



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta



Domination in 3-tournaments



Dániel Korándi, Benny Sudakov¹

Department of Mathematics, ETH, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 February 2016

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Domination

Generalized tournaments

Girth

Property S_k

ABSTRACT

A 3-tournament is a complete 3-uniform hypergraph where each edge has a special vertex designated as its tail. A vertex set X dominates T if every vertex not in X is contained in an edge whose tail is in X . The domination number of T is the minimum size of such an X . Generalizing well-known results about usual (graph) tournaments, Gyárfás conjectured that there are 3-tournaments with arbitrarily large domination number, and that this is not the case if any four vertices induce two triples with the same tail. In this short note we solve both problems, proving the first conjecture and refuting the second.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

A tournament is an oriented complete graph. The following generalization of tournaments to higher uniformity was suggested by Gyárfás. An r -tournament is a complete r -uniform hypergraph T where each edge has a special vertex designated as its tail. We say that a vertex set X dominates T if every vertex outside X is contained in a hyperedge whose tail is in X . The domination number of T is the minimum size of such a dominating set X . Recently Gyárfás made the following two conjectures about 3-tournaments (see [6]).

E-mail addresses: daniel.korandi@math.ethz.ch (D. Korándi), benjamin.sudakov@math.ethz.ch (B. Sudakov).

¹ Research supported by SNSF grant 200021-149111.

Conjecture 1 (Gyárfás).

1. There are 3-tournaments with arbitrarily large domination number.
2. The domination number of a 3-tournament such that any four of its vertices induce at least two edges with the same tail is bounded by a constant.

These conjectures were motivated by analogous classic results about usual tournaments (see, e.g., [7]). Indeed, it is well known that an n -vertex tournament can have a domination number as large as $(1 + o(1)) \log_2 n$, e.g., random tournaments have this property. On the other hand, if any three vertices of a tournament induce two edges with the same tail, i.e., there are no cyclic triangles, then the tournament is transitive and thus has a dominating set of size 1.

In this short note we construct 3-tournaments of arbitrarily large domination number such that any four vertices induce at least two edges with the same tail. This proves the first conjecture and disproves the second.

The above conjectures turn out to be closely related to a problem about directed graphs. Recall that a directed graph has property S_k if every set of size k is dominated by some other vertex, i.e., for any set X of size k , there is a vertex v such that all k edges between v and X exist and are directed towards X . The girth of a digraph is the minimum length of a directed cycle in it. Myers conjectured in 2003 [8] that every digraph satisfying S_2 has girth bounded by an absolute constant. A similar conjecture was later made in [3], motivated by algorithmic game theory. These conjectures were recently disproved by Anbalagan, Huang, Lovett, Norin, Vetta and Wu [1] (digraphs with property S_2 and girth four were constructed earlier in [2]). Their construction, which is based on a result of Haight [4] (see also [9]) in additive number theory, establishes the following.

Theorem 2 ([1]). *For any k and l , there is a directed graph of girth at least l that has property S_k .*

We will use this construction to resolve the above two problems about domination in 3-tournaments. Let D be a digraph of girth at least 4 on a vertex set V , and fix an arbitrary ordering of V . We define T_D to be a 3-tournament on the same set V where the tail of each triple A in T_D is selected as follows. Look at all the directed paths in $D[A]$ of maximum length, and choose the tail of A to be the smallest (according to the ordering we fixed) of the starting vertices. Note that $D[A]$ is acyclic, so this tail has indegree 0 in $D[A]$. The following result together with [Theorem 2](#) proves the existence of 3-tournaments with large domination number, and answers both questions of Gyárfás.

Theorem 3. *If D is a digraph of girth at least 4 with property S_k , then the tournament T_D has domination number at least $k + 1$. Furthermore, if D has girth at least 5, then any four vertices in T_D induce two triples sharing the same tail.*

Proof. Let D be a digraph of girth at least 4 with property S_k . Suppose there is a set X of size k that dominates T_D . Then by property S_k , there is a vertex $v \in D$ such that all edges between v and X exist and are directed towards X . Since X dominates T_D , and v in particular, there is a triple A containing v whose tail is in X . But this tail has non-zero indegree in $D[A]$, contradicting the definition of T_D . So the domination number of T_D is at least $k + 1$.

Now suppose further that D has girth at least 5, and pick an arbitrary set B of four vertices. Then $D[B]$ is acyclic. Let $x \in B$ be the smallest among the starting vertices of the paths of maximum length in $D[B]$. If $D[B]$ is empty then x is the tail of all three triples in $T_D[B]$ touching it. Otherwise, let xy be the first edge of a path of maximum length in $D[B]$. Notice that there is no path of length 2 in $D[B]$ ending at y , as that would give a path longer than the one starting at x . But then x is the tail of both triples in B containing x and y . Indeed, z could only be the tail of $\{x, y, z\}$ if zy was an edge in D and z was smaller than x in the ordering, but that would contradict the choice of x . \square

Remarks.

- The questions of Gyárfás can also be asked for higher uniformity. The analogous construction (with a bit more complicated argument) shows that the domination number of r -tournaments can be arbitrarily large, even when any $r + 1$ vertices induce $\lceil r/2 \rceil$ hyperedges with the same tail. On the other hand, if any $r + 1$ vertices induce r edges sharing the same tail then it is not hard to see that there is a dominating vertex. It might be interesting to determine the minimum i such that i induced edges with the same tail contained in every subset of size $r + 1$ imply a bounded domination number. We see that $\lceil r/2 \rceil < i \leq r$.
- It is also natural to ask how large the domination number of a 3-tournament T can be in terms of the number of vertices n . It is easy to show that domination number is always at most $\log_2 n$. Indeed, T contains a vertex v that is the tail of at least $\binom{n}{3}/n \geq n^2/7$ triples. Such a v clearly dominates at least $n/2$ vertices. Applying induction on the remaining vertices and adding v to the dominating set gives the above upper bound. On the other hand, the k in our construction inherits a very weak dependence on n from [1] and its number theoretic background. We only get a lower bound of $\text{polylog}(\log^* n)$, where $\log^* n$ is the number of times one needs to iterate the logarithm function to reduce n to a number ≤ 1 , leaving a huge gap between the bounds.
- The above-mentioned counterexample to the conjecture of Myers leads to another interesting question. How large can the girth be in an n -vertex digraph satisfying S_2 ? The lower bound from [1] has an order of magnitude $\text{polylog}(\log^* n)$, while a logarithmic upper bound is easy to show. The following result of the second author together with Eyal Lubetzky and Asaf Shapira [5] gives an upper bound of $O(\log \log n)$.

Theorem 4. *Let D be an n -vertex digraph satisfying S_2 , then its girth is at most $2 \log_2 \log_2 n$.*

Proof. Note that for any vertex set X in D of size 2^k , there is a $v \in D$ that sends directed paths of lengths at most k to all of X . Indeed, split X into 2^{k-1} pairs. By property S_2 , each pair is dominated by some vertex, and applying induction to these vertices gives such a v .

Now take $k = \log_2 \log_2 n$ and let D' be the k 'th power of D , i.e., xy is an edge in D' if there is a directed path in D from x to y of length at most k . By the previous argument, D' has property S_t with $t = 2^k = \log_2 n$.

If D' has a cycle of length 2 then D contains a cycle of length at most $2 \log_2 \log_2 n$ and we are done. Otherwise, we can add directed edges to D' to obtain an n -vertex tournament that, by monotonicity, will still satisfy S_t . However, it is well known (see [7]) and easy to prove that such a tournament has more than $2^t = n$ vertices. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Y. Anbalagan, H. Huang, S. Lovett, S. Norin, A. Vetta, H. Wu, Large supports are required for well-supported Nash equilibria, in: Proceedings of the 18th International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization Problems, APPROX, 2015.
- [2] P. Balister, B. Bollobás, Pair dominating graphs, *European J. Combin.* 27 (2006) 322–328.
- [3] C. Daskalakis, A. Mehta, C. Papadimitriou, A note on approximate Nash equilibria, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 410 (2009) 1581–1588.
- [4] J. Haight, Difference covers which have small k -sums for any k , *Mathematika* 20 (1973) 109–118.
- [5] E. Lubetzky, A. Shapira, B. Sudakov, personal communication.
- [6] K. Markström, Problem collection from the IML programme: graphs, hypergraphs, and computing, IML Preprint Series, also arXiv:1511.00270, 2014.
- [7] J.H. Moon, *Topics on Tournaments*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1968.
- [8] J. Myers, *Extremal theory of graph minors and directed graphs*, Doctoral Thesis, University of Cambridge, 2003.
- [9] I. Ruzsa, More differences than multiple sums, preprint, arXiv:1601.04146.