

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

## Datasets for board meeting frequency and financial performance of Nigerian deposit money banks

Damilola Felix Eluyela<sup>a,\*</sup>, Olamide Oluwabusola Akintimehin<sup>b</sup>, Wisdom Okere<sup>c</sup>, Emmanuel Ozordi<sup>d</sup>, Godswill Osagie Osuma<sup>e</sup>, Simon Osiregbemhe Ilogho<sup>d</sup>, Olufemi Adebayo Oladipo<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Accounting and Finance, Landmark University, Omu Aran, Nigeria

<sup>b</sup> Department of Business Studies, Landmark University, Omu Aran, Nigeria

<sup>c</sup> Department of Economics, Accounting and Finance, Bells University of Technology, Ota, Nigeria

<sup>d</sup> Department of Accounting, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

<sup>e</sup> Department of Banking and Finance, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 May 2018 Received in revised form 12 June 2018 Accepted 18 June 2018 Available online 27 June 2018

Keywords: Board meeting Corporate governance Deposit money banks Firm performance

### Specifications Table

Subject area More specific subject area Type of data Accounting, Management Corporate Governance Table, Excel File

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.06.044





quency and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. We obtained the dataset from Nigeria stock exchange (NSE) database. The time frame used for this work is 2010–2016. TOBIN Q was used as a major determinant of financial performance. The raw data is easily accessible on Nigeria stock exchange website. We describe the value of this data as well as the method to analyze the data.

This article provides data on the impact of board meeting fre-

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail address: eluyela.damilola@lmu.edu.ng (D.F. Eluyela).

<sup>2352-3409/© 2018</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

How data was acquired Data format	Collected from database of Nigerian stock exchange Raw and analyzed
Experimental factors	Samples consisted of all deposit money banks listed on Nigeria stock exchange.
Experimental features	First descriptive statistics were provided followed by a correlation matrix. Then Hausman test were performed on the data to determine whether a fixed or random effect exist. Finally, panel regression ana- lyses were performed.
Data source location	The data were obtained and collected from 14 deposit money banks listed on Nigeria stock exchange.
Data accessibility	The data are attached to this article.

#### Value of the data

- The database provides the impact of board meeting frequency on financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. To our knowledge, this is the complete dataset available for measuring how board meeting affect performance in Nigeria.
- The result provide empirical support for the agency theory, which suggest that when board meet more frequently, this will increase their ability to effectively monitor, advise, scrutinise and create an atmosphere of discipline.
- The dataset (financial performance variables) can be used by other researchers in carrying out subsequent research in area of other board attributes.

#### 1. Data

The data includes the variables used to examine the impact of board meeting frequency on firm performance. 14 Deposit money banks listed on Nigeria stock exchange were used as the sample for the study. Time frame for this study was 2010–2016. Table 1 below shows data for the dependent and independent variable which are log of frequency of board meeting and the Tobin Q. Table 2 provides data for the control variables (board size and firm size). These are all processed data (except from board size). The raw data is attached to this article.

DBM's TOB Q Log of BMF 1 2 3 4 5 7 2 3 4 5 6 7 6 1 0.845 0.903 0.903 0.845 0.778 1.000 1.212 1.208 1.072 Access 0.845 1.133 1.132 1.146 1.136 0.954 1.091 Diamond 1000 0778 0778 0778 0.602 0778 1106 1108 1092 1108 1122 1 1 1 1 FCMB 0.845 0.903 0.778 0.477 0.778 0.699 0.778 1.196 1.195 1.145 1.143 1.137 1.140 1.153 Fidelity 0.845 0.903 0.845 0.699 1.079 1.000 0.954 1.202 1.198 1.177 1.151 1.146 1.149 1.143 First Bank 0.078 0.845 0.903 0.903 0.845 0.845 0.845 1.100 1.129 1.127 1.102 1.112 1.127 1.115 GTB 1.138 1.154 1.170 1.178 1162 0.602 0.699 0.602 0.602 0.602 0699 0.699 1.177 1.169 0.954 1.087 Skve 0.903 0.903 0.903 0.845 0.845 1.000 1.110 1.110 1.097 1.109 1.102 1.087 Stanbic 0.477 0.602 0.301 0.602 0.699 0.699 0.602 1.172 1.153 1.127 1.133 1.128 1.138 1.134 Sterling 0.778 0.845 0.602 0.778 0.602 0.602 0.602 1.084 1.081 1.080 1.897 1.103 1.120 1.103 UBA 0.69 0.845 0.602 0.778 0.778 0.778 0.699 1.084 1.079 1.085 1.089 1.096 1.121 1.128 Union 0778 0 8 4 5 0778 0778 0 6 9 9 0 8 4 5 0 903 1191 1189 1188 1199 1220 1235 1217 Unity 0.602 0.602 0.778 0.845 0.954 1.000 1.118 1.130 1.070 1.185 1.186 1.175 1.114 1.113 Wema 0.778 0.778 0.699 0.699 0.602 0.602 0.602 1.026 1.028 1.005 1.125 1.114 1.116 1.114 Zenith 0.602 0.602 0.699 0.602 0.602 0.602 0.699 0.699 1.159 1.169 1.178 1.162 1.147 1.149

# Table 1Log of BMF and Tobin Q.Source: Author's Computation (2018)

Table 2
Control variables.
Source: Author's Computation (2018)

DBM's	Board size						Firm size							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Access	15	15	15	17	14	14	14	11.95	11.98	12.18	12.26	12.32	12.41	12.49
Diamond	19	19	15	18	15	16	11	11.88	11.90	12.07	12.18	12.29	12.24	12.31
FCMB	15	15	15	11	11	10	10	11.77	11.78	11.96	12.00	12.07	12.06	12.07
Fidelity	16	19	19	16	16	17	17	8.86	8.87	8.96	9.03	9.07	9.09	9.11
First Bank	11	12	19	8	13	13	13	9.54	9.45	9.50	9.57	9.62	9.60	9.65
GTB	13	14	14	14	14	16	16	12.16	12.18	12.21	12.28	12.38	12.36	12.49
Skye	11	12	14	13	13	17	17	8.96	8.96	9.03	9.05	9.14	9.08	9.08
Stanbic	12	12	12	11	7	7	7	8.65	8.74	8.83	8.88	8.97	8.97	9.02
Sterling	12	13	11	13	16	17	17	11.65	11.70	11.76	10.85	11.92	11.90	11.92
UBA	20	20	25	19	17	17	17	9.22	9.28	9.36	9.42	9.44	9.44	9.54
Union	12	14	14	16	14	13	13	9.01	9.02	9.01	9.00	9.00	9.02	9.10
Unity	15	17	17	15	14	15	15	11.56	11.57	11.60	11.61	11.62	11.65	11.68
Wema	10	10	12	12	13	14	14	11.32	11.34	11.39	11.52	11.58	11.60	11.63
Zenith	12	12	14	12	12	12	12	9.34	9.37	9.42	9.50	9.57	9.60	9.68

\*\*\*Note: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 represent 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016.

Table 3Measurement of variables.Source: Author's Computation (2018)

nym type	
Q Dependent	Book value of total assets plus market value of equity minus book value of equity divided by book value of total assets
Independent	Natural logarithm of a number of the board meeting held throughout the financial year
	Total number of directors on the board Natural logarithm of total assets
	Q Dependent Independent

#### 2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

We applied the following measurement to the raw data gathered from the annual reports of the listed 14 deposit money banks. Table 3 shows the measurement of variables.

We examined the relationship between board meeting frequency and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. To achieve this, we employed a panel methodology because the data applied for this study. Panel data is a combination of time series and cross sectional study [2]. One of the benefits of panel data is that it gives room for degrees of freedom and less collinearity among variables. The population for the purpose of this study consists of the fifteen (15) deposit money listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange and we used sample size of 14. The sources of data include annual reports and accounts of selected deposit money banks. Prior to analyses, descriptive analysis were carried out to provide comprehensive information about the mean, minimum and maximum observations for each variable applied in this study. Correlation test were carried out to test multicollinearity and see the relationship between the dependent and independent variables. A multicollinearity exist when the correlation between two variables is greater than 0.8 [3,4,5]. Finally, the study carried out Hausman test to determine which model would be suitable for the panel regression: whether a fixed effect model or a random effect model [1,4].

#### Acknowledgments

We will like to express our profound gratitude to the management of Landmark University for providing full publication grant of this manuscript.

#### Transparency document. Supporting information

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.dib.2018.06.044.

#### Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.06.044.

#### References

- O. Wisdom, O.U. Love, L.Q. Oyedeji, D.F. Eluyela, O.K. Elizabeth, Auditors' choice and financing decision of selected quoted firms in Nigeria, Int. J. Manag. Acc. Econ. 5 (2) (2018) 66–77.
- [2] S.K. Johl, S. Kaur, B.J. Cooper, Board characteristics and firm performance: evidence from Malaysian public listed firms, J. Econ. Bus. Manag. 3 (2) (2015) 239–243. http://dx.doi.org/10.7763/JOEBM.2015.V3.187.
- [3] J.T.K. Marn, D.F. Romuald, The impact of corporate governance mechanism and corporate performance: a study of listed companies in Malaysia, J. Adv. Sci. Arts 3 (1) (2012) 31–45.
- [4] W. Okere, M. Isaka, A.J. Ogunlowore, Risk management and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria, Eur. J. Bus. Econ. Acc. 6 (2) (2018) 30–42.
- [5] M. Taghizadeh, S. Saremi, Board of directors and firms performance: evidence from Malaysian public listed firm, Int. Proc. Econ. Dev. Res. 59 (37) (2013) 178–182. http://dx.doi.org/10.7763/IPEDR.2013.V59.37.