polyploid with respect to the amount of genetic material and the number of gene copies, but diploid with respect to the nature of cell division in that each chromosome pairs with only 1 other partner [Ohno, 1970; Ferris and Whitt, 1977; Leipoldt, 1983; Soltis and Soltis, 1999; Wendel, 2000; Gregory and Mable, 2005; Braasch and Postlethwait, 2012; Roulin et al., 2012]. Diploidization must have been important to stabilize the benefits associated with polyploidization, such as the creation of genes with the potential to evolve novel functions [Ohno, 1970]. For the tetraploid Asian bufonid species and subspecies (table 1) both allopolyploid and autopolyploid modes of origin have been proposed, but this is still controversial. Whether the presence of unusual quinacrine-bright heterochromatic bands in single chromosomes of these tetraploid bufonid species and subspecies

8/30/2015 3:49:38 PM

/nloaded by: .143.60.1 - 8/ reflects an ancestral polymorphism of constitutive heterochromatin, or is evidence of diploidization, or is a sign of hybridization between different taxa (as closely related mitochondrial DNA sequences in diploid and tetraploid specimens suggest), is still unknown [for review, see Stöck et al., 2005].

The preferential formation of bivalents instead of multivalents is the prerequisite for diploidization. Therefore, a structural heterogeneity must be created among the homologous chromosomes in the polyploid karyotype. Careful studies on the karyotypes of phylogenetically tetraploid fishes of the orders Isospondyli and Ostariophysi have shown that such structural heterogeneities can originate by centric fusions and pericentric inversions of the chromosomes [Ohno, 1970, 1974; Ohno et al., 1968]. It has been concluded that much smaller changes than the inter- and intrachromosomal rearrangements mentioned above suffice to initiate the diploidization process [Schmid et al., 1985]. These definite differences between the original homologues in some quartets of O. americanus and octets of C. ornata are manifested in the size, staining intensity, and position of some C-bands. It is generally accepted that constitutively heterochromatic regions are enriched with genetically inert DNA [Arrighi and Saunders, 1973]. Furthermore, closely related species may possess entirely different satellite DNAs [Walker, 1968; Hennig and Walker, 1970; Arrighi and Saunders, 1973], as well as different C-banding patterns in their karyotypes. Apparently, the evolutionary changes occurring in the highly repeated DNA sequences located in the constitutive heterochromatin can be very rapid because they are not subject to selective pressure. This could also explain why some quartets and octets of O. americanus and C. ornata, which are supposed to be recently evolved autopolyploids, show heterogeneities in their heterochromatin patterns even though other chromosome parameters (length, arm ratio, constrictions) are usually unchanged.

The number of active NORs is important with respect to a possible diploidization of the gene activity in the genomes of polyploids. In *O. americanus*, the 4 NORs in the quartet 11 correspond to the tetraploid status [Schmid et al., 1985]. In agreement with this, by means of molecular RNA-DNA-hybridization experiments, Schmidtke et al. [1976] have found that tetraploid *O. americanus* are endowed with about twice the number of 18S + 28S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) cistrons than the diploid frogs. In contrast to this, comparative measurements of erythrocyte volume and hemoglobin content per cell, lactate dehydrogenase activity in heart muscle tissue [Beçak and Pueyo, 1970], and RNA content per kidney cell [Beçak and Goissis, 1971] essentially yield identical values in the tetraploid and diploid O. americanus. This would suggest that overall levels of gene expression in tetraploids may be similar to those of diploids. Various observations in animals [Pedersen, 1971] and plants [Maher and Fox, 1973; Siegel et al., 1973] indicate a selective loss of 18S + 28S rDNA cistrons as a possible means of decreasing genetic activity. In O. americanus, however, the phenomenon of diploidization of genetic activity cannot be attributed to the loss of 18S + 28S rDNA as shown by the number of active NORs and the amount of rDNA present in the genomes. This result corresponds with the findings in diploid and tetraploid species of the fish family Cyprinidae. While genetic activity is similarly diploidized in the tetraploids [Schmidtke and Engel, 1975], the number of 18S + 28S rDNA cistrons is proportional to the degree of ploidy [Schmidtke et al., 1975]. A similar mechanism might likewise be responsible for the reduction of the genetic activity observed in tetraploid O. americanus [Beçak and Pueyo, 1970; Beçak and Goissis, 1971]. A study on the methylation status of the 18S + 28S rDNA cistrons in O. americanus revealed that methylation of the ribosomal genes was increased in the tetraploid genomes of adult animals, but exact quantitative determinations could not be obtained [Ruiz and Brison, 1989]. In contrast to the results in O. americanus, the cytogenetically examined specimens of C. ornata did not exhibit a NOR number that corresponded to its octoploid status [Schmid et al., 1985]. The NOR-specific Agstaining, mithramycin- and chromomycin A3-fluorescence unequivocally showed that 4 NORs had been deleted in octet 7. Similarly, most of the frogs possessed only 4 NORs in octet 8, and more than 4 NORs were rare. This loss of rRNA genes can be interpreted as a sign of progressive diploidization. As concluded by Schmid et al. [1985], further studies on other octoploid populations of C. ornata are necessary to find out if there are still individuals showing the maximum number of 16 NORs in their karyotypes, as well as the various intermediary stages of NOR reduction. The diploidization of the rRNA genes in C. ornata would be concluded if only 1 pair of NORs were present in octets 7 and 8. In all tetraploid Asian bufonid species and subspecies (table 1), only 2 NORs have been detected by Ag-staining, mithramycin staining, as well as by 18S + 28S rDNA in situ hybridization [Stöck et al., 2005]. This demonstrates an actual loss of 50% of the original ribosomal cistrons of the tetraploid frog genomes and is an indication of an advanced diploidization process. Also tetraploid Xenopus species have

a single pair of nucleolar constrictions and a single pair of Ag-stained NORs in their karyotypes; co-dominant expression of the parental NORs, which is expected in polyploids, has not been found in tetraploid *Xenopus* species [Tymowska and Fischberg, 1982; Tymowska, 1991]. This indicates either a genetic inactivation or, more probably, a complete loss of the other ribosomal cistrons.

Finally, it must be emphasized that, in addition to the process of diploidization, considerable chromosomal rearrangements, as deletions, and inversions, intra- and intergenomic translocations and insertions can occur after polyploidization and change the karyotype in relatively short time [Soltis and Soltis, 1999; Adams, 2007; Gaeta et al., 2007].

Sex Chromosome Evolution and Polyploidization

In amphibians, sex determination is triggered by allelic differences between sex-specific and non-sex-specific regions of the sex chromosomes. Sex specificity is thought to be maintained by a lack of genetic recombination between a portion of the chromosome that carries the genetic trigger (the Y or W) and the other sex chromosome that is not sex-specific (the X or Z, respectively) [Charlesworth et al., 2005; Bergero and Charlesworth, 2009]. Interestingly, in some species the 'sex-specific' region in fact is not always sex-specific, and this could limit the extent of sex chromosome divergence if there exist differences in the rate of recombination between phenotypic males and females [Perrin, 2009; Stöck et al., 2011].

If the non-recombining region encompasses a large portion of the sex chromosome, each allele of other genes (that do not trigger sex determination) also has an independent evolutionary fate on each sex chromosome. Occasionally, an allele of one of these genes in the nonrecombining portion of the sex-specific chromosome becomes a pseudogene, creating an imbalance between the sexes in the number of alleles. Loss of alleles on the sex-specific chromosome is potentially problematic for a polyploid genome if 1 copy of this chromosome acquires an autosomal mode of inheritance after genome duplication [Evans et al., 2012]. This is also problematic if dosage compensation mechanisms, which equilibrate expression levels across the sexes of sex-linked genes with differing allelic counts between males and females, are disrupted during the initial stages of polyploid speciation [Orr, 1990]. Evidence of dosage compensation is

lacking in amphibians [Schmid et al., 1986, 2010, 2012], so it is possible that disruption of dosage compensation is not germane to the question of whether differences in allele content between the sex chromosomes influenced polyploidization. Either way, both of these scenarios are similar in the sense that they predict that polyploidization would be less common in lineages with substantial differences in allele content between the sex chromosomes.

As discussed above, the African clawed frogs (Xenopus) stand out among amphibian genera because of their high number (at least 8) of independent polyploidization events [Evans et al., 2005, 2008, 2011; Evans, 2007, 2008]. Interestingly, the sex chromosomes of X. laevis are essentially identical except a small sex-determining region on the W chromosome [Tymowska, 1991; Uno et al., 2013]. This also appears to be true for *X. tropicalis* [Bewick et al., 2013], and, by extension, the closely related tetraploid species in the Silurana group, even though sex determination in the Silurana group is triggered by a different gene on a different (non-homologous) chromosome from that in X. laevis [Yoshimoto et al., 2008; Olmstead et al., 2010; Bewick et al., 2011; Uno et al., 2013]. Furthermore, laboratory crosses indicate that tetraploidization in Xenopus can occur via a female triploid intermediate that carries 1 W chromosome and 2 Z chromosomes [Kobel, 1996]. This suggests that, unlike other chromosomes in the nucleus, the W chromosome needs not be duplicated by polyploidization, and thus that a copy of the W may never have segregated as an autosome after polyploidization in Xenopus. Together these factors may help explain the high incidence of polyploidization in this genus.

References

- Adams KL: Evolution of duplicate gene expression in polyploid and hybrid plants. J Hered 98:136–141 (2007).
- AmphibiaWeb: Information on Amphibian Biology and Conservation. http://amphibiaweb. org/. University of California, Berkeley, California (2015).
- Anderson K: A Cytotaxonomic Analysis of the Holarctic Treefrogs in the Genus *Hyla*. PhD thesis, University of New York (1986).
- Anderson K: Chromosome evolution in Holarctic treefrogs, in Green DM, Sessions SK (eds): Amphibian Cytogenetics and Evolution, pp 299–331 (Academic Press, San Diego 1991).
- Arioli M: Reproductive Patterns and Population Genetics in Pure Hybridogenetic Water Frog Populations of *Rana esculenta*. PhD thesis, University of Zurich, Zurich (2007).

/2015 3:49:38 PM

- Arioli M, Jakob C, Reyer HU: Genetic diversity in water frog hybrids *(Pelophylax esculentus)* varies with population structure and geographic location. Mol Ecol 19:1814–1828 (2010).
- Arrighi FE, Saunders GF: The relationship between repetitious DNA and constitutive heterochromatin with special reference to man, in Pfeiffer RA (ed): Modern Aspects of Cytogenetics: Constitutive Heterochromatin in Man, pp 113–133, Symposia Medica Hoechst, Vol 6 (Schattauer, Stuttgart 1973).
- Bachmann K, Bogart JP: Comparative cytochemical measurements in the diploid-tetraploid species pair of hylid frogs *Hyla chrysoscelis* and *H. versicolor*. Cytogenet Cell Genet 15: 186–194 (1975).
- Baldari CT, Amaldi F: DNA reassociation kinetics in relation to genome size in four amphibian species. Chromosoma 59:13–22 (1976).
- Barrio A: Una nueva especie de *Ceratophrys* (Anura: Ceratophryidae) del Dominio Chaqueño. Physis 39:21–30 (1980).
- Barrio A, Pistol de Rubel D: Encuesta cariotípica de poblaciones argentino-uruguayas de Odontophrynus americanus (Anura, Leptodactylidae) relacionada con otros rasgos taxonómicos. Physis 31:281–291 (1972).
- Barrio A, Rinaldi de Chieri P: Relaciones cariosistemáticas de los Ceratophrydidae de la Argentina (Amphibia, Anura). Physis 30:321– 329 (1970a).
- Barrio A, Rinaldi de Chieri P: Cytogenetic studies of the genus *Pleurodema* (Amphibia, Anura, Leptodactylidae) and their evolutionary implications. Physis 30:309–319 (1970b).
- Batistic RF, Soma M, Beçak ML, Beçak W: Further studies on polyploid amphibians. A diploid population of *Phyllomedusa burmeisteri*. J Hered 66:160–162 (1975).
- Beçak ML: Cariótipos e evolução cromossômica em Amphibia – Anura. DSc thesis, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade São Paulo, São Paulo (1967).
- Beçak ML, Beçak W: Diploidization in *Eleutherodactylus* (Leptodactylidae-Amphibia). Experientia 30:624–625 (1974).
- Beçak ML, Beçak W, Rabello MN: Cytological evidence of constant tetraploidy in the bisexual South American frog Odontophrynus americanus. Chromosoma 19:188–193 (1966).
- Beçak ML, Beçak W, Rabello MN: Further studies on polyploid amphibians (Ceratophrydidae).I. Mitotic and meiotic aspects. Chromosoma 22:192–201 (1967).
- Beçak ML, Beçak W, Vizotto LD: A diploid population of the polyploid amphibian Odontophrynus americanus and an artificial intraspecific triploid hybrid. Experientia 26:545– 546 (1970a).
- Beçak ML, Denaro L, Beçak W: Polyploidy and mechanisms of karyotypic diversification in Amphibia. Cytogenetics 9:225–238 (1970b).
- Beçak W, Goissis G: DNA and RNA content in diploid and tetraploid amphibians. Experientia 27:345–346 (1971).

- Beçak W, Pueyo MT: Gene regulation in the polyploid amphibian *Odontophrynus americanus*. Exp Cell Res 63:448–451 (1970).
- Berger L: Principles of studies of European water frogs. Acta Zool Cracoviensia 31:563–580 (1988).
- Berger L, Rybacki M, Hotz H: Artificial fertilization of water frogs. Amphibia-Reptilia 15: 408–413 (1994).
- Bergero R, Charlesworth D: The evolution of restricted recombination in sex chromosomes. Trends Ecol Evol 24:94–102 (2009).
- Betto-Colliard C, Sermier R, Litvinchuk S, Perrin N, Stöck M: Origin and genome evolution of polyploid green toads in Central Asia: evidence from microsatellite markers. Heredity 114:300–308 (2015).
- Bewick AJ, Anderson DW, Evans BJ: Evolution of the closely related, sex-related genes DM-W and DMRT1 in African clawed frogs (Xenopus). Evolution 65:698–712 (2011).
- Bewick AJ, Chain FJJ, Zimmerman LB, Sesay A, Gilchrist M, et al: A large pseudoautosomal region on the sex chromosomes of the frog *Silurana tropicalis*. Genome Biol Evol 5:1087– 1098 (2013).
- Bi K, Bogart JP: Identification of intergenomic recombinations in unisexual salamanders of the genus *Ambystoma* by genomic in situ hybridization (GISH). Cytogenet Genome Res 112: 307–312 (2006).
- Bi K, Bogart JP, Fu J: Intergenomic translocations in unisexual salamanders of the genus *Ambystoma* (Amphibia, Caudata). Cytogenet Genome Res 116:289–297 (2007a).
- Bi K, Bogart JP, Fu J: Two rare aneutriploids in the unisexual *Ambystoma* (Amphibia, Caudata) identified by GISH indicating two different types of meiotic errors. Cytogenet Genome Res 119:127–130 (2007b).
- Bi K, Bogart JP, Fu J: Genealogical relationships of southern Ontario polyploid unisexual salamanders (genus *Ambystoma*) inferred from intergenomic exchanges and major rDNA cytotypes. Chromosome Res 16:275–289 (2008).
- Bi K, Bogart JP, Fu J: An examination of intergenomic exchanges in *A. laterale*-dependent unisexual salamanders in the genus *Ambystoma*. Cytogenet Genome Res 124:44–50 (2009).
- Bianchi NO, Molina JO: The aneupolyploid complement of *Ceratophrys ornata*. J Hered 59: 183–186 (1968).
- Bogart JP: Chromosomes of the South American amphibian family Ceratophridae with a reconsideration of the taxonomic status of *Odontophrynus americanus*. Can J Genet Cytol 9:531–542 (1967).
- Bogart JP: Karyotypes, in Blair WF (ed): Evolution in the Genus *Bufo*, pp 171–195 (University of Texas Press, Austin 1972).
- Bogart JP: Evolutionary implications of polyploidy in amphibians and reptiles, in Lewis WH (ed): Polyploidy: Biological Relevance, pp 341–378 (Plenum Press, New York 1980).

- Bogart JP: Genetics and systematics of hybrid species, in Sever DM (ed): Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of Urodela, pp 109–134 (Science Publishers Inc., Enfield NH 2003).
- Bogart JP, Bi K: Genetic and genomic interactions of animals with different ploidy levels. Cytogenet Genome Res 140:117–136 (2013).
- Bogart JP, Klemens MW: Hybrids and genetic interactions of mole salamanders (*Ambystoma jeffersonianum* and *A. laterale*) (Amphibia: Caudata) in New York and New England. Am Mus Novit 3218:1–78 (1997).
- Bogart JP, Licht LE: Reproduction and the origin of polyploids in hybrid salamanders of the genus *Ambystoma*. Can J Genet Cytol 28:605– 617 (1986).
- Bogart JP, Tandy M: Polyploid amphibians: three more diploid-tetraploid cryptic species of frogs. Science 193:334–335 (1976).
- Bogart JP, Tandy M: Chromosome lineages in African ranoid frogs. Monit Zool Ital Suppl 15: 55–91 (1981).
- Bogart JP, Wasserman AO: Diploid-polyploid cryptic species pairs: a possible clue to evolution by polyploidization in anuran amphibians. Cytogenetics 11:7–24 (1972).
- Bogart JP, Licht LE, Oldham MJ, Darbyshire SJ: Electrophoretic identification of *Ambystoma laterale* and *Ambystoma texanum* as well as their diploid and triploid interspecific hybrids (Amphibia: Caudata) on Pelee Island, Ontario. Can J Zool 63:340–347 (1985).
- Bogart JP, Lowcock LA, Zeyl CW, Mable BK: Genome constitution and reproductive biology of hybrid salamanders, genus *Ambystoma*, on Kelleys Island in Lake Erie. Can J Zool 65: 2188–2201 (1987).
- Bogart JP, Bi K, Fu J, Noble DWA, Niedzwieki J: Unisexual salamanders (genus *Ambystoma*) present a new reproductive mode for eukaryotes. Genome 50:119–136 (2007).
- Bogart JP, Bartoszek J, Noble DWA, Bi K: Sex in unisexual salamanders: discovery of a new sperm donor with ancient affinities. Heredity 103:483–493 (2009).
- Böök JA: Triploidy in *Triton taeniatus* Laur. Hereditas 26:107–114 (1940).
- Borkin LY, Kuzmin SL: Amphibians of Mongolia, species account, in Vorobyeva EI, Darevski IS (eds): Amphibians and Reptiles of the Mongolian People's Republic. General Problems, pp 30–197 (Nauka, Moskow 1988).
- Borkin LY, Terbish C, Caune IA: Tetraploidnaya i diploidnye populyacii zhab gruppy Bufo viridis iz Mongolii. Doklady Akedemii Nauk SSSR 287:760–764 (1986a).
- Borkin LY, Caune IA, Pisanetz EM, Rozanov YM: Karyotype and genome size in the *Bufo viridis*group, in Rocek Z (ed): Studies in Herpetology. Proceedings of the 3rd Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica, pp 137–141 (Charles University, Prague 1986b).
- Borkin LY, Eremchenko VK, Helfenberger N, Panfilov AM, Rozanov JM: On the distribution of diploid, triploid and tetraploid green toads (*Bufo viridis* complex) in south-eastern Kazakhstan. Russ J Herpetol 8:45–53 (2001).

- Borkin LJ, Korshunov AV, Lada GA, Litvinchuk SN, Rosanov JM, et al: Mass occurrence of polyploid green frogs (*Rana esculenta* complex) in eastern Ukraine. Russ J Herpetol 11: 194–213 (2004).
- Braasch I, Postlethwait JH: Polyploidy in fish and the teleost genome duplication, in Soltis PS, Soltis DE (eds): Polyploidy and Genome Evolution, pp 341–383 (Springer, Berlin 2012).
- Bridges CB: Triploid intersexes in Drosophila melanogaster. Science 54:252–254 (1921).
- Briggs R: The experimental production and development of triploid frog embryos. J Exp Zool 106:237–266 (1947).
- Callan HG: Centromere fusions and telomere fusions, in Green DM, Sessions SK (eds): Amphibian Cytogenetics and Evolution, pp 217– 231 (Academic Press, San Diego 1991).
- Campos JRC, Martins IA, Haddad CFB, Kasahara S: The karyotype of *Holoaden luederwaldti* (Anura, Strabomantidae), with report of natural triploidy. Folia Biol 58:144–150 (2012).
- Carvalho KA, Garcia PCA, Recco-Pimentel SM: NOR dispersion, telomeric sequence detection in centromeric regions and meiotic multivalent configurations in species of the *Aplastodiscus albofrenatus* group (Anura, Hylidae). Cytogenet Genome Res 126:359–367 (2009).
- Cash MN, Bogart JP: Cytological differentiation of the diploid-tetraploid species pair of North American treefrogs (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae). J Herpetol 12:555–558 (1978).
- Cavallo D, de Vita R, Eleuteri P, Borkin L, Eremchenko V, et al: Karyological and flow cytometric evidence of triploid specimens in *Bufo viridis* (Amphibia, Anura). Eur J Histochem 46:159–164 (2002).
- Channing A, Bogart JP: Description of a tetraploid *Tomopterna* (Anura: Ranidae) from South Africa. S Afr J Zool 31:80–85 (1996).
- Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B, Mariais G: Steps in the evolution of heteromorphic sex chromosomes. Heredity 95:118–128 (2005).
- Christiansen DG: Gamete types, sex determination and stable equilibria of all-hybrid populations of diploid and triploid edible frogs (*Pelophylax esculentus*). BMC Evol Biol 9:135 (2009).
- Christiansen DG, Reyer HU: From clonal to sexual hybrids: genetic recombination via triploids in all-hybrid populations of water frogs. Evolution 63:1754–1768 (2009).
- Christiansen DG, Fog K, Pedersen BV, Boomsma JJ: Reproduction and hybrid load in all-hybrid populations of *Rana esculenta* water frogs in Denmark. Evolution 59:1348–1361 (2005).
- Colliard C, Sicilia A, Turrisi GF, Arculeo M, Perrin N, Stöck M: Strong reproductive barriers in a narrow hybrid zone of West-Mediterranean green toads (*Bufo viridis* subgroup) with Plio-Pleistocene divergence. BMC Evol Biol 10:232 (2010).
- Dasgupta S: Induction of triploidy by hydrostatic pressure in the leopard frog, *Rana pipiens*. J Exp Zool 151:105–121 (1962).

- Downs FL: Unisexual *Ambystoma* from the Bass Islands of Lake Erie. Occas Pap Mus Zool Univ Mich 685:1-36 (1978).
- Drets ME, Stoll M: C-banding and non-homologous associations in *Gryllus argentinus*. Chromosoma 48:367–390 (1974).
- Dufresnes C, Bonato L, Novarini N, Betto-Colliard C, Perrin N, Stöck M: Inferring the degree of incipient speciation in secondary contact zones of closely related lineages of Palearctic green toads (*Bufo viridis* subgroup). Heredity 113:9–20 (2014).
- Evans BJ: Ancestry influences the fate of duplicated genes millions of years after polyploidization of clawed frogs (*Xenopus*). Genetics 176:1119–1130 (2007).
- Evans BJ: Genome evolution and speciation genetics of clawed frogs (*Xenopus* and *Silurana*). Front Biosci 13:4687–4706 (2008).
- Evans BJ, Kelley DB, Tinsley RC, Melnick DJ, Cannatella DC: A mitochondrial DNA phylogeny of African clawed frogs: phylogeography and implications for polyploid evolution. Mol Phylogenet Evol 33:197–213 (2004).
- Evans BJ, Kelley DB, Melnick DJ, Cannatella DC: Evolution of RAG-1 in polyploid clawed frogs. Mol Biol Evol 22:1193–1207 (2005).
- Evans BJ, Carter TF, Tobias ML, Kelley DB, Hanner R, Tinsley RC: A new species of clawed frog (genus *Xenopus*) from the Itombwe Massif, Democratic Republic of the Congo: implications for DNA barcodes and biodiversity conservation. Zootaxa 1780:55– 68 (2008).
- Evans BJ, Greenbaum E, Kusamba C, Carter TF, Tobias ML, et al: Description of a new octoploid frog species (Anura: Pipidae: *Xenopus*) from the Democratic Republic of the Congo, with a discussion of the biogeography of African clawed frogs in the Albertine Rift. J Zool 283:276–290 (2011).
- Evans BJ, Pyron RA, Wiens JJ: Polyploidization and sex chromosome evolution in amphibians, in Soltis PS, Soltis DE (eds): Polyploidy and Genome Evolution, pp 385–410 (Springer, Berlin 2012).
- Fankhauser G: Polyploidy in the salamander, *Eurycea bislineata*. J Hered 30:379–388 (1939).
- Fankhauser G: The frequency of polyploidy and other spontaneous aberrations of chromosome number among larvae of the newt, *Triturus viridescens*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 27: 507–512 (1941).
- Fankhauser G, Griffiths R: Induction of triploidy and haploidy in the newt *Triturus viridescens*, by cold treatment of unsegmented eggs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 25:233–238 (1939).
- Fankhauser G, Humphrey RR: Chromosome number and development of progeny of triploid axolotl females mated with diploid males. J Exp Zool 115:207–250 (1950).
- Fankhauser G, Humphrey RR: The origin of spontaneous heteroploids in the progeny of diploid, triploid and tetraploid axolotl females. J Exp Zool 142:379–422 (1959).

- Fankhauser G, Watson RC: Heat-induced triploidy in the Newt, *Triturus viridescens*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 28:436–440 (1942).
- Fankhauser G, Crotta R, Perrot M: Spontaneous and cold-induced triploidy in the Japanese newt, *Triturus pyrrhogaster*. J Exp Zool 89: 167–181 (1942).
- Ferrier V, Jaylet A: Induction of triploidy in the newt *Pleurodeles waltlii* by heat shock or hydrostatic pressure. Interpretation of the different types of ploidy using a chromosomal marker. Chromosoma 69:47–63 (1978).
- Ferris SD, Whitt GS: Loss of duplicate gene expression after polyploidization. Nature 265: 258–260 (1977).
- Fischberg M: Veränderungen der Chromosomenzahl bei *Triton alpestris* nach Kältebehandlung der Eier. Rev Suisse Zool 51:430– 436 (1944).
- Fischberg M: Über die Ausbildung des Geschlechts bei triploiden und einem haploiden *Triton alpestris*. Rev Suisse Zool 52:407–414 (1945).
- Fischberg M: Experimentelle Auslösung von Heteroploidie durch Kältebehandlung der Eier von *Triton alpestris* aus verschiedenen Populationen. Genetica 24:213–329 (1948).
- Fischberg M: Experimental tetraploidy in newts. J Embryol Exp Morphol 6:393–402 (1958).
- Formas JR: A triploid individual of the Chilean leptodactylid frog *Eupsophus vertebralis*. J Herpetol 28:394–395 (1993).
- Frost DR: Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.0. Electronic Database accessible at http://research.amnh.org/ herpetology/amphibia/index.html. American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA (2014).
- Fujita MK, Moritz C: Origin and evolution of parthenogenetic genomes in lizards: current state and future directions. Cytogenet Genome Res 127:261–272 (2009).
- Furlong RF, Holland PWH: Were vertebrates octoploid? Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 357:531–544 (2002).
- Furman BLS, Bewick AJ, Harrison TL, Greenbaum E, Gvoždík V, et al: Pan-African phylogeography of a model organism, the African clawed frog *Xenopus laevis*. Mol Ecol 24:909– 925 (2015).
- Gaeta RT, Pires JC, Iniguez-Luy F, Leon E, Osborn TC: Genomic changes in resynthesized *Brassica napus* and their effect on gene expression and phenotype. Plant Cell 19:3403– 3417 (2007).
- Gaillard G, Jaylet A: Mécanisme cytologique de la tétraploïdie expérimentale chez le triton *Pleurodeles waltlii*. Chromosoma 51:125–133 (1975).
- Goldschmidt R: Die sexuellen Zwischenstufen, in Monographien aus dem Gesamtgebiet der Physiologie der Pflanzen und der Tiere (Springer, Berlin 1932).
- Graf JD, Polls Pelaz M: Evolutionary genetics of the *Rana esculenta* complex, in Dawley RM, Bogart JP (eds): Evolution and Ecology of Unisexual Vertebrates. N Y State Mus Bull 466:289–302 (1989).

3/30/2015 3:49:38 PM

- Green DM, Delisle DM: Allotriploidy in natural hybrid frogs, *Rana chiricahuensis* × *R. pipiens*, from Arizona: chromosomes and electrophoretic evidence. J Herpetol 19:385–390 (1985).
- Green DM, Kezer J, Nussbaum RA: Triploidy in Hochstetter's frog, *Leiopelma hochstetteri*, from New Zealand. New Zealand J Zool 11: 457–460 (1984).
- Gregory TR, Mable BK: Polyploidy in animals, in Gregory TR (ed): The Evolution of the Genome, pp 427–517 (Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington 2005).
- Grenat PR, Salas NE, Martino AL: Erythrocyte size as diagnostic character for the identification of live cryptic *Odontophrynus americanus* and *O. cordobae* (Anura: Cycloramphidae). Zootaxa 2049:67–68 (2009).
- Griffiths RB: Triploidy (and haploidy) in the newt, *Triturus viridescens*, induced by refrigeration of fertilized eggs. Genetics 26:69–88 (1941).
- Guex GD, Hotz H, Semlitsch RD: Deleterious alleles and differential viability in progeny of natural hemiclonal frogs. Evolution 56:1036– 1044 (2002).
- Haddad CFB, Pombal JP, Batistic RF: Natural hybridization between diploid and tetraploid species of leaf-frogs, genus *Phyllomedusa* (Amphibia). J Herpetol 28:425–430 (1994).
- Hall WP: Three probable cases of parthenogenesis in lizards (Agamidae, Chamaeleonidae, Gekkonidae). Experientia 26:1271–1273 (1970).
- Hedges SB, Duellman WE, Heinicke MP: New World direct-developing frogs (Anura: Terrarana): molecular phylogeny, classification, biogeography, and conservation. Zootaxa 1737:1–182 (2008).
- Heinicke MP, Duellman WE, Trueb L, Means DB, MacCulloch RD, Hedges SB: A new frog family (Anura: Terrarana) from South America and an expanded direct-developing clade revealed by molecular phylogeny. Zootaxa 2211:1–35 (2009).
- Hennig W, Walker PMB: Variations in the DNA from two rodent families (Cricetidae and Muridae). Nature 225:915–919 (1970).
- Heppich S: Hybridogenesis in Rana esculenta: Cband karyotypes of Rana ridibunda, Rana lessonae and Rana esculenta. Z Zool Syst Evol 16:27–39 (1978).
- Heppich S, Tunner HG: Chromosomal constitution and C-banding in homotypic *Rana esculenta* crosses. Mitt Zool Mus Berl 55:111–114 (1979).
- Hermaniuk A, Pruvost NBM, Kierzkowski P, Ogielska M: Genetic and cytogenetic characteristics of pentaploidy in waterfrogs. Herpetologica 69:36–45 (2013).
- Holloway AK, Cannatella DC, Gerhardt HC, Hillis DM: Polyploids with different origins and ancestors form a single sexual polyploid species. Am Nat 167:E88–E110 (2006).
- Jakob C: Structure and Dynamics of Pure Hybridogenetic Water Frog Populations of *Rana esculenta* in Southern Sweden. PhD thesis, University of Zurich, Zurich (2007).

- Jaylet A: Tétraploïdie expérimentale chez le triton *Pleurodeles waltlii* Michah. Chromosoma 38: 173–184 (1972).
- John B, King M: Meiotic effects of supernumerary heterochromatin in *Heteropternis obscurella*. Chromosoma 85:39–65 (1982).
- John B, King M: Pseudoterminalisation, terminalisation, and non-chiasmate modes of terminal association. Chromosoma 92:89–99 (1985).
- Johnson C: Species recognition in the *Hyla versi*color complex. Texas J Sci 18:361–364 (1966).
- Kasahara S, Haddad CFB: Karyotypes of two Brazilian microhylid frogs of the genus *Chiasmocleis*, including a new case of polyploidy. J Herpetol 31:139–142 (1997).
- Kashiwagi K: Production of triploids and their reproductive capacity in *Rana rugosa*. Sci Rep Lab Amphibian Biol Hiroshima Univ 12:23– 36 (1993).
- Kawamura T: Artificial parthenogenesis in the frog. I. Chromosome numbers and their relation to cleavage histories. J Sci Hiroshima Univ Ser B 6:115–218 (1939).
- Kawamura T: The offspring of triploid males of the frog, *Rana nigromaculata*. J Sci Hiroshima Univ Ser B 12:11–20 (1951).
- Kawamura T: Polyploidy in amphibians. Zool Sci 1:1–15 (1984).
- Kawamura T, Nishioka M: Autotetraploids and the production of allotetraploids and diploid nucleo-cytoplasmic hybrids in pond frogs. J Sci Hiroshima Univ Ser B 21:1–22 (1963).
- Kawamura T, Tokunaga C: The sex of triploid frogs, *Rana japonica* Günther. J Sci Hiroshima Univ Ser B 13:121–128 (1952).
- King M: Amphibia, in John B (ed): Animal Cytogenetics, Vol 4/2 (Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin 1990).
- Kobel HR: Allopolyploid speciation, in Tinsley RC, Kobel HR (eds): The Biology of *Xenopus*, pp 391–401 (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1996).
- Kobel HR, Du Pasquier L, Fischberg M, Gloor H: Xenopus amieti sp. nov. (Anura: Pipidae) from the Cameroons, another case of tetraploidy. Rev Suisse Zool 87:919–926 (1980).
- Kobel HR, Loumont C, Tinsley RC: The extant species, in Tinsley RC, Kobel HR (eds): The Biology of *Xenopus*, pp 9–33 (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1996).
- Kraus F: A new unisexual salamander from Ohio. Occas Pap Mus Zool Univ Mich 709:1–24 (1985).
- Kuramoto M: Karyotypes of three leptodactylid frogs from Chile with a discussion on the chromosome numbers of the family Leptodactylidae. Bull Fukuoka Univ Edu, Part III, 21:133–141 (1972).
- Kuramoto M, Allison A: Karyotypes of microhylid frogs of Papua New Guinea and their systematic implications. Herpetologica 45:250– 259 (1989).
- Lada GA, Borkin LJ, Vinogradov AE: Distributions, population systems and reproductive behavior of green frogs (hybridogenetic *Rana esculenta* complex) in the central Chernozem territory of Russia. Russ J Herpetol 2:46–57 (1995).

- Le Comber SC, Smith C: Polyploidy in fishes: patterns and processes. Biol J Linn Soc 82:431– 442 (2004).
- Leipoldt M: Towards an understanding of the molecular mechanisms regulating gene expression during diploidization in phylogenetically polyploid lower vertebrates. Hum Genet 65:11–18 (1983).
- Litvinchuk SN, Rosanov JM, Borkin LJ: A case of natural triploidy in a smooth newt *Triturus vulgaris* (Linneaus, 1958), from Russia (Caudata: Salamandridae). Herpetozoa 11:93–95 (1998).
- Loumont C: Deux espèces nouvelles de *Xenopus* du Cameroun (Amphibia, Pipidae). Rev Suisse Zool 90:169–177 (1983).
- Loumont C: *Xenopus pygmaeus*, a new diploid pipid frog from rain forest of equatorial Africa. Rev Suisse Zool 93:755–764 (1986).
- Loumont C, Kobel HR: *Xenopus longipes* sp. nov., a new polyploid pipid from western Cameroon. Rev Suisse Zool 98:731–738 (1991).
- Lourenço LB, Recco-Pimentel SM, Cardoso AJ: A second case of multivalent meiotic configurations in diploid species of Anura. Genet Mol Biol 23:131–133 (2000).
- Lowcock LA, Murphy RW: Pentaploidy in hybrid salamanders demonstrates enhanced tolerance of multiple chromosome sets. Experientia 47:490–493 (1991).
- Mable BK, Alexandrou MA, Taylor MI: Genome duplication in amphibians and fish: an extended synthesis. J Zool 284:151–182 (2011).
- Maher EP, Fox DP: Multiplicity of ribosomal RNA genes in *Vicia* species with different nuclear DNA contents. Nature 245:170–172 (1973).
- Mahony MJ, Roberts JD: Two new species of desert burrowing frogs of the genus *Neobatrachus* (Anura: Myobatrachidae). Rec West Aust Mus 13:155–170 (1986).
- Mahony MJ, Robinson ES: Polyploidy in the Australian leptodactylid frog genus *Neobatrachus*. Chromosoma 81:199–212 (1980).
- Martino AL, Sinsch U: Speciation by polyploidy in *Odontophrynus americanus*. J Zool 257:67– 81 (2002).
- Mazik EY, Kadyrova BK, Tokotosunov AT: Osobennosti kariotipa zelenoi zhaby (*Bufo viridis*) v Kirgizii. Zool Zhurn 55:1740–1742 (1976).
- McLysaght L, Hokamp K, Wolfe KH: Extensive genome duplication during early chordate evolution. Nat Genet 31:200–204 (2002).
- Mercadal de Barrio IT: *Ceratophrys joazeirensis* sp.n. (Ceratophryidae, Anura) del noreste de Brasil. Amphibia-Reptilia 7:313–334 (1986).
- Mikulícek P, Kotlík P: Two water frog populations from western Slovakia consisting of diploid females and diploid and triploid males of the hybridogenetic hybrid *Rana esculenta* (Anura, Ranidae). Mitt Mus Naturkd Berl Zool Reihe 77:59–64 (2001).
- Moler PE, Kezer J: Karyology and systematics of the salamander genus *Pseudobranchus* (Sirenidae). Copeia 1993:39–47 (1993).

- Morescalchi A: Conferma della presenza di eterocromosomi in *Xenopus laevis* Daudin. Rend Accad Sci Fis Mat Soc Naz Sci Lett Arti 30: 310–314 (1963).
- Morescalchi A: Chromosome evolution in the caudate Amphibia, in Dobzhansky T, Hecht MK, Steere WC (eds): Evolutionary Biology 8, pp 338–387 (Plenum Press, New York 1975).
- Morescalchi A, Olmo E: Sirenids: a family of polyploid urodeles? Experientia 30:491–492 (1974).
- Morescalchi A, Olmo E, Odierna G, Rosati C: On the polyploidy in the family Sirenidae (Amphibia: Caudata), in Rocek Z (ed): Studies in Herpetology. Proceedings of the 3rd Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica, pp 165–170 (Charles University, Prague, 1986).
- Moritz C: Parthenogenesis in the endemic Australian lizard *Heteronotia binoei* (Gekkonidae). Science 220:735–737 (1983).
- Morris MA, Brandon RA: Gynogenesis and hybridization between *Ambystoma platineum* and *Ambystoma texanum* in Illinois. Copeia 1984:324–337 (1984).
- Muller HJ: Why polyploidy is rarer in animals than in plants. Am Nat 59:346–353 (1925).
- Müller WP: Diplotene chromosomes of *Xenopus* hybrid oocytes. Chromosoma 59:273–282 (1977).
- Nishioka M, Ueda H: Studies on polyploidy in Japanese frogs. Sci Rep Lab Amphibian Biol Hiroshima Univ 6:207–252 (1983).
- Ohno S: Evolution by Gene Duplication (Springer, Berlin 1970).
- Ohno S: Protochordata, Cyclostomata, and Pisces, in John B (ed): Animal Cytogenetics, Vol 4/1 (Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin 1974).
- Ohno S, Wolf U, Atkin NB: Evolution from fish to mammals by gene duplication. Hereditas 59:169–187 (1968).
- Olmstead AW, Lindberg-Livingston A, Degitz SJ: Genotyping sex in the amphibian, *Xenopus* (*Silurana*) tropicalis, for endocrine disruptor bioassays. Aquat Toxicol 98:60–66 (2010).
- Orlova VF, Uteshev VK: The tetraploid toad of the *Bufo viridis* group from Dzungarian Gobi, Mongolia, in Rocek Z (ed): Studies in Herpetology. Proceedings of the 3rd Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica, pp 143–146 (Charles University, Prague 1986).
- Orr HA: 'Why polyploidy is rarer in animals than in plants' revisited. Am Nat 136:759–770 (1990).
- Otto SP, Whitton J: Polyploid incidence and evolution. Annu Rev Genet 34:401–437 (2000).
- Pedersen RA: DNA content, ribosomal gene multiplicity, and cell size in fish. J Exp Zool 177: 65–78 (1971).
- Perrin N: Sex reversal: A fountain of youth for sex chromosomes? Evolution 63: 3043–3049 (2009).
- Pisanets EM: O novom poliploidnom vide zhab Bufo *danatensis* Pisanets sp. n. iz. Turkmenii. Doklady Akad Nauk Ukrainskoi SSR, Ser B 3: 280–284 (1978).

- Pombal JP, Haddad, CFB: Species of the *Phyllomedusa burmeisteri* group from eastern Brazil, with description of a new species (Amphibia, Hylidae). Rev Brasil Biol 52:217–229 (1992).
- Ptacek MB, Gerhardt HC, Sage RD: Speciation by polyploidy in tree frogs: multiple origins of the tetraploid, *Hyla versicolor*. Evolution 48: 898–908 (1994).
- Rahn IM, Martínez A: Chromosome pairing in female and male diploid and polyploid anurans (Amphibia) from South America. Can J Genet Cytol 25:487–494 (1983).
- Ralin DB, Selander RK: Evolutionary genetics of diploid-tetraploid species of tree frogs of the genus *Hyla*. Evolution 33:595–608 (1979).
- Regnier V, Neveu A: Specific structures in population of *Rana esculenta* complex from different areas of Western France. Acta Oecol – Oecol Applic 7:3–26 (1986).
- Richards C, Nace GW: The occurrence of diploid ova in *Rana pipiens*. J Hered 68:307–312 (1977).
- Rosset SD, Baldo D, Lanzone C, Basso NG: Review of the geographic distribution of diploid and tetraploid populations of the *Odontophrynus americanus* species complex (Anura: Leptodactylidae). J Herpetol 40:465–477 (2006).
- Roth P, Ráb P: Karyotype analysis of the *Bufo viridis*-group: systematic implications, in Rocek Z (ed): Studies in Herpetology. Proceedings of the 3rd Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica, pp 131–136 (Charles University, Prague 1986).
- Roth P, Ráb P: Sequential chromosome banding studies in the natterjack toad, *Bufo calamita*, in van Gelder JJ, Strijbosch H, Bergers PJM (eds): Proceedings of the 4th Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica, pp 335–338 (Nijmegen, 1987).
- Roulin A, Auer PL, Libault M, Schlueter J, Farmer A, et al: The fate of duplicated genes in a polyploid plant genome. Plant J 73:143–153 (2012).
- Ruiz IRG, Brison O: Methylation of ribosomal cistrons in diploid and tetraploid Odontophrynus americanus (Amphibia, Anura). Chromosoma 98:86–92 (1989).
- Ruiz IRG, Soma M, Beçak W: Nucleolar organizer regions and constitutive heterochromatin in polyploid species of the genus Odontophrynus (Amphibia, Anura). Cytogenet Cell Genet 29:84–98 (1981).
- Rybacki M, Berger L: Types of water frog populations (*Rana esculenta* complex) in Poland. Mitt Mus Naturkd Berl Zool Reihe 77:51–57 (2001).
- Saez FA, Brum N: Chromosomes of South American amphibians. Nature 185:945 (1960).
- Schmid M: Chromosome banding in Amphibia. I. Constitutive heterochromatin and nucleolus organizer regions in *Bufo* and *Hyla*. Chromosoma 66:361–388 (1978).
- Schmid M: Chromosome evolution in Amphibia, in Müller H (ed): Cytogenetics of Vertebrates, pp 4–27 (Birkhäuser, Basel 1980).

- Schmid M, Haaf T, Schempp W: Chromosome banding in Amphibia. IX. The polyploid karyotypes of Odontophrynus americanus and Ceratophrys ornata (Anura, Leptodactylidae). Chromosoma 91:172–184 (1985).
- Schmid M, Sims SH, Haaf T, Macgregor HC: Chromosome banding in Amphibia. X. 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA genes, nucleolus organizers and nucleoli in *Gastrotheca riobambae*. Chromosoma 94:139–145 (1986).
- Schmid M, Steinlein C, Bogart JP, Feichtinger W, León P, et al: The chromosomes of terraranan frogs. Insights into vertebrate cytogenetics. Cytogenet Genome Res 130–131:1–568 (2010).
- Schmid M, Steinlein C, Bogart JP, Feichtinger W, Haaf T, et al: The hemiphractid frogs. Phylogeny, embryology, life history, and cytogenetics. Cytogenet Genome Res 138:69–384 (2012).
- Schmidtke J, Engel W: Gene action in fish of tetraploid origin. I. Cellular and biochemical parameters in cyprinid fish. Biochem Genet 13: 45–51 (1975).
- Schmidtke J, Zenzes MT, Dittes H, Engel W: Regulation of cell size in fish of tetraploid origin. Nature 254:426–427 (1975).
- Schmidtke J, Beçak W, Engel W: The reduction of genic activity in the tetraploid amphibian Odontophrynus americanus is not due to loss of ribosomal DNA. Experientia 32:27–28 (1976).
- Schultz RJ: Role of polyploidy in the evolution of fishes, in Lewis WH (ed): Polyploidy: Biological Relevance, pp 313–340 (Plenum Press, New York 1980).
- Schwantes MLB: Estudo comparativo de dez enzimas num sistema diplo-tetraplóide do gênero Odontophrynus (Ceratophrydidae-Anura). PhD thesis, Universidade São Paulo, São Paulo (1974).
- Sessions SK: Cytogenetics of diploid and triploid salamanders of the Ambystoma jeffersonianum complex. Chromosoma 84:599-621 (1982).
- Siegel A, Lightfood D, Ward OG, Keener S: DNA complementary to ribosomal RNA: relation between genomic proportion and ploidy. Science 179:682–683 (1973).
- Siqueira S, Ananias F, Recco-Pimentel SM: Cytogenetics of three Brazilian species of *Eleutherodactylus* (Anura, Leptodactylidae) with 22 chromosomes and re-analysis of multiple translocations in *E. binotatus*. Genet Mol Biol 27:363–372 (2004).
- Soltis DE, Soltis PS: Polyploidy: recurrent formation and genome evolution. Trends Ecol Evol 14:348–352 (1999).
- Stebbins GL: Variation and Evolution in Plants (Columbia University Press, New York 1950).
- Stöck M: Tetraploid toads (*Bufo viridis* complex) from north-western China and preliminary taxonomic conclusions for *Bufo nouettei* Mocquard, 1910. Z Feldherp 5:139–166 (1998).

- Stöck M, Schmid M, Steinlein C, Grosse WR: Mosaicism in somatic triploid specimens of the *Bufo viridis* complex in the Karakoram with examination of calls, morphology and taxonomic conclusions. Ital J Zool 66:215–232 (1999).
- Stöck M, Frynta D, Grosse WR, Steinlein C, Schmid M: A review of the distribution of diploid, triploid and tetraploid green toads (*Bufo viridis* complex) in Asia including new data from Iran and Pakistan. Asiatic Herpetol Res 9:77–100 (2001a).
- Stöck M, Günther R, Böhme W: Progress towards a taxonomic revision of the Asian *Bufo viridis* group: current status of nominal taxa and unsolved problems (Amphibia: Anura: Bufonidae). Zool Abh Staatl Mus Tierkunde Dresden 51:253–319 (2001b).
- Stöck M, Lamatsch DK, Steinlein C, Epplen JT, Grosse WR, et al: A bisexually reproducing all-triploid vertebrate. Nat Genet 30:325–328 (2002).
- Stöck M, Steinlein C, Lamatsch DK, Schartl M, Schmid M: Multiple origins of tetraploid taxa in the Eurasian *Bufo viridis* subgroup. Genetica 124:255–272 (2005).
- Stöck M, Moritz C, Hickerson M, Frynta D, Dujsebayeva T, et al: Evolution of mitochondrial relationships and biogeography of Palearctic green toads (*Bufo viridis* subgroup) with insights in their genomic plasticity. Mol Phylogenet Evol 41:663–689 (2006).
- Stöck M, Ustinova J, Lamatsch DK, Schartl M, Perrin N, Moritz C: A vertebrate reproductive system involving three ploidy levels: hybrid origin of triploids in a contact zone of diploid and tetraploid Palearctic green toads (*Bufo viridis* subgroup). Evolution 64:944–959 (2010).
- Stöck M, Horn A, Grossen C, Lindtke D, Sermier R, et al: Ever-young sex chromosomes in European tree frogs. PLoS Biol 9:e1001062 (2011).
- Stöck M, Ustinova J, Betto-Colliard C, Schartl M, Moritz C, Perrin N: Simultaneous Mendelian and clonal genome transmission in a sexually reproducing, all-triploid vertebrate. Proc Biol Sci 279:1293–1299 (2012).
- Tandy M, Bogart JP, Largen MJ, Feener DJ: A tetraploid species of *Bufo* (Anura, Bufonidae) from Ethiopia. Monit Zool Ital NS, Suppl 17: 1–79 (1982).
- Taylor JS, Raes J: Duplication and divergence: the evolution of new genes and old ideas. Annu Rev Genet 38:615–643 (2005).

- Toktosunov AT: Ecological Basis of Altitude Adaptation of the Vertebrates of Tian-Shan (Nauka, Leningrad 1984).
- Tymowska J: A Karyotype Analysis of the Genus *Xenopus* (Anura, Pipidae). PhD thesis, University of Geneva (1976).
- Tymowska J: A comparative study of the karyotypes of eight *Xenopus* species and subspecies possessing a 36-chromosome complement. Cytogenet Cell Genet 18:165–181 (1977).
- Tymowska J: Polyploidy and cytogenetic variation in frogs of the genus *Xenopus*, in Green DM, Sessions SK (eds): Amphibian Cytogenetics and Evolution, pp 259–297 (Academic Press, New York 1991).
- Tymowska J, Fischberg M: Chromosome complements of the genus *Xenopus*. Chromosoma 44:335–342 (1973).
- Tymowska J, Fischberg M: The karyotype of *Xenopus wittei* Tinsley, Kobel and Fischberg, another tetraploid anuran species (Pipidae). Cytogenet Cell Genet 28:208–212 (1980).
- Tymowska J, Fischberg M: A comparison of the karyotype, constitutive heterochromatin, and nucleolar organizer regions of the new tetraploid species *Xenopus epitropicalis* Fischberg and Picard with those of *Xenopus tropicalis* Gray (Anura, Pipidae). Cytogenet Cell Genet 34:149–157 (1982).
- Tymowska J, Kobel HR: Karyotype analysis of *Xenopus muelleri* (Peters) and *Xenopus laevis* (Daudin), Pipidae. Cytogenetics 11:270–278 (1972).
- Tymowska J, Fischberg M, Tinsley RC: The karyotype of the tetraploid species *Xenopus vestitus* Laurent (Anura: Pipidae). Cytogenet Cell Genet 19:344–354 (1977).
- Uno Y, Nishida C, Takagi C, Ueno N, Matsuda Y: Homeologous chromosomes of *Xenopus lae*vis are highly conserved after whole-genome duplication. Heredity 111:430–436 (2013).
- Uzzell TM: Natural triploidy in salamanders related to *Ambystoma jeffersonianum*. Science 139:113–115 (1963).
- Uzzell TM: Relations of the diploid and triploid species of the *Ambystoma jeffersonianum* complex (Amphibia, Caudata). Copeia 2: 257–300 (1964).
- Uzzell TM, Berger L: Electrophoretic phenotypes of *Rana ridibunda, Rana lessonae*, and their hybridogenetic associate, *Rana esculenta*. Proc Acad Nat Sci Phila 127:13–23 (1975).
- Valetti JA, Salas NE, Martino AL: A new polyploid species of *Pleurodema* (Anura: Leiuperidae) from Sierra de Comechingones, Cordoba, Argentina and redescription of *Pleurodema kriegi* (Muller, 1926). Zootaxa 2073:1–21 (2009).

- Veloso A, Galleguillos R, Diaz N: Karyotypic analysis of allopatric populations of *Pleurodema thaul* (Lesson) Amphibia, Leptodactylidae. Caryologia 26:69–76 (1973).
- Vences M, Aprea G, Capriglione T, Andreone F, Odierna G: Ancient tetraploidy and slow molecular evolution in *Scaphiophryne*: ecological correlates of speciation mode in Malagasy relict amphibians. Chromosome Res 10:127– 136 (2002).
- Vieira KD, Silva APZ, Arzabe C: Cranial morphology and karyotypic analysis of *Ceratophrys joazeirensis* (Anura, Ceratophryidae, Ceratophrynae): taxonomic considerations. Zootaxa 1320:57–68 (2006).
- Vorburger C: Fixation of deleterious mutations in clonal lineages: evidence from hybridogenetic frogs. Evolution 55:2319–2332 (2001).
- Vrijenhoek RC: Genetic and ecological constraints on the origins and establishment of unisexual vertebrates, in Dawley RM, Bogart JP (eds): Evolution and Ecology of Unisexual Vertebrates. N Y State Mus Bull 466:24–31 (1989).
- Walker PMB: How different are the DNAs from related animals? Nature 219:228–232 (1968).
- Wasserman AO: Polyploidy in the common tree toad *Hyla versicolor* Le Conte. Science 167: 385–386 (1970).
- Weiler C, Ohno S: Cytological confirmation of female heterogamety in the African water frog (*Xenopus laevis*). Cytogenetics 1:217–223 (1962).
- Wendel JF: Genome evolution in polyploids. Plant Mol Biol 42:225–249 (2000).
- White MJD: Animal Cytology and Evolution (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1973).
- Wickbom T: Cytological studies on Dipnoi, Urodela, Anura, and *Emys*. Hereditas 31:241–346 (1945).
- Wiley JE: Chromosome banding patterns of treefrogs (Hylidae) of the eastern United States. Herpetologica 38:507–520 (1982).
- Wu M, Zhao Y: A preliminary study of the karyotype of *Bufo viridis* Laurenti in Xinjiang. Zool Res (Kunming) 8:339–342 (1987).
- Yoshimoto S, Okada E, Umemoto H, Tamura K, Uno Y, et al: A W-linked DM-domain gene, DM-W, participates in primary ovary development in Xenopus laevis. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 105:2469–2474 (2008).
- Zavadil V: On the distribution of water frogs (*Rana esculenta* synklepton) in the Czech Republic with some notes from this territory. Zool Pol 39:425–439 (1994).