

# Narrow Band Internet of Things: Simulation and Modelling

Yiming Miao, Wei Li, Daxin Tian, *Senior Member, IEEE*,  
M. Shamim Hossain, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Mohammed F. Alhamid, *Member, IEEE*



**Abstract**—As a new type of Low Power Wide Area technology, the Narrow Band Internet of Things (NB-IoT) technology supports wide coverage and low bitrate services, thus it has a great potential to be the future commercial technology of Low Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN). Therefore, it has attracted attention of both academia and industry. In this paper, we present the NB-IoT development, and main characteristics and design objectives of NB-IoT according to 3GPP R13. In addition, we provide the review of related literatures about NB-IoT modeling and algorithm analysis. And we explain current problems of NB-IoT system-level modelling based on visualized simulation platform. Moreover, this study is devoted to construction of NB-IoT model based on OPNET and verification of its characteristics, such as wide coverage and high channel utilization.

This study mainly considers NB-IoT model design and realization in terms of NB-IoT physical layer characteristics. We summarize the correlated characteristics of NB-IoT uplink and downlink. Then we design and construct the NB-IoT model based on Long Term Evolution (LTE) network. Lastly, we use the constructed NB-IoT model for simulations and conduct an experiment on it using the LTE network with channel bandwidths of 3 MHz, 5 MHz, 10 MHz, 15 MHz and 20 MHz. The simulation results have verified the performance of NB-IoT, wherein uplink time delay is lower than 10 s, channel utilization is higher than that of LTE network, and coverage area is larger than LTE network.

**Index Terms**—NB-IoT, Internet of Things, LPWAN, LTE, OPNET

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, due to continuous development of Internet of Things (IoT), the IoT communication technology is increasingly mature [1]. IoT has achieved significant improvement in big data processing [2], heterogeneity [3], and performance [4]. The IoT technologies can be separated into two categories from the aspect of communication distance: short-haul communication technologies (such as Zigbee, Wi-Fi,

Bluetooth, Z-wave, etc.) and Low Power Wide Area (LPWA) technologies desired by Low power wide area machine-to-machine networks: key techniques and prototype [5] [6] [7]. Among them, the LPWA technologies have a significant development. The LPWA technologies can be separated into two types by whether the frequency spectrum is authorized or not: technologies that work in unauthorized frequency band (such as Lora, Sigfox, and etc.) are mostly non-standard and realized as user-defined, and technologies that work in authorized frequency band, including GSM, CDMA, WCDMA and other relatively mature 2G/3G cellular communication technologies, as well as the LTE and its evolution technologies, are gradually deployed and applied at present and support different categories of devices, also these technologies have almost made standard definition of 3GPP (mainly formulating the relevant standards for GSM, WCDMA, LTE and its evolution technologies) and 3GPP2 (mainly formulating the relevant standards for CDMA) and other international standard organizations [8].

The Narrow-Band Internet of Things (NB-IoT) is a new type of LPWA technology released by 3GPP intended for sensing and data collection applications, such as intelligent electric meters, environment supervision and etc. [9], [10]. It has many advantages such as massive connections, ultra-low power consumption, wide area and deep coverage, inter-triggering between signaling and data, and etc. [11], [12], [13] [14]. Meanwhile, it has a good communication network support [15]. Therefore, it has a great potential for future implementation. The main characteristics of NB-IoT are presented in detail as shown in Fig. 1. The NB-IoT can satisfy the requirements of non-latency-sensitive and low-bitrate applications (time delay of uplink can be extended to more than 10 s, and uplink or downlink for a single user are supported at 160 bit/s at least), which are coverage enhancement (coverage capacity is increased 20 dB), ultra-low power consumption (a 5-Wh batter can be used by one terminal for 10 years), and massive terminal access (a single sector can supports 50000 connections) at transmission bandwidth of 180 kHz [16].

The NB-IoT adopts current LTE specifications, including numerologies, downlink orthogonal frequency-division multiple-access (OFDMA), uplink single-carrier frequency-division multiple-access (SC-FDMA), channel coding, rate matching, interleaving, etc. [17]. The physical layer, air interface of high layer, access network and core network

Y. Miao and W. Li are with Wuhan National Laboratory for Optoelectronics (WNLO) and with School of Computer Science and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China (yimingmiao@hust.edu.cn, weiliepic@qq.com).

D. Tian is with Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Big Data and Brain Computing, School of Transportation Science and Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China (dtian@buaa.edu.cn).

M. Shamim Hossain is with Department of Software Engineering, College of Computer and Information Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh 11543, Saudi Arabia (mshossain@ksu.edu.sa).

M. F. Alhamid is with Department of Software Engineering, College of Computer and Information Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh 11543, Saudi Arabia (mohalhamid@ksu.edu.sa).

Daxin Tian is the corresponding author.

are improved and optimized to satisfy above-mentioned requirements [18], [19], [20]. Therefore, the same hardware facility can be used repeatedly, and the frequency spectrum resources can also be shared without any problem on compatibility. This allows us to use current infrastructure for fast low-cost deployment of NB-IoT. In relatively new equipment station, the NB-IoT can be implemented through software upgrade. However, old devices may be unable to support LTE and NB-IoT simultaneously, therefore hardware upgrade is required [21]. In this case, if current unit stations are upgraded to NB-IoT step by step, the NB-IoT implementation can be also made stage by stage without simultaneous hardware upgrade at all stations [22], [23]. Therefore, the NB-IoT can be upgraded gradually until all stations are fully upgraded. Moreover, the NB-IoT module can be deployed into the LTE core network step by step, which will fully support all network services such as identity verification, security, strategy, tracking, charging and etc., and it will significantly reduce the developing time of a full-scale network [24]. Furthermore, suppliers of current LTE device and software will spend much less time to develop NB-IoT devices. The normalization stage of the 3GPP IoT working project has been started in September, 2015. The core specification has been completed in June, 2016. The commercial launching of NB-IoT produces and services is expected to be completed in 2017 [25].

The main targets of all researchers are developing NB-IoT model and realizing the expected requirements of NB-IoT. With the aim to verify the possibility of deploying of NB-IoT network in many real-world infrastructure, this paper uses OPNET network simulation platform to build the NB-IoT network architecture. Based on the LTE infrastructure, we design and realize the physical layer of NB-IoT. We also compare performances of NB-IoT network and LTE network with different channel bandwidths for coverage range, signal channel utilization and other basic performances, and verify wide coverage, high channel utilization and other characteristics of NB-IoT.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II provides the related research work. Section III introduces the physical layer of NB-IoT. Section IV shows the envisioned NB-IoT architecture, provides simulation setup, and discusses simulation results. Finally, Section V concludes this paper.

## 2 RELATED WORK

Nowadays, due to evolution of IoT, the NB-IOT becomes a newly-developing hot research topic, and it has been widely implemented in various fields, such as healthcare [26], [27] [28], data center [29], etc.. The main challenge is to bring four major superiorities of NB-IoT, namely long battery life, low cost, large capacity and wide coverage, into real applications.

Aiming at the NB-IoT system for M2M communication, some related literature introduces physical properties and design objectives of NB-IoT [30] [31] [32]. Mangalvedhe et al. used current LTE infrastructure to develop and research NB-IoT, as well as to discuss whether the partial deployment of NB-IoT is practicable [33]. With the aim to analyze further coverage performance of NB-IoT, Adhikary et al. conducted a detailed analysis on coverage performance of NB-

IoT under the assumption that NB-IoT infrastructure has already been deployed, and in comparison with current LTE technology, a coverage enhancement of 20 dB was achieved [34]. Moreover, Lauridsen et al. made a contrastive analysis on coverage and performance of LTE-M and NB-IoT for specific scene, the suburban district. It was found that for deeply indoor users, the coverage of NB-IoT is obviously better than coverage of LTE-M [35].

Recently, new algorithms and protocols have been applied to NB-IoT in order to improve it. Lin et al. developed the receiver algorithm for NB-IoT physical random access channel (NPRACH) detection and arrival time estimation [36]. They presented simulation results for NPRACH, including detection rate, false alarm rate, and estimated accuracy of arrival time, to highlight the overall potential of NB-IoT system. In addition, Ali et al. proposed the algorithm for NB-IoT cell search and initial synchronization, and simulation result indicated that proposed method can provide the required performance even for a very low SNR [37]. From the perspective of energy-saving, Kroll et al. realized hardware (detector) for maximum probability cross-correlation detection of initial timing collection of NB-IoT devices [38]. The mean detection delay of detector was two times lower than the one obtained by autocorrelation method, which reduced the energy required by each timing collection for 34%. Another approach was proposed in [39] [40] that exploits agent-based modeling and simulation to analyze performance of IoT systems. The approach is based on ACOSO [41] for the modeling phase and OMNET++ for the simulation phase. Furthermore, Liu et al. in [42] [43] presented two novel resource negotiation schemes bridging between dynamic sensing tasks and heterogeneous IoT sensors, particularly to control the device duty cycles for energy saving.

However, above-mentioned works have not provided a visible network model. And they are unable to reflect the operation of NB-IOT network visually. On the other hand, our work realizes a NB-IoT link level open-type simulation and verification platform based on OPNET, completes the construction of each functional module, tests and verifies the characteristics of NB-IoT physical layer, and accumulates experiences for a large-scale implementation in future real-world applications.

## 3 CHARACTERISTICS OF NB-IOT PHYSICAL LAYER

The NB-IoT system supports three deployment modes: independent deployment mode, guard-band deployment mode and in-band deployment mode, Fig. 2.

- Independent deployment mode utilizes frequency spectrum occupied by current GSM/EDGE wireless access network system to replace the existing single or multiple GSM carrier wave;
- Guard-band deployment mode utilizes the resource blocks which are not used for current LTE carrier wave guard band;
- In-band deployment mode utilizes the resources blocks of LTE carrier wave.




Fig. 1. Main features of NB-IoT




Fig. 2. Three Deployment Modes Supported by NB-IoT

### 3.1 NB-IoT Downlink

The downlink transmission bandwidth of NB-IoT system is 180 kHz. It adopts the 15-kHz sub-carrier spacing, the same as current LTE. The downlink multi-access mode (OFDMA technology), frame structure (in time domain, ten 1-ms sub-frames constitute one radio frame, but each sub-frame in the frequency domain contains 12 continuous sub-carrier waves), and physical resource unit follow design of current

LTE as much as possible [44].

Aiming at feature of 180-kHz downlink transmission bandwidth and requirement for coverage enhancement, NB-IoT reduces downlink physical channel, and re-designs part of downlink physical channel, synchronizing signal and reference signal, including: Narrow-Band Physical Broadcast Channel (NPBCH), Narrow-Band Physical Downlink Sharing Channel (NPDSCH), Narrow-Band Physical Downlink Control Channel (NPDCCH), Narrow-Band Master Sync Signal (NPSS) / Narrow-Band Subsidiary Master Sync Signal (NSSS) and Narrow-Band Reference Signal (NRS). Further, it does not support Physical Control Format Indicator Channel (the starting OFDM symbols in sub-frame follow the operation mode and the instructions of signal in System Information Block 1 (SIB1) ) and physical mixed retransmission indicator channel, but it adopts uplink authorization to make the retransmission of Narrow-Band Physical Uplink Shared Channel (NPUSCH). Furthermore, it introduces retransmission mechanism in downlink physical channel and improves demodulation threshold through the diversity gain and merged gain of retransmission, which can enhance downlink coverage.

In order to solve the resource blocking problem of enhanced coverage, the periodic downlink transmission interval is introduced. For instance, for the requirement




Fig. 3. NB-IoT Frame Structure (15kHz Sub-carrier Spacing of Uplink and Downlink)

of maximal 20-dB coverage improvement in the in-band operation mode, the NPDCCH needs about 200-350 ms of retransmission, while NPDSCH needs about 1200-1900 ms of retransmission. If the resources are continuously occupied by NPDCCH and NPDSCH, the uplink/downlink authorization or downlink packets traffic transmission of other terminals will be blocked.

### 3.2 NB-IoT Uplink

The uplink transmission bandwidth of NB-IoT system is also 180 kHz, and it supports two sub-carrier spacings, 3.75 kHz and 15 kHz. In coverage enhancement scene, 3.75-kHz sub-carrier spacing provides larger system capacity than 15-kHz sub-carrier spacing. However, in the in-band operation mode scene, 15-kHz sub-carrier spacing has better LTE compatibility than 3.75 kHz sub-carrier spacing.

The uplink supports both single sub-carrier and multiple sub-carrier transmissions. In single sub-carrier transmission, the sub-carrier spacing can be 3.75 kHz or 15 kHz; while in multiple sub-carrier transmission, the sub-carrier spacing of 15 kHz is adopted. The terminals need to support both single sub-carrier and multiple sub-carrier transmissions for easier selection of suitable mode by base station (for instance, through the msg1 or msg3 instruction of random access process). For both single sub-carrier and multiple sub-carrier, the uplink uses the multiple access technology based on the single carrier frequency division multiple accesses (SC-FDMA). For 15-kHz sub-carrier spacing, the NB-IoT uplink frame structure (frame size and time slot length) is the same as LTE network, as shown in Fig. 3. For 3.75-kHz sub-carrier spacing, as shown in Fig. 4, the NB-IoT has a newly defined narrow-band time slot of 2 ms. Namely, one radio frame contains five narrow-band time slots [45], [46], [47], and each narrow-band time slot contains seven symbols and reserves guard interval between each time slot for minimizing the confliction between NB-IoT symbol and LTE Sounding Reference Signal (SRS) [48], [49].

The NB-IoT network changes uplink physical channel, and re-designs part of uplink physical channel, including Narrow-Band Physical Random Access Channel (NPRACH) and NPUSCH. But it does not support Physical Uplink Control Channel (PUCCH) [50].




Fig. 4. NB-IoT Frame Structure (3.75kHz Sub-carrier Spacing of Uplink)

With the aim to enhance uplink coverage, the NB-IoT system introduces retransmission mechanism in uplink physical channel. Due to the low-cost requirement, NB-IoT system is equipped with crystal oscillator NB-IoT terminal with a relatively low cost. Therefore, during long continuous uplink transmissions, the dissipation of terminal power amplifier causes temperature change of transmitter which leads to the crystal oscillator frequency deviation, which further seriously impacts uplink transmission performance of terminal and reduces data transmission efficiency. To correct this frequency variation, the uplink transmission interval is introduced in NB-IoT in order to allow the terminal to suspend uplink transmission during long continuous transmission, switch to downlink during that period, and make synchronous tracking and frequency offset compensation by utilizing the NPSS/NSSS NRS signal. After a certain period of compensation (for instance, the frequency deviation is less than 50 Hz), the terminal will switch back to uplink and continue to transmit.

## 4 NB-IoT SIMULATION

### 4.1 NB-IoT Network Architecture

Most target services scenes of NB-IoT are mini-packet transmissions, which generally do not support long-term and continuous channel quality change indication. Therefore, NB-IoT does not design a dynamic link adaption solution, but depends on selecting Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS) and retransmission times of data transmission according to the coverage level, thus the terminal is adjusted to different coverage levels to realize the semi-static link adaption. The MCS index is shown as Table 1 [51]. There are three common coverage levels including normal coverage, robust coverage, and extreme coverage, which correspond to three values of link losses (i.e. minimum coupling losses - MCLs), 144 dB, 154 dB and 164 dB, respectively.

The NB-IoT network architecture is mainly separated into five parts:

- NB-IoT Terminal, which supports the accessing of IoT devices from various services, and allows them to access to the NB-IoT network by installing the corresponding SIM card.
- NB-IoT Access Network, i.e. the NB-IoT base station, mainly refers to the LTE base stations, i.e. Evolved Node B (eNodeB) which have already been built by operators. From the view point of the deployment mode, there are mainly three modes introduced in Section III.
- Core Network, which enable connection between NB-IoT base station and NB-IoT cloud.

TABLE 1  
MCS Index

MCS Index ( $I_{MCS}$ )	Modulation	TBS Index ( $I_{TBS}$ )
0	QPSK	0
1	QPSK	1
2	QPSK	2
3	QPSK	3
4	QPSK	4
5	QPSK	5
6	QPSK	6
7	QPSK	7
8	QPSK	8
9	QPSK	9
10	16QAM	9
11	16QAM	10
12	16QAM	11
13	16QAM	12
14	16QAM	13
15	16QAM	14
16	16QAM	15
17	64QAM	15
18	64QAM	16
19	64QAM	17
20	64QAM	18
21	64QAM	19
22	64QAM	20
23	64QAM	21
24	64QAM	22
25	64QAM	23
26	64QAM	24
27	64QAM	25
28	64QAM	26

- NB-IoT Cloud Platform, wherein various processing can be completed, and results can be forwarded to the vertical industry center or NB-IoT terminals.
- Vertical Industry Center, which not only acquires NB-IoT business data from itself, but also completes the control of NB-IoT terminals.

The NB-IoT network architecture designed in this study is shown in Fig. 5. In order to test the coverage range of NB-IoT network, we divided the whole network into four areas from long distance to short distance, namely by four MCSs: MCS 0, MCS 9, MCS 20 and MCS 28, which are shown in Table 1. We use same modulation and coding strategy on each area, and take the MCS ID as the area ID. In each area, we deploy different numbers of NB-IoT terminals, namely UE (User Equipment), which represent devices carried by different mobile users, and these devices are labeled by local ID in each area. All user equipment within the network communicate with NB-IoT base station, which is an improved LTE base station, i.e. eNodeB. The NB-IoT eNodeB model is presented in Fig. 6. As the access network part of NB-IoT,




Fig. 7. NB-IoT Uplink Data Transmission Flow and Related Physical Channel

we build the model of all eNodeB processes from the bottom layer to the upper layer, including the S1 air interface which is connected with core network.

To complete the uplink data transmission, the UE needs to go through three steps: NPRACH data transmission request, NPDCCH feedback response, and NPUSCH data transmission, as shown in Fig. 7. In this course, the MCS is sent down to the UEs through PDCCH, and the UEs make look-up table and obtain modulation model and transmission block size (TBS) according to the MCS value, and then perform downlink demodulation or uplink modulation while the corresponding base stations connection with UEs makes the downlink modulation or uplink demodulation according to the MCS. Moreover, when and only when the NPRACH data transmission request is received successfully, and there are sufficient NPDCCH resources to respond to the data transmission request, as well as there are sufficient NPUSCH resources to carry such data business volume, the eNodeB responds to the uplink data transmission request from UE, and then unloads the data process or computation task to the NB-IoT Cloud Platform (IP Cloud) through the NB-IoT core network (EPC, Evolved Packet Core), or obtains data from Server.

The values of important parameters considered in the simulations are presented in Table 2. These values were selected to reflect real-world implementations of NB-IoT network based on prior research work. The simulations were run multiple times and presented results are averaged values.




Fig. 5. NB-IoT network architecture




Fig. 6. Processor model of a simulated NB-IoT eNodeB

## 4.2 Results and Analysis

We firstly compared time delay and channel utilization of NB-IoT network and LTE network with different channel bandwidths. The obtained results are presented in Fig. 8. Since the channel bandwidth of NB-IoT was relatively narrow, the maximal data rate is 66.7 kbps, while the LTE data rate reached 200 kbps-100 Mbps depending on channel bandwidth. Therefore, according to Eq. 1 in the case that the length of sent data frame is fixed, the sending time delay of NB-IoT is longer, as shown in Fig. 8(a). However, this delay result still meets the requirements defined by 3GPP that

the uplink time delay shall not be longer than 10 s. When the sending cycle is fixed, according to Eq. 2, the channel utilization is directly proportional to the sending delay. Therefore, in comparison with LTE network with channel bandwidth of 3 MHz, 5 MHz, 10 MHz, 15MHz and 20 MHz, respectively, PUSCH Utilization, PDSCH Utilization and PDCCH Utilization of NB-IoT are higher, 40%, 42% and 57% respectively, as shown in Fig. 8(b), Fig. 8(c), and Fig. 8(d).

TABLE 2  
Simulation parameters

Element	Attribute		Value
EPS Bear Definitions	QoS Class Identifier		1 (GBR)
	Allocation Retention Priority		2
	Uplink Guaranteed Bit Rate		32 Kbps
	Downlink Guaranteed Bit Rate		96 Kbps
	Uplink Maximum Bit Rate		32 Kbps
	Downlink Maximum Bit Rate		384 Kbps
Physical Layer Profiles	UL SC-FDMA Channel	Base Frequency	1920 MHz
		Bandwidth	0.2/3/5/10/15/20 MHz
		Cyclic Prefix Type	7 Symbols per Slot
	DL OFDMA Channel	Base Frequency	2110 MHz
		Bandwidth	0.2/3/5/10/15/20 MHz
		Cyclic Prefix Type	7 Symbols per Slot
eNodeB	Failure/Recovery Specification Time		200 seconds
UEs	Battery Capacity		5
	Maximum Transmission Power		10 mW
	Modulation and Coding Scheme Index		0/9/20/28
	Operating Power		100 mW

$$T_{total} = T_{sent} + T_{tran} + T_{queue} + T_{proc} = \frac{L_{frame}}{v_{sent}} + \frac{L_{channel}}{v_{tran}} + T_{queue} + T_{proc} \quad (1)$$

$$Utilization_{channel} = \frac{L_{frame}}{v_{sent} C_{sent}} \quad (2)$$

Thereinto,  $T_{sent}$  represents the sending time delay,  $L_{frame}$  represents the data frame length (b),  $v_{sent}$  represents the sending rate (b/s),  $T_{tran}$  represents the transmission time delay (s),  $L_{channel}$  represents the channel length (m),  $v_{tran}$  represents the transmission rate (m/s) of the electromagnetic wave on the channel,  $T_{total}$  represents the total time delay (s),  $T_{queue}$  represents the queuing time delay (s),  $T_{proc}$  represents the processing time delay (s),  $Utilization_{channel}$  represents the channel utilization, and  $C_{sent}$  represents the sending cycle (s).

Fig. 9 shows the eNodeB throughput of NB-IoT, about 1 million bits/s, which is far less than the throughput of LTE network with channel bandwidth of 3 MHz, 5 MHz, 10 MHz, 15MHz and 20 MHz. The results are presented in Fig. 9 respectively. This indirectly verifies that the data rate of NB-IoT is lower, which is more suitable for the low-bitrate services applications, such as intelligent metering, intelligent monitoring and etc.. Fig. 10 shows the Queuing delay from eNodeB to EPC is presented in Fig. 10. The Queuing delay is related to the eNodeB cache capacity, server speed, queue size, and the EPC group arrival rate. In this experiment, the eNodeB cache capacity, server speed and queue size are fixed. The traffic received rate of NB-IoT network is lower, which is about 300,000 bytes/s, as shown in Fig. 10(a), which is only 25% of the LTE traffic network with bandwidth of 20 MHz. Therefore, the queuing delay




Fig. 9. Throughput of eNodeB

of NB-IoT which is about 0.0001 second, is lower than the that of LTE network with channel bandwidth of 3 MHz, 5 MHz, 10 MHz, 15MHz and 20 MHz respectively, which has represents a significant improvement in comparison with the 0.00024 seconds of queuing delay of LTE network with a common bandwidth of 20 MHz, as shown in Fig. 10(b). In comparison with the sending delay and transmission delay, such a low queuing delay may be ignored in the calculation of total time delay.

The comparison of coverage range between NB-IoT network and LTE network with channel bandwidth of 20 MHz is presented in Fig. 11. This article chooses one UE per area, namely UE\_MCS\_0\_0, UE\_MCS\_9\_0, UE\_MCS\_20\_0, and UE\_MCS\_28\_0, which are labeled from long distance to short distance and tested whether they receive the FTP




Fig. 8. Time Delay and Channel Utilization of eNodeB

traffic during the running process of NB-IoT and LTE network. Since channel bandwidth of NB-IoT is narrower than that of LTE network, the received traffic byte rate per second of NB-IoT is less than LTE network. As it is shown in Fig. 11(a) and Fig. 11(b), the UEs deployed in two long-distance areas can communicate with eNodeB only within the NB-IoT network. While in two areas relatively near to the eNodeB, both in NB-IoT network and LTE network, the UEs can communicate with the eNodeB in real time, as shown in Fig. 11(c) and Fig. 11(d). Since NB-IoT introduces the retransmission mechanism in downlink physical channel, and improves demodulation threshold through the diversity gain and merging gain of retransmission, the network can enhance downlink coverage. To solve the resource blocking problem of enhanced coverage, the periodic downlink transmission interval is introduced. As it was previously mentioned, for the requirement of maximal 20 dB coverage improvement, in the in-band deployment mode, the NPDCCH needs about 200-350 ms of retransmission while NPDSCH needs about 1200-1900 ms of retransmission, and if the resources are continuously occupied by NPDCCH and

NPDSCH, the uplink/downlink authorization or downlink business transmission of other terminals will be blocked. Therefore, the FTP traffic received by UEs presents a periodic variation.

## 5 CONCLUSION

This article introduces a development of NB-IoT technology and its main characteristics and design objectives according to 3GPP R13. In addition, the related literature about NB-IoT modelling and algorithm analysis is presented. Then we explain current problems of NB-IoT system-level modelling based on visualized simulation platform. Nevertheless, this paper constructs a NB-IoT model based on OPNET, and verifies its characteristics, such as wide coverage, high channel utilization, and etc..

In this study, we focused on model design and realization aiming at physical layer characteristics of NB-IoT. We analyzed correlated uplink and downlink characteristics of NB-IoT, and designed and constructed the NB-IoT model based on LTE network. Lastly, we used the constructed NB-IoT model to perform simulations, and we compared NB-




Fig. 10. Queuing delay from eNodeB to EPC




Fig. 11. Coverage Range Contrast between NB-IoT Network and LTE Network with 20MHz Bandwidth

IoT network with LTE network under channel bandwidth of 3 MHz, 5 MHz, 10 MHz, 15 MHz and 20 MHz. The simulation results have verified the NB-IoT performance defined by 3GPP, that NB-IoT uplink time delay is lower than 10 s, channel utilization is higher than in LTE network, and coverage area is larger than in LTE network.

The discussions and modelling presented in this paper aim to provide a comprehensive overview and big-picture of exciting solutions on this subject. In our future work, we will continue to design and improve the NB-IoT model based on OPNET. Nevertheless, we will further improve and optimize air interface layer, access network and core network of NB-IoT based on LTE network, in order to reach the expected requirements.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia for funding this research group No. (RG-1437-042).

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Wan, S. Tang, Z. Shu, D. Li, S. Wang, M. Imran and A. Vasilakos, "Software-Defined Industrial Internet of Things in the Context of Industry 4.0", *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 16, no. 20, pp. 7373-7380, 2016.
- [2] W. Dai, M. Qiu, L. Qiu, L. Chen, and A. Wu, "Who Moved My Data? Privacy Protection in Smartphones", *IEEE Communication Magazine*. Vol. 55, No. 1, pp.20-25, Jan. 2017
- [3] M. Qiu and E. H.-M. Sha, "Cost Minimization while Satisfying Hard/Soft Timing Constraints for Heterogeneous Embedded Systems", *ACM Transactions on Design Automation of Electronic Systems (TODAES)*, Vol. 14, No.2, Article 25, pp. 1-30, Apr. 2009
- [4] M. Qiu, Z. Ming, J. Li, K. Gai, and Z. Zong, "Phase-Change Memory Optimization for Green Cloud with Genetic Algorithm", *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, Vol. 64, No. 12, pp.3528-3540, Dec. 2015
- [5] K. Lin, M. Chen, J. Deng, M. Hassan, G. Fortino, "Enhanced Fingerprinting and Trajectory Prediction for IoT Localization in Smart Buildings", *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, vol.13, no.3, pp.1294-1307, 2016.
- [6] X Hou, Y Li, M Chen, D Wu, D Jin, S Chen, "Vehicular fog computing: A viewpoint of vehicles as the infrastructures", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.65, no.6, pp.3860-3873
- [7] X Xiong, K Zheng, R Xu, W Xiang, P Chatzimisios, *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol.53, no.9, pp.64-71
- [8] Y Li, M Chen, "Software-defined network function virtualization: A survey", *IEEE Access*, vol.3, pp.2542-2553
- [9] 3GPP TR 45.820, "Cellular system support for ultra-low complexity and low throughput cellular internet of things", 2015.
- [10] 3GPP TS 36.211, "E-UTRA Physical channels and modulation-Chap.10 Narrowband IoT", 2016.
- [11] 3GPP, "Standardization of NB-IoT completed", 2016, [http://www.3gpp.org/news-events/3gpp-news/1785-nb\\_iot\\_complete](http://www.3gpp.org/news-events/3gpp-news/1785-nb_iot_complete).
- [12] A. Rico-Alvarino, M. Vajapeyam, H. Xu and X. Wang, "An overview of 3GPP enhancements on machine to machine communications", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol.54, no.6, pp.14-21, 2016.
- [13] C. Hoymann, D. Astely, M. Stattin and G Wikstrom, "LTE release 14 outlook", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol.54, no.6, pp.44-49, 2016.
- [14] F.Liu, K.Zheng, W.Xiang, H.Zhao, "Design and Performance Analysis of An Energy-Efficient Uplink Carrier Aggregation Scheme", *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communication*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp.197-207, 2014.
- [15] K. Lin, W. Wang, X. Wang, W. Ji, J. Wan, "QoE-Driven Spectrum Assignment for 5G Wireless Networks using SDR", *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol.22, no.5, pp.48-55, 2015.
- [16] H. Wu, "Recent advances in technology and application of Internet of things", In *Internet of Things Conference*, Shanghai, China, 2017.
- [17] G. Dai, j. Yu, "Research on NB-IoT Background, Standard Development, Characteristics and the Service", *Mobile Communication*, vol.40,no.7, pp.31-36, 2016.
- [18] K. Lin, W. Wang, Y. Bi, M. Qiu, M. Hassan, "Human Localization based on Inertial Sensors and Fingerprint in the Industrial Internet of Things", *Computer Networks*, vol.101, pp.113-126, 2016.
- [19] M. Chen, P. Zhou, G. Fortino, "Emotion Communication System", *IEEE Access*, Vol.5, pp. 326-337, 2017.
- [20] M. Chen, J. Yang, X. Zhu, X. Wang, M. Liu, J. Song, "Smart Home 2.0: Innovative Smart Home System Powered by Botanical IoT and Emotion Detection", *Mobile Networks and Applications*, DOI 10.1007/s11036-017-0866-1, 2017.
- [21] X. Qian, M. Mu, "NB-IoT standardization, technical characteristics and industrial development", *Informatization Research*, pp.23-216, 2016.
- [22] F Xu, Z Tu, Y Li, P Zhang, X Fu, D Jin, "Trajectory Recovery From Ash: User Privacy Is NOT Preserved in Aggregated Mobility Data", *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web*, pp.1241-1250
- [23] M. Chen, Y. Hao, K. Hwang, L. Wang, L. Wang, "Disease Prediction by Machine Learning over Big Healthcare Data", *IEEE Access*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 8869-8879, 2017.
- [24] W. Liu, J. Dong, N. Liu, "NB-IoT key technology and design simulation method", *Telecommunication Science*, pp.144-148, 2016.
- [25] A. Laya, A. Luis, A. Jesus, "Is the random access channel of LTE and LTE-A suitable for M2M communications: a survey of alternatives", *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol.16, no.1, pp.4-16, 2014.
- [26] Y. Zhang, M. Qiu, C. W. Tsai, M. M. Hassan and A. Alamri, "Health-CPS: Healthcare Cyber-Physical System Assisted by Cloud and Big Data," in *IEEE Systems Journal*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 88-95, March 2017
- [27] M. Chen, J. Yang, Y. Hao, S. Mao, K. Hwang, "A 5G Cognitive System for Healthcare", *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, Vol. 1, No. 1, DOI:10.3390/bdcc1010002, 2017.
- [28] M. Chen, Y. Ma, Y. Li, D. Wu, Y. Zhang and C. H. Youn, "Wearable 2.0: Enabling Human-Cloud Integration in Next Generation Healthcare Systems," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 54-61, January 2017.
- [29] Q. Liu, Y. Ma, M. Alhussain, Y. Zhang, L. Peng, "Green data center with IoT sensing and cloud-assisted smart temperature control system", *Computer Networks*, vol.101, pp.104-112, 2016.
- [30] R. Ratasuk, B. Vejlgaard, N. Mangalvedhe, et al., "NB-IoT system for M2M communication", *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, pp.428-432, 2016.
- [31] M. Chen, J. Wan, S. Gonzalez, X. Liao and V. Leung, A Survey of Recent Developments in Home M2M Networks *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 98-114, 2014.
- [32] K.Zheng, F.Liu, L.Lei, C.Lin, and Y.Jiang, "Stochastic Performance Analysis of a Wireless Finite-State Markov Channel," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol.62, no.4, pp.1450-1458, 2013.
- [33] N. Mangalvedhe, R. Ratasuk, A. Ghosh, "NB-IoT deployment study for low power wide area cellular IoT", *2016 IEEE 27th IEEE Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor, and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC)*, PP.1-6, 2016.
- [34] A. Adhikary, X. Lin, Y. P. E. Wang, "Performance evaluation of NB-IoT coverage", *2016 IEEE 84th IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Fall)*, pp.1-5, 2016.
- [35] M. Lauridsen, I. Z. Kovacs, P. Mogensen, et al., "Coverage and capacity analysis of LTE-M and NB-IoT in a rural area", *2016 IEEE 84th IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Fall)*, pp.1-5, 2016.
- [36] X. Lin, A. Adhikary, Y. P. E. Wang, "Random access preamble design and detection for 3GPP narrowband IoT systems", *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol.5, no.6, pp. 640-643, 2016.
- [37] A. Ali, W. Hamouda, "On the Cell Search and Initial Synchronization for NB-IoT LTE Systems". *IEEE Communications Letters*, 2017.
- [38] H. Kroll, M. Korb, B. Weber, et al., "Maximum-Likelihood Detection for Energy-Efficient Timing Acquisition in NB-IoT", *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference Workshops (WCNCW)*, pp.1-5, 2017.
- [39] G. Fortino, R. Gravina, W. Russo, C. Savaglio, Modeling and Simulation of Internet of Things Systems: A Hybrid Agent-oriented Approach, *IEEE Computing in Science and Engineering*, 2017, doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2017.59
- [40] G. Fortino, "Agents Meet the IoT: Toward Ecosystems of Networked Smart Objects," *IEEE Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Magazine*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 43-47, 2016. doi: 10.1109/MSMC.2016.2557483

- [41] G.o Fortino, A. Guerrieri, W. Russo, C. Savaglio. "Towards a Development Methodology for Smart Object-Oriented IoT Systems:A Metamodel Approach",*IEEE Computing in Science and Engineering*, pp.1297-1302, 2015.
- [42] C. H. Liu, J. Fan, J. W. Branch, and K. K. Leung, "Toward QoI and energy-efficiency in internet-of-things sensory environments," *IEEE Trans on Emerging Topics Computing*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 473C487, Dec. 2014.
- [43] C. H. Liu, J. Fan, P. Hui, J. Wu, and K. K. Leung, Toward QoI and energy efficiency in participatory crowdsourcing, *IEEE Trans on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 4684C4700, Oct. 2015.
- [44] M. Koseoglu, "Lower Bounds on the LTE-A Average Random Access Delay Under Massive M2M Arrivals", *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol.64, no.5, pp.2104-2115, 2016.
- [45] B. Yang, G. Zhu, W. Wu, et al., "M2M access performance in LTE-A system", *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, vol.25, no.1, pp.3-10, 2014.
- [46] X. Wang, Z. Sheng, S. Yang, V. C. M. Leung, "Tag-Assisted Social-Aware Opportunistic D2D Sharing for Traffic Offloading in Mobile Social Networks," *IEEE Wireless Communications Magazine*, Vol. 23, No. 4, pp.60-67, 2016.
- [47] G. C. Madueno, S. Cedimir, P. Popovski. "Reengineering GSM/GPRS Towards a Dedicated Network for Massive Smart Metering", *2014 IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications*, pp 338-343, 2014.
- [48] H. Wang, X. Wang, K. Li, J. Ren, X. Zhang, D. Jian. "A Measurement Study of Device-to-Device Sharing in Mobile Social Networks Based on Spark", *Wiley Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, Vol. 29, No. 16, 2017.
- [49] M. Chen, Y. Hao, M. Qiu, J. Song, D. Wu, I. Humar, "Mobility-aware Caching and Computation Offloading in 5G Ultradense Cellular Networks", *Sensors*, Vol. 16, No. 7, pp. 974-987, 2016.
- [50] C. Marco,V. Lorenzo, "A study on M2M traffic and its impact on cellular networks", *2015 IEEE 2nd World Forum on Internet of Things*, pp 154-159, 2015.
- [51] B. Lu, "Discussion on Internet of things coverage enhancement technology of NB-IoT", *Mobile Communication*, vol.40, no.19, pp.55-59, 2016.