

# Rationally triangulable automorphisms

James K. Deveney and David R. Finston

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Virginia Commonwealth University, 1015 W. Main St.,  
Richmond, VA 23284, USA*

Communicated by C.A. Weibel

Received 13 February 1990

## *Abstract*

Deveney, J.K. and D.R. Finston, Rationally triangulable automorphisms, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 72 (1991) 1–4.

This paper provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the rational triangulability of actions of the algebraic group  $G_n$  on affine space. The criterion is used to demonstrate the rational triangulability of all  $G_n$  actions on  $A^3(k)$ , as well as to prove, for arbitrary  $n$ , that all  $G_n$  actions are stably rationally triangulable.

## 1. Introduction

A rational action of an algebraic group  $G$ , defined over the characteristic zero, algebraically-closed field  $k$ , on the affine space  $A^n(k)$ , is said to be *triangulable* if coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  can be chosen so that the induced automorphism on the coordinate ring has the form  $x_i \mapsto \alpha_i x_i + F_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$  with  $\alpha_i$  in the multiplicative group of  $k$ . The action is said to be *linear* if there is a coordinate system on which it is effected by a linear change of variables, and *tame* if it lies in the group generated by the triangular and linear automorphisms.

It is known that the automorphism group of  $A^2(k)$  is the amalgamated free product of the groups of linear and triangular automorphisms, but it remains unknown whether these subgroups generate the automorphism group if  $n \geq 3$ . Bass, in [1], and Popov, in [4], have given examples of actions of the additive group of  $k$ , denoted  $G_n$ , on  $A^3(k)$  which are neither linearizable nor triangulable. The structure theory of amalgamated products thus shows that the automorphism group cannot have this structure for  $n \geq 3$ .

Two approximations to tameness are the notions of stable tameness and rational triangulability. An action of  $G$  on  $A^n(k)$  is *stably tame* provided its extension to  $A^{n+m}(k)$  by fixing the last  $m$  coordinates is tame, and *rationally*

*triangulable* if there are generators  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  of the field of rational functions so that each of the subfields  $k(y_1, \dots, y_i)$  is invariant under the group of  $k$ -automorphisms of the rational function field induced by  $G$ . In [6], Smith showed that the examples of Popov are stably tame. It was asked in [1] whether every rational action of a unipotent group on affine space is rationally triangulable.

This paper provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the rational triangulability of actions of the additive group of  $k$  on affine space. The criterion can be used to demonstrate the rational triangulability of all  $G_a$  actions on  $A^3(k)$ , in particular those of [1] and [4], as well as to prove, for arbitrary  $n$ , that all  $G_a$  actions are stably rationally triangulable (indeed they are rationally triangulable in the extension of the action to  $A_{n+1}(k)$ ).

## 2. Generation of purely transcendental extensions

We begin with a general result on pure transcendental extensions of degree one of an arbitrary field of characteristic zero.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero, not necessarily algebraically closed, and  $K(z)$  a simple transcendental extension. An element  $w \in K(z)$  satisfies  $K(w) = K(z)$  if and only if there is an automorphism  $f$  of  $K(z)$  fixing  $K$  and sending  $w$  to  $w + c$  for some nonzero  $c \in K$ .*

**Proof.** If  $K(z) = K(w)$ , then  $f(w) = w + 1$  is the desired automorphism. Conversely suppose that  $f$  is a  $K$ -automorphism of  $K(z)$  mapping  $w$  to  $w + c$  for some nonzero  $c$  in  $K$ . Then the group  $\langle f \rangle$  of  $K$ -automorphisms generated by  $f$  is infinite, translates  $w$ , and leaves  $K(w)$  invariant.

Since  $f$  fixes  $K$  and moves  $w$ ,  $K(z)$  is a finite-dimensional, separable extension of  $K(w)$ ; in particular, only finitely many places of  $K(w)$  over  $K$  ramify in  $K(z)$ . Since  $K(w)$  is  $\langle f \rangle$ -invariant, those places are permuted by the  $\langle f \rangle$  action and therefore an infinite subgroup  $H$  of  $\langle f \rangle$  fixes them.

Let  $h \in H$  with  $h(w) = w + a$ , for some  $0 \neq a \in K$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a ramified place. Then  $h\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(w) = (h\mathcal{P})(w) = \mathcal{P}(w + a) = \mathcal{P}(w) + a$ . Since  $a \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(w) = \infty$ . Thus the only places which ramify are the poles of  $w$ .

Let  $[K(z) : K(w)] = n$  and let  $\bar{K}$  be an algebraic closure of  $K$ . Then  $[\bar{K}(z) : \bar{K}(w)] = n$  and again ramification can occur only at the places of  $\bar{K}(w)$  which are poles of  $w$ . The remainder of the proof follows as in [8, p. 232]. Namely, with  $G$  (resp.  $g$ ) denoting the genus of  $\bar{K}(z)$  (resp.  $\bar{K}(w)$ ),  $\mathcal{D}$  the different, and  $d^0(\mathcal{D})$  its degree, the Hurwitz-Zeuthen formula  $2G - 2 - n(2g - 2) = d^0(\mathcal{D})$  yields  $d^0(\mathcal{D}) = 2n - 2$ , since  $G = g = 0$ . But the concentration of the ramification at the poles of  $w$  implies that  $d^0(\mathcal{D}) \leq n - 1$ . Thus,  $n = 1$ .  $\square$

The theorem clearly does not hold in positive characteristic  $p$ . One simply takes  $w = z^p$  and  $g(z) = z + 1$ .

The following result shows that rationally triangulable actions of  $G_a$  have a particularly simple form.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $G = G_a$ , acting rationally and nontrivially on  $A^n(k)$ , is rationally triangulable, then  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  where  $k(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$  is fixed by  $G$ , and for all  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma(z_n) = z_n + t_\sigma$  for  $t_\sigma \in k(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  generate  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with the fields  $k(y_1, \dots, y_i)$  invariant under the given  $G_a$  action. By Rosenlicht's cross-section theorem,  $k(y_1, y_2) = K^G(w)$  where  $K^G$  is the fixed field of  $G$  in its restriction to  $k(y_1, y_2)$ , and  $w$  is transcendental over  $k$  [2, p. 152]. By the generalized Luroth theorem [3],  $K^G = k(z_1)$ . Thus  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k(z_1)(w_1, y_3, \dots, y_n)$ . By induction, it follows that  $k(y_1, \dots, y_n) = k(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})(w_n)$  and that  $k(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$  is fixed by  $G$ .

Since  $G$  acts rationally and nontrivially on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , there is a finite-dimensional generating subspace  $V$  on which the action can be represented by unipotent matrices, and an element  $w \in V$  for which  $\sigma(w) = w + t_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ . It follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $k(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})(w_n) = k(z_1, \dots, z_n)(w)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Rationally and stably rationally triangular actions

A rational action of an algebraic group  $G$  on an affine domain  $A$  over  $k$  has a unique extension to an action on the field of fractions  $K$ . The subfield of  $K$  fixed elementwise by the extended action will be denoted  $K^G$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Every rational action of  $G_a$  on  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is stably rationally triangulable. An action is rationally triangulable if and only if  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{G_a}$  is a pure transcendental extension of  $k$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $k^{(n)} = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $F = k^{(n)G_a}$ . Then  $k^{(n)} = F(w)$  and  $G_a$  acts as translations on  $w$ . The second assertion is therefore obvious. However,  $F(w)$  is a pure transcendental extension of  $k$ , and so therefore is  $F(x_{n+1})$  for a new variable  $x_{n+1}$ . The action of  $G_a$ , extended to  $k^{(n)}(x_{n+1})$  by fixing this variable, is therefore rationally triangulable.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Every rational  $G_a$  action on  $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  is rationally triangulable.*

**Proof.** According to Castelnuovo's theorem, a unirational field of transcendence degree 2 over an algebraically-closed field of characteristic zero is pure transcendental. This applied to  $F$  of the previous theorem yields the result.  $\square$

A finite-dimensional linear representation of  $G_a$  in  $GL(V)$  induces an action on the affine space  $\text{spec } S(V)$ , where  $S(V)$  is the symmetric algebra of  $V$ . Such an

action is called a *linear  $G_a$  action*. Alternatively, a nilpotent endomorphism of  $V$  extends to a locally nilpotent derivation of  $S(V)$ , which can be exponentiated to yield a one-parameter group of automorphisms of  $S(V)$  isomorphic to  $G_a$ . Indeed, all linear  $G_a$  actions arise in this way. If  $\delta$  is such a derivation and  $f \in S(V)$  one of its constants, then  $t \mapsto \exp(tf\delta)$  is a  $G_a$  action since  $f\delta$  is at least locally nilpotent on  $S(V)$ . The examples of Bass [1] and Popov [4] are precisely of this form, and so will be called *Popov  $G_a$  actions*.

**Corollary 3.3.** *All Popov  $G_a$  actions are rationally triangulable.*

**Proof.** The Jordan normal form of a nilpotent endomorphism of  $V$  shows that a linear  $G_a$  action is triangulable (a fortiori rationally so). As such the fixed field is pure transcendental over  $k$ . However, the fixed field of a Popov action is identical to that of the linear action from which it was derived.  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Bass, A non-triangular action of  $G_a$  on  $A^3$ , J. Pure Appl. Algebra 33 (1984) 1–5.
- [2] H. Matsumura, On algebraic groups of birational transformations, Rendiconti Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fis. Mat., e Naturale 34 (1963) 151–155.
- [3] M. Nagata, A theorem on valuation rings and its applications, Nagoya Math. J. 29 (1967) 55–91.
- [4] V. Popov, On actions of  $G_a$  on  $A^n$ , in: Algebraic Groups (Utrecht, 1986), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1271 (Springer, Berlin, 1987).
- [5] C. Seshadri, On a theorem of Weitzenboch in invariant theory, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1 (1961) 403–409.
- [6] M. Smith, Stably tame automorphisms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 58 (1989) 209–212.
- [7] L. Tzou, An algorithm for explicit generators of the invariants of the basic  $G_a$  actions, Comm. Algebra 17 (1989) 565–572.
- [8] W. Vasconcelos, Derivations of commutative Noetherian rings, Math. Z. 112 (1969) 229–233.