# Removal of organic matter contained in slaughterhouse wastewater using a combination of anaerobic digestion and solar photoelectro-Fenton processes Jorge Vidal<sup>a</sup>, César Huiliñir<sup>b</sup>, Ricardo Salazar<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Química de los Materiales, Laboratorio de Electroquímica MedioAmbiental, LEQMA, Facultad de Química y Biología. <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Ingeniería Química. Laboratorio de Biotecnología Ambiental, Facultad de Ingeniería. Universidad de Santiago de Chile, USACH, Casilla 40, Correo 33, Santiago, Chile

Paper submitted to be published in Electrochimica Acta: "SI: 2015 ISE MTG

Taiwan"

\*Corresponding author:

Tel.: +56 2 7181134.

E-mail address: ricardo.salazar@usach.cl (R. Salazar)

#### Abstract

Here, the mineralization of organic matter present in slaughterhouse wastewater from a Chilean meat company was achieved by a combination of anaerobic digestion followed by the solar photoelectro-Fenton (SPEF) process. The first step was the biological treatment, during which 90% of COD removal was achieved, producing 90 mL of CH<sub>4</sub>. Further, the post-biological effluent showed lower color, odor, amount of solids and turbidity but higher conductivity due to an increase in the concentration of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. This was followed by different electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs): electro-oxidation (EO), electro-Fenton (EF) and SPEF. With SPEF, almost complete mineralization was achieved with a high efficiency. With SPEF, the fast mineralization rate is due to hydroxyl radicals (<sup>•</sup>OH) that are generated in the bulk, on the anode surface, by solar radiation, and most importantly, by the direct photolysis of complexes formed between Fe<sup>3+</sup> and some organic intermediates.

The combination of both processes, biological digestion and SPEF, produces a totally clarified effluent, with a COD removal greater than the separate processes and better than the final effluent from industrial wastewater plants at comparable reaction times.

Keywords: slaughterhouse wastewater; anaerobic digestion; solar photoelectro-Fenton process; hydroxyl radical.

#### **1. Introduction**

The industries involving the production, harvest, distribution, and marketing of chicken, pork, turkey, salmon, and processed foods are steadily growing in the world and particularly, in developing countries. The production of meat from animals in Chile has shown remarkable growth since the 1990s, with annual production increases of 9.3% for the period 1996-2014 [1,2].

In Chile, different laws and decrees set standards stating that these waters must be returned to the natural effluent state, and increasingly stringent laws are being imposed because water resources are increasingly scarce and the scenario may worsen in coming years [3]. Therefore, it is necessary to promote new and effective alternatives for the treatment of wastewater. Among them, biological treatment is highlighted due to its characteristic of producing fully biodegradable organic matter [4-6].

In Chile, the vast majority of water treatment plants use aerobic biological treatment. For the process to be effective, microorganisms require oxygen for the removal of organic matter. This is responsible for the main operational cost in the complete wastewater treatment process. Thus, in recent years, anaerobic biological treatment is being used in larger plants due to its advantages of lower operating costs and the production of methane [7-10], which can be used as a fuel to supply energy for other plant processes. This fuel production is characterized by the conversion of organic matter to 70% CH<sub>4</sub> and 30% CO<sub>2</sub>, without any oxygen and through the interaction of different bacterial populations [11-13]. Anaerobic processes are suitable for the treatment of wastewater with very high concentrations of organic substances [14, 15]. However, this treatment is not capable of degrading residual bio-resistant organic matter in the wastewater. For this reason, new alternatives have been investigated for the treatment of wastewater. Among these, the following advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), are highlighted: (i) ozone-based processes (O<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>/UV, O<sub>3</sub>/UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) [16]; (ii) catalysis with titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>), or TiO<sub>2</sub> combined with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (TiO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup> (TiO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup>) [17]; and (iii) the Fenton reaction, including the photo-Fenton (Fe<sup>2+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/UV)

[18,19] and Solar Photo-Fenton ( $Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2/UV$ -Vis) [20-23] processes. Nevertheless, these AOPs present a series of disadvantages, such as high operating costs, the requirement for constant addition of reactants to the process, high sludge formation and safety concerns arising from the direct manipulation of strong oxidizing agents [23-25].

During the last decade, other alternative treatment strategies have been investigated, such as electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs). These technologies present an excellent alternative for wastewater treatment and the removal of persistent contaminants from wastewater. These methods are based on the use of oxidizing agents and electricity to degrade organic contaminants, resulting in complete mineralization to produce CO<sub>2</sub>, water and inorganic ions, or at least to achieve mostly innocuous and/or biodegradable transformation products [26, 27]. The main advantages of these methods are: (i) the quick breakdown of organic compounds, (ii) low cost of reagents, (iii) environmental compatibility, (iv) safe working conditions, (v) high efficiency in the degradation of pollutants [28-30]. The hydroxyl radical (•OH), which is the strongest oxidant free radical due to its high standard potential (E  $^{\circ}$  = 2.87 vs. SHE), is the main oxidizing agent in this process. Additionally, it is not selective towards organic contaminants, reacting principally by dehydrogenation or abstracting a hydrogen atom to form water; or by hydroxylation or electrophilic addition to an unsaturated bond [30-32]. Many EAOPs involve methods based on the Fenton reaction, allowing the treatment of wastewater containing organic pollutants [29, 31, 33]. These methods are described below.

The electro Fenton (EF) reaction is based on the continuous electro-generation of  $H_2O_2$  from oxygen, in the presence of a carbon cathode (graphite, carbon felt, air diffusion) [34-36] by the following reaction:

$$O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O_2 \tag{1}$$

The addition of a small amount of  $Fe^{2+}$  enhances the oxidizing power of  $H_2O_2$  by producing hydroxyl radicals *in situ* by the Fenton reaction [32-35].

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow \bullet OH + Fe^{3+} + OH^-$$
 (2)

Reaction (2) may occur again due to the reduction of  $Fe^{3+}$  at the cathode according to the following reaction [34-37].

$$Fe^{3+} + e^{-} \rightarrow Fe^{2+}$$
 (3)

The solar photoelectro-Fenton (SPEF) reaction is presented as a clean, renewable and inexpensive alternative because this method uses UV radiation coming directly from the sun [38, 39]. This process has a higher efficiency compared to that of EF, which may be due to:

(i) increased regeneration of homogeneous  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and production of the •OH radical from the photoreduction of  $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})^{2+}$  by the following reaction [37-41]:

$$Fe(OH)^{2+} + h\nu \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + \bullet OH$$
 (4)

(ii) photodecarboxylation of complexes of Fe (III) with carboxylic acids and of the intermediates generated by the action of the hydroxyl radical on organic pollutants by the following reaction [37-42]:

$$Fe(OOCR)^{2+} + h\nu \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + CO_2 + R\bullet$$
(5)

If the SPEF process is complemented by the method of electro-oxidation (EO), the water decontamination process becomes even more effective because the organic contaminants can undergo two simultaneous oxidation processes: (i) oxidation by the •OH formed in the solution according to the Fenton reaction (2) and (ii) oxidation action of the OH generated at the anode surface (M) by the discharge or oxidation of water through reaction (6) [38-43]. In EF-based processes, the chemical nature of the anode is a key factor which can increase the efficiency of the electrochemical process [44, 45]. The boron-doped diamond (BDD) electrode has been identified as an excellent non-active anode for application in the electrochemical treatment of several organic pollutants [37, 39, 41]. An important feature of the BBD surface is that its interacts weakly with the **•**OH due to its inert surface and low adsorption properties, allowing for the easy reaction of

physisorbed hydroxyl radicals (BDD( $^{\bullet}OH$ )) (reaction 6) with the organics (represented as R) in the solution, resulting in non-selective and complete mineralization to carbon dioxide (reaction 7). BDD + H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  BDD( $^{\bullet}OH$ ) + H<sup>+</sup> + e<sup>-</sup> (6) BDD( $^{\bullet}OH$ ) + R  $\rightarrow$  BDD + CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O (7)

The aim of this study was to evaluate the degradation of organic matter in wastewater coming from a slaughterhouse by a treatment comprising (i) a biological process of anaerobic digestion in batch reactors to remove the biodegradable fraction with the concomitant production of methane and (ii) a subsequent SPEF treatment to degrade the bio-resistant matter in a short time by electrolysis using an Air Diffusion Electrode/Boron-Doped Diamond system (ADE/BDD).

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Chemicals

Analytical grade anhydrous sodium sulfate, used as the background electrolyte, and Iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate, used as the catalyst in EF and SPEF, were obtained from Merck. Concentrated sulfuric acid and sodium hydroxide, both of analytical grade, were used for pH adjustment and were supplied by Merck.

#### 2.2. Slaughterhouse wastewater and anaerobic sludge

The slaughterhouse wastewater samples were supplied in August 2015 by a slaughterhouse located in the town of Puente Alto, Santiago, Chile.

This company provides a full-service slaughterhouse and roughing of pork and beef. It also has plants for the chemical and biological treatment of effluents generated from the production, to fulfill the requirements of community safety. The effluents are used as an irrigation system on their

facility. Samples were collected after coagulation (chemical treatment) and prior to their aerobic biological treatment.

The inoculum was obtained from an anaerobic reactor of the La Farfana Water Treatment Plant of Aguas Andinas in Santiago, Chile.

#### 2.3. Biological oxidation anaerobic treatment

Anaerobic digestion assays were carried out in 34 bioreactors of 500 mL capacity (ILMABOR bottles) over 30 days. In each bioreactor, a 320 mL of the slaughterhouse sample was mixed with 80 mL anaerobic sludge (to obtain a total volume of 400 mL). The bioreactors were stoppered with rubber stoppers and sealed with white silicone to ensure anaerobiosis, and they were covered with aluminum foil to prevent the growth of photosynthetic organisms. All test runs were performed in duplicate. The pH of the assays was adjusted to 7.2 and the temperature was regulated with a thermostat at 35 °C. Three times a week, two bottles were opened, to monitor the slurry by COD, UV-Vis and pH tests. Manual stirring was performed once or twice per day before reading the volume displaced by methane.

Methane production was measured by volumetric displacement, connecting an inverted falcon tube containing a 3% w/w NaOH solution to eliminate  $CO_2$  and  $H_2S$  as main impurities from the biogas, displacing only the methane volume. The resulting biogas travels through the flexible tubing to the Falcon tube, where it comes in contact with the NaOH solution, forming sodium carbonate and only bubbling methane. The methane collected in the Falcon tube is sucked with a syringe and released in a flame to confirm the presence of methane [42].

The methane volume was normalized and expressed as dry gas according to the following equation:

$$V_N = V_m \cdot \frac{\left(P_0 - P_{\nu, H_2 O}\right) \cdot T_0}{P_m \cdot T_m} \tag{8}$$

where  $V_N$  is the normalized CH<sub>4</sub> volume (NmL),  $V_m$  is the measured volume,  $P_0$  is the normal pressure (1 atm),  $P_{v,H2O}$  is the water vapor pressure at T<sub>m</sub>, T<sub>0</sub> is the normal temperature (273° K),  $P_m$ 

is the pressure in the assays (1 atm) and  $T_m$  is the temperature in the assays (308 °K).

#### 2.4. Electrochemical treatments

A volume of 100 mL of biologically treated slaughterhouse wastewater, containing 0.05 M  $Na_2SO_4$ and 1.0 mM FeSO<sub>4</sub> x 7H<sub>2</sub>O, was electrolyzed. The solution was adjusted to pH 3.0 using a concentrated solution of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

The electrolysis was carried out in a 100 mL open-cell compartment under applied current densities of 30-50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and with constant stirring to ensure mass transport. The temperature of the solution was adjusted to 35 °C with a WiseCircu® WCB-11 bath. A Si/BDD thin-film electrode (2.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) from Adamant® (500 ppm B) was used as the anode and a 2.5 cm<sup>2</sup> carbon-PTFE air-diffusion electrode from Electrocell® was used as the cathode. The cathode was fed with compressed air flowing at 1.0 L min<sup>-1</sup> for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> generation. The trials were performed at constant current provided by an MCP M10-QD305 power supply. In SPEF, the solution was irradiated directly by sunlight.

The SPEF method, electro-Fenton method (SPEF without sunlight, in the dark), electro-oxidation in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  (same conditions as EF, without Fe<sup>2+</sup> catalyst) and solar radiation (photolysis without applied current) were applied for the removal of organics.

A total of 11.62 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was accumulated after 3 h of electrolysis of 0.1 L of 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at pH 3.0, under an applied current of 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 35 °C, by the EF and SPEF processes. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was quantified using the light absorption of its Ti(IV) –H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> colored complex at  $\lambda$  = 409 nm [44].

The average UV sunlight photoionization energy was 19 W/m<sup>2</sup>. Solar radiation data were measured on a GigaHertz-Optics X11 p/n 103929 radiometer during the experiments and confirmed from the weather station of the Department of Physics of the Universidad de Santiago de Chile  $(33^{\circ}26'51.6''$  south latitude, 70°40'53.5'' west longitude). The photolysis and SPEF assays, carried out between

the months of November and December 2015, involved the exposure of solutions to direct solar radiation.

#### 2.5. Apparatus and analytical procedures

The total organic carbon (TOC) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) were separately determined by catalytic combustion at 850 °C and acidification, respectively, both using a nondispersive infrared detector (NDIR) in a Vario TOC Select Elementar analyzer The total dissolved nitrogen ( $TN_d$ ) was determined in the same analyzer coupled with an electrochemical nitrogen detector.

The pH was measured with a pH-meter, pH/ORP HANNA HI 8424 model. The conductivity was measured by a HANNA Instruments HI 98312 Multiparameter Meter. The turbidity was measured by a HANNA Instruments portable turbidity meter HI 98703. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) was determined by digestion with reactive HANNA for 2 h at 150 °C in a HANNA Instruments HI 839800. After the complete time of digestion, the samples were cooled and analyzed in a photometer HANNA Instruments HI 83099. The 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) was determined by a dissolved oxygen meter analyzer, HANNA Instruments HI 98186, according to the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater [47]. The total suspended solids (TSS) and volatile suspended solids (VSS) were measured according to the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water [47].

The decolorization of cattle slurry solutions was monitored from the absorbance (A) decay at the maximum visible wavelength ( $\lambda_{max}$ ) of 418 nm, measured by a 8453 model Agilent spectrophotometer.

Inorganic ions were analyzed in a HANNA Instruments HI 83099 photometer, using ammonia MR reagent HI 93715-01 to determine the concentration of ammonium ions, nitrate reagent HI 93728-0 to determine the concentration of nitrate ions, total chloride reagent HI 93711-01 to determine the

concentration of chloride ions and iron high reagent HI 93721-01 to determine the concentration of  $Fe^{2+}$ .

COD data were also used to calculate the current efficiency at a given electrolysis time in the batch operation mode at constant current, as follows:

Current efficiency (%) = 
$$\frac{(\Delta COD)FV_s}{8 I t} \times 100$$
 (9)

where  $\Delta COD$  is the decay in COD (g dm<sup>-3</sup>) at time t (s), V<sub>s</sub> is the solution volume (dm<sup>3</sup>), F is the Faraday constant (96,487 C mol<sup>-1</sup>), I is the applied current (A) and the constant 8 is the oxygen equivalent mass (g equiv<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization of slaughterhouse wastewater

Different analyses were performed to determine the characteristics and composition of slaughterhouse wastewater. The results are shown in Table 1. The slaughterhouse wastewater presented a strong, dark red coloration, consistent with the high absorbance value observed for the initial sample. The spectrum displays a band at 418 nm (Figure 1) that might correspond to different compounds that absorb in this region of wavelengths. Hemoglobin, which absorbs at 410 nm and at a pH close to 8.0, shows a bathochromic effect towards 418 nm, was one of the compounds found in the wastewater [48]. The slaughterhouse wastewater also presented a strong odor of "fecal decomposition", which may be due to the presence of compounds present in the digestive tracts of animals, such as proteins, fats, carbohydrates and other nutrients. All of these compounds undergo microbial decomposition under aerobic conditions. The main group of compounds responsible for the odors in wastewater from livestock includes ammonia, sulfur compounds, amines, volatile fatty acids, scatoles, indoles, phenols, mercaptans, alcohols and carbonyls [49].

The wastewater was found to have a pH of 7.8. As mentioned in section 2.2, the wastewater used in this work was obtained from the slaughterhouse treatment plant after the step of chemical coagulation and prior to its aerobic biological treatment. This pH is optimum for the formation of the coagulant  $Fe(OH)_3$ , according to reaction (10). During the water treatment, specifically in the coagulation step,  $FeCl_3$  and NaOH are added for the production of  $Fe(OH)_3$  as the main coagulant, resulting in the removal of a large amount of organics [50 – 52].

 $FeCl_3 (ac) + NaOH (ac) \rightarrow Fe(OH)_3 (s) + NaCl (ac)$ (10)

The presence of organic matter was quantified by the COD (1494 mg  $L^{-1}$ ), BOD<sub>5</sub> (658 mg  $L^{-1}$ ), TOC (513 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) and TID (21 mg  $L^{-1}$ ). These values indicate that the wastewater has a moderate concentration of organic and inorganic matter in solution.

Additionally, volatile suspended solids (VSS), which are organic compounds that volatilize at temperatures above 550 °C, and total suspended solids (TSS), representing the sum of the organic and inorganic suspended solids that are found in solution at 105 °C, were measured. All of these tests were carried out to quantify the amount of solid organic and inorganic matter in suspension. The obtained concentrations of VSS and TSS were 395 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 19717 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. According to these results, the solids contribute a higher concentration of contaminants to water (both organic and/or inorganic compounds) compared to the amount of contaminants in solution. In the same way, the wastewater was found to have a turbidity of 89 NTU (Table 1). This value is consistent with the concentration of suspended solids.

The total chlorine and  $Fe^{2+}$  were quantified and these results are shown in Table 1. The total chlorine includes all chlorine species, but can be mainly attributed to the presence of chloride ions from the coagulation step.

One of the main components of the slaughterhouse wastewater is nitrogen in various forms. The solution showed dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) of 95 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The main compounds that could contribute to these DON concentrations are urea, proteins, amino acids and nucleic acids, while the content of inorganic nitrogen is quite variable. Finally, a higher concentration of ammonium ions  $(NH_4^+)$  was found compared to nitrate anions, in agreement with E. Marañón (1998) [53], as shown in Table 1. The presence of these and other inorganics contributes directly to the conductivity of the wastewater.

#### 3.2. Anaerobic Biological Treatment

The wastewater was first treated by the anaerobic biological process. The decay of organic matter

was followed by tracking the COD. Figure 2 shows the change of COD during the assay. The slaughterhouse solution had an initial COD of 1494 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. During the first six days, the COD increased to 1980 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, which could be attributed to processes of hydrolysis or liquefaction that occur in the first step of anaerobic digestion [54]. Hydrolysis is the conversion of polymers to their respective monomers, which contribute to an increase in soluble COD. This also explains the increase in the concentration of ammonia (Table 1). Between days 6 and 15, the decrease of COD is more pronounced, which is typical in anaerobic treatment [54]. After the 15<sup>th</sup> day, the COD decay was much slower because the amount of biodegradable COD was significantly lower and therefore, the rate of biodegradation decreased [54]. The COD after 30 days of the anaerobic process was 137 mg/L, which is still higher than the value allowed by Chilean environmental legislation. Further, several non-biodegradable compounds were not removed by the biological process. Therefore, to reuse the wastewater, it is necessary to reduce the concentration of bio-resistant pollutants.

Figure 3 shows the accumulated methane production over 30 days of anaerobic treatment. The continuous production of methane indicates that the methanogenic archaea degraded organic matter during the process. The production of CH<sub>4</sub> reached a value of 90 mL by the  $22^{nd}$  day, after which there was no methane generation. This is consistent with the results shown in Figure 2, which show no decay of COD after the  $22^{nd}$  day. The methane production is low compared to previously reported values. Jensen et al. (2014) [56] reported a high amount of obtained biogas (between 776.5 m<sup>3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> - 12739 m<sup>3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), which depends on the composition and nature of the waste used, the carbon/nitrogen ratio of 30:1 being optimal for further degradation and methane generation. According to the authors, carbon and nitrogen are the main food sources of methanogenic archaea; carbon constitutes the energy source and nitrogen is required for the formation of new cells. Thus, the growth of methanobacteria could be severely inhibited by a lack of nutrients if the nutrients are not present in the optimal ratio. Other authors, such as Ortnet et al. (2014) [57] have reported that the methane yield (up to 310 Nm<sup>3</sup>/t COD) is influenced by the amount of ammonia, temperature

and trace elements. However, the results obtained in this work can be explained because the wastewater used was the effluent of a primary step, and most of the particulate volatile solids had been removed. Therefore, the organic matter present in wastewater was mainly soluble organic matter and its concentration was low in comparison with the values reported in other studies.

The color, odor and turbidity of the wastewater were also reduced during anaerobic digestion. The post-biological water has a pale yellow color, is odorless and shows low turbidity. Better removal of organics (TOC, COD, BOD<sub>5</sub>), TSS and VSS was observed by applying anaerobic digestion to the final industry effluent (Table 1). Finally, an increase in the concentration of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  was observed, significantly increasing the conductivity.

#### 3.3. Electrochemical Treatments

Photolysis, electro-oxidation in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (EO-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), EF and SPEF treatments were applied to the degradation of slaughterhouse wastewater after the anaerobic process described above. In the abovementioned electrochemical processes,  $^{\circ}$ OH is formed at the anode surface and/or in the bulk, and this reacts with organics, leading to their mineralization to form CO<sub>2</sub>, water and inorganic ions. The combination of EF processes and electro-oxidation using a BDD anode increases the amount of oxidant  $^{\circ}$ OH produced from reactions (2), (4) and (6), thus increasing the rate of mineralization of organic pollutants present in the aqueous medium. For each experiment, a 0.05 M sodium sulfate was added to the solution, and the pH was adjusted to 3.0. Additionally, an initial concentration of 1.0 mM of Fe<sup>2+</sup> was added for the EF and SPEF experiments. Two current densities (j) were applied: 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. The results are shown in Figure 4.

1.0 mM Fe<sup>2+</sup> was added to the solutions from the post-anaerobic biological treatment in 0.05 M  $Na_2SO_4$  at pH 3.0, and the resulting solutions were directly exposed to sunlight. When the solution was exposed to direct radiation, a slight decline in the absorbance (Figure 4A) and a poor COD decay was observed after 50 min (Figure 4B). When electrochemical methods were, complete

decolorization was achieved before 50 min of electrolysis. On the other hand, if the experiment was performed without a catalyst (EO-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), the COD decay reached 67% after 180 min under an applied current density of 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, due to the action of hydroxyl radicals generated on the BDD surface and the oxidative capacity of the electro-generated hydrogen peroxide. Through EF, a COD abatement of 80% occurred under an applied current density of 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> because in EF, hydroxyl radicals are formed at the BDD anode and in the bulk of the solution. At a current density of 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, no difference in the COD decay was observed, but this method caused progressive consumption of electric charge, decreasing the current efficiency (CE) values from 17% at 30 mA  $cm^{-2}$  to 9% using 50 mA  $cm^{-2}$ , which is close to the CE values obtained for EO-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Figure 5). It is known that a series of parasite reactions occurs in Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> media, increasing the electric charge consumption, q, with loss in CE at higher current densities. In other words, the occurrence of nonorganic oxidizing reactions involving hydroxyl radicals yields a relatively lower amount of organic oxidation events [29]. When the solution is treated by SPEF at 30 and 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, practically complete mineralization (~90–95 %) is achieved. This is reflected in the greater efficiency of the solar process, compared to EF and EO- $H_2O_2$  (Figure 5). For the same applied current at the end of the duration of electrolysis, the electro-Fenton process increases the efficiency of mineralization 1.6 times compared to the electro-oxidation process. On the other hand, the SPEF process increases the efficiency 1.3 times compared to EF and 2.1 times compared to EO. Thus, the SPEF process shows the synergistic use of (i) ultra violet radiation coming directly from the sun which allows photoreduction and decarboxylation of  $Fe^{3+}$  complexes, (ii) the presence of catalyst, and (iii) a non-active electrode such as BDD.

Another important result is related to the concentrations of nitrate and ammonium ions. The mineralization of organic compounds includes the loss of their nitrogen in the form of inorganic ions such as  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  ions. The formation of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  ions may be due to mineralization of urea, proteins, amino acids and nucleic acids present in the slaughterhouse

wastewater. The increase of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  ions after biological and electrochemical treatments suggests the inability of both processes to degrade dissolved organic N-compounds until they are transformed to ions.

#### 3.4. Evaluation of the combined Anaerobic Digestion/Solar photoelectro-Fenton process

It was possible to remove > 90% of COD through anaerobic treatment after 22 days of incubation, based on the steady state observed in degradation and in methane production during the process over 30 days, as shown in Figure 2. The CH<sub>4</sub> production was close to 90 mL. Further, the final water showed a very low turbidity, a decrease of 65% in total solids and the complete removal of volatile suspended solids (Table 1). Immediately following the anaerobic biological treatment, the water was treated by the SPEF process. The results of both processes are shown in Figure 6. The same pattern was observed in the decay of COD shown in Figure 6, with a decay of 83%. Before this process, the water presented a strong red color while after incubation for 20 days, it acquired a pale yellow color. The resulting water (post-anaerobic digestion) was treated by the SPEF process under an applied current density of 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, to obtain colorless water with an organic matter content of 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, equivalent to 99% COD removal after 3 h of electrolysis (Figure 6). Additionally, complete reduction of the turbidity of the solution and solids present was also achieved (Table 1). Thus, the combined treatment increased the COD removal. This high reduction in COD is explained by the degradation of compounds of high molecular mass by methanogenic bacteria to short-chain (monomers), which are easier to oxidize by hydroxyl radicals during the SPEF process.

#### 4. Conclusions

Slaughterhouse wastewater was treated by anaerobic biological oxidation, electrochemical advanced oxidation processes and the combination of both treatments. The biological oxidation treatment resulted in high COD removals >90%, indicating that the water has high biodegradability. Further, an accumulation of 90 mL of  $CH_4$  was observed over 30 days of the reaction.

The relative oxidative capacity of EAOPs increased in the order EO-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> < EF < SPEF, with COD removals of 63%, 79% and > 95%, respectively, after 180 min of reaction. In the SPEF process, hydroxyl radicals are generated in the bulk, and additional <sup>•</sup>OH-production is induced by solar radiation. In addition, the direct photolysis of complexes between Fe<sup>3+</sup> and some organic intermediates led to the fastest reaction rates.

Finally, the combination of both processes produced a totally clarified, odorless effluent, without solids in suspension and with a COD removal of 97%, compared to the separate processes producing an accumulation of a specific  $CH_4$  volume of 90 mL.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the financial support of FONDECYT Grant 1130391, DICYT-USACH and FONDAP CONICYT SERC Chile 15110019 for this work. J. Vidal thanks CONICYT for the National PhD scholarship 60654.

#### **Figure Captions.**

Figure 1. UV-Vis spectra of the initial and final samples of industrial wastewater from the slaughterhouse.

Figure 2. Decay of COD during anaerobic bio-digestion of 400 mL of cattle slurry in water for 30 days at 35 °C.

Figure 3. Specific volume of methane, normalized and expressed as dry gas per total COD over 30 days of anaerobic digestion at 35 °C.

Figure 4. (A) Absorbance and (B) COD decay of slaughterhouse wastewater after the anaerobic process. Experimental conditions: 0.05 mol L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, pH = 3.0, T = 35 °C, [Fe <sup>2+</sup>] = 1.0 mM. ( $\blacktriangleright$ ) Photolysis, ( $\blacktriangleleft$ ) EO-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> j = 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, ( $\blacktriangledown$ ) EF j = 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, ( $\blacktriangle$ ) EF j = 50 mA cm<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\bullet$ ) SPEF j = 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, ( $\blacksquare$ ) SPEF j = 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

Figure 5. Effect of current efficiency on the degradation of slaughterhouse wastewater vs. the electrolysis time for the treatment to 0.1 L of solution. Experimental conditions: 0.05 mol L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, pH = 3.0, T = 35 °C, [Fe<sup>2+</sup>] = 1.0 mM. ( $\blacktriangleleft$ ) EO-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> j = 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, ( $\blacktriangledown$ ) EF j = 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, ( $\bigstar$ ) EF j = 50 mA cm<sup>-1</sup>, ( $\bullet$ ) SPEF j = 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, ( $\blacksquare$ ) SPEF j = 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

Figure 6. COD decay under a combination of anaerobic digestion and solar photoelectron-Fenton treatments, with 30 days of anaerobic digestion followed by 180 min of treatment through the SPEF process. SPEF experimental conditions: 0.05 mol L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, pH = 3.0, T = 35 °C, j = 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>  $[Fe^{2+}] = 1.0$  mM. The inset shows an enlargement of the SPEF treatment.

Table 1. Characterization of slaughterhouse wastewater obtained in this study.

#### References

- F. Tapia, J. M. Peralta (2006). Evaluation of Alternatives Swine Manure Processing. ASPROCER.
- [2].POCH Environmental (2006). Cadastre Manure Treatment Technologies in Chile Economic and Technical Evaluation of a case of Swine Producer. ASPROCER.
- [3].Emission standard for the regulation of pollutants associated with the discharge of liquid waste into surface marine and inland waters (OJ 07.03.2001) EXECUTIVE Ministry General Secretariat of the Presidency, Chile.
- [4].X. Zhu, J. Ni, P. Lai, Advanced treatment of biologically pretreated coking wastewater by electrochemical oxidation using boron-doped diamond electrodes. Water Research 43 (2009) 4347 – 4355.
- [5].S. Wang, X. Chen, K. Wang, Pilot study on the advanced treatment of landfill leachate using a combined coagulation, fenton oxidation and biological aerated filter process. Waste Management 29 (2009) 1354 – 1358.
- [6].J.M. Fontmorin, F. Fourcade, F. Geneste, D. Floner, S. Huguet, A. Amrane, Combined process for 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid treatment-Coupling of an electrochemical system with a biological treatment. Biochemical Engineering Journal 70 (2013) 17 – 22.

- [7].M. Díaz-Baéz, S. Espitia y F. Molina. (2002) Digestión anaerobia una aproximación a la tecnología. Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Instituto de Biotecnología. Unibiblos, Bogotá, Colombia.
- [8].M. Tabatabaei, R.A. Rahim, N. Abdullah, André-Denis G. Wright, Y. Shirai, K. Sakai, A. Sulaiman, M.A. Hassan, Importance of the methanogenic archaea populations in anaerobic wastewater treatments. Process Biochemistry 45 (2010) 1214 1225.
- [9].B. Demirel, O. Yenigun, T.T. Onay, Anaerobic treatment of dairy wastewaters: a review.Process Biochemistry 40 (2005) 2583 2595.
- [10]. L. Bertin, S. Berselli, F. Fava, M. Petrangeli-Papini, L. Marchetti, Anaerobic digestion of olive mill wastewaters in biofilm reactors packed with granular activated carbon and "Manville" silica beads. Water Research 38 (2004), pp. 3167 – 3178.
- [11]. M.A. El-Sheikh, H.I. Saleh, J.R. Flora, M.R. Abdel-Ghany, Biological tannery wastewater treatment using two stage UASB reactors. Desalination 276 (2011) 253 – 259.
- [12]. M. Meraz, O. Monroy, A. Noyola, K. Ilangovan, Studies on the dynamics of inmobilization of anaerobic bacteria on plastic support. Water. Science and. Technology 32 (1995) 243 – 245.
- [13]. D.S. Ikumi, T.H. Harding, G.A. Ekama, Biodegradability of wastewater and activated sludge organics in anaerobic digestión. Water research 56 (2014) 267 – 279.

- [14]. F.M. Amaral, M.T. Kato, L. Florêncio, S. Gavazza, Color, organic matter and sulfate removal from textile effluents by anaerobic and aerobic processes. Bioresource Technology 163 (2014) 364 – 369.
- [15]. A. Chiavola, G. Farabegoli, F. Antonetti, Biological treatment of olive mill wastewater in a sequencing batch reactor. Biochemical Engineering Journal 85 (2014) 71 – 78.
- [16]. M.S. Lucas, J.A. Peres, G. Li Puma, Treatment of winery wastewater by ozone-based advanced oxidation processes (O<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>/UV and O<sub>3</sub>/UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) in a pilot-scale bubble column reactor and process economics. Separation and Purification Technology 72(3) (2010) 235 – 241.
- [17]. J.R. Domínguez, J. Beltrán, O. Rodríguez, Vis and UV photocatalytic detoxification methods (using TiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>/S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup>, O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sup>3+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) for dyes treatment Catalysis Today 101 (2005) 389 395.
- [18]. R. Mosteo, P. Ormad, E. Mozas, J. Sarasa, J.L. Ovelleiro, Factorial experimental design of winery wastewaters treatment by heterogeneous photo-Fenton process. Water Research 40(8) (2006) 1561 – 1568.
- [19]. Tania. F.C.V. Silva, A. Fonseca, I. Saraiva, Rui.A.R. Boaventura, Vítor.J.P. Vilar, Scale-up and cost analysis of a photo-Fenton system for sanitary landfill leachate treatment. Chemical Engineering Journal 283 (2016) 76 – 88.

- [20]. Tania. F.C.V. Silva, R. Ferreira, Petrick.A. Soares, Diego. R. Manenti, A. Fonseca, I. Saraiva, Rui. A.R. Boaventura, Vítor.J.P. Vilar, Insights into solar photo-Fenton reaction parameters in the oxidation of a sanitary landfill leachate at lab-scale. Journal of Environmental Management 164 (2015) 32 – 40.
- [21]. M.G. Alalm, A. Tawfik, S. Ookawara, Degradation of four pharmaceuticals by solar photo-Fenton process: Kinetics and costs estimation. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 3 (2015) 46 – 51.
- [22]. M.I. Polo-López, M. Castro-Alférez, I. Oller, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, Assessment of solar photo-Fenton, photocatalysis, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for removal of phytopathogen fungi spores in synthetic and real effluents of urban wastewater. Chemical Engineering Journal 257 (2014) 122 – 130.
- [23]. S. Malato, J. Blanco, M. I. Maldonado, I. Oller, W. Gernjak, L. Pérez-Estrada, Coupling solar photo-Fenton and biotreatment at industrial scale: Main results of a demonstration plant. Journal of Hazardous Materials 146 (2007) 440 – 446.
- [24]. T. Mandal, S. Maity, D. Dasgupta, S. Datta, Advanced oxidation process and biotreatment: Their roles in combined industrial wastewater treatment. Desalination 250 (2010) 87 – 94.
- [25]. I. Oller, S. Malato, J.A. Sánchez-Pérez, Combination of Advanced Oxidation Processes and biological treatments for wastewater decontamination-A review. Science of the Total Environment 409 (2011) 4141 – 4166.

- [26]. A. Dhaouadi, L. Monser, N. Adhoum, Anodic oxidation and electro-Fenton treatment of rotenone, Electrochimica Acta 54 (2009) 4473 – 4480.
- [27]. A. Urtiaga, P. Fernandez-Castro, P. Gómez, I. Ortiz, Remediation of wastewaters containing tetrahydrofuran. Study of the electrochemical mineralization on BDD electrodes Chemical Engineering Journal 239 (2014) 341–350.
- [28]. R. Salazar, S. García-Segura, M.S. Ureta-Zañartu, E. Brillas, Degradation of disperse azo dyes from waters by solar photoelectron-Fenton, Electrochimica acta 56 (2011) 6371 – 6379.
- [29]. J. Urzúa, C. González-Vargas, F. Sepúlveda, M.S. Ureta-Zañartu, R. Salazar, Degradation of conazole fungicides in water by electrochemical oxidation, Chemosphere 93 (2013) 2774 – 2781.
- [30]. C. Gonzalez-Vargas, R. Salazar, I. Sires, Electrochemical treatment of Acid Red 1 by Electro-Fenton and photoelectron-Fenton processes. J. Electrochem. Sci. Eng. (2015) DOI: 10.5599/jese.2014.0058.
- [31]. I. Sirés, E. Brillas, M.A. Oturan, M.A. Rodrigo, M. Panizza, Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes: today and tomorrow. A review. Envirom. Sci. Pollut. Res. 21 (2014) 8336 – 8367.
- [32]. A. Serra, X. Dómenech, C. Arias, E. Brillas, J. Peral, Oxidation of α-methilpheylglycine under Fenton and electro-Fenton conditions in the dark and in the presence of solar light. Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 89 (2009) 12 – 31.

- [33]. E.J. Ruiz, C. Arias, E. Brillas, A. Hernández-Ramírez, J.M. Peralta-Hernández, Mineralization of Acid Yellow 36 azo dye by electro-Fenton and solar photoelectro-Fenton processes with a boron-doped diamond anode. Chemosphere 82 (2011) 495 – 501.
- [34]. A. Babuponnusami, K. Muthukumar, Advanced oxidation of phenol: A comparison between Fenton, electro-Fenton, sono-electro-Fenton and photo-electro-Fenton processes. Chemical Engineering Journal 183 (2012) 1 – 9.
- [35]. I. Sirés, N. Oturan, M.A. Oturan, R.M. Rodriguez, J.A. Garrido, E. Brillas, Electro-Fenton degradation of antimicrobials triclosan and triclocarban. Electrochim. Acta 52 (2007) 5493 – 5503.
- [36]. E. Brillas, C. A. Martínez-Huitle, Decontamination of wastewaters containing synthetic organic dyes by electrochemical methods. An updated review, Appl. Catal. B. 166-167 (2015) 603 – 643.
- [37]. S. Garcia, R. Salazar, E. Brillas, Mineralization of phthalic acid by solar photoelectro-Fenton with as tirred boron-doped diamond/air-diffusion tank reactor: Influence of  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  catalysts and identification of oxidation products, Electrochimica Acta 113 (2013) 609 619.
- [38]. S.M Robaa, A study of ultraviolet solar radiation at Cairo urban area, Egypt, Solar Energy 77 (2004) 251-259.
- [39]. C. Espinoza, J. Romero, L. Villegas, L. Cornejo-Ponce, R. Salazar, Mineralization of the textile dye acid yellow 42 by solar photoelectro-Fenton in a lab-pilot plant. Journal of Hazardous Materials, doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.03.003.

- [40]. C.A. Martínez-Huitle, E. Brillas, Decontamination of wastewaters containing synthetic organic dyes by electrochemical methods: A general review, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 87 (2009) 105 – 145.
- [41]. R. Salazar, E. Brillas, I. Sirés, Finding the best Fe<sup>2+</sup>/Cu<sup>2+</sup> combination for the solar photoelectro-Fenton treatment of simulated wastewater containing the industrial textile dye Disperse Blue 3, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 115 – 116 (2012) 107 – 116.
- [42]. C. Huiliñir, A. Quintriqueo, C. Antileo, S. Montalvo, Methane production from secondary paper and pulp sludge: Effect of natural zeolite and modeling. Chemical Engineering Journal 257 (2014) 131 – 137.
- [43]. C. A. Martínez-Huitle, M. A. Rodrigo, I. Sires, O. Scialdone, Single and Coupled Electrochemical Processes and Reactors for the Abatement of Organic Water Pollutants: A Critical Review. Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 13362 – 13407.
- [44]. Carlos A. Martínez-Huitle, M. A. Quiroz, C. Comninellis, S. Ferro, A. De Battisti, Electrochemical incineration of chloranilic acid using Ti/IrO<sub>2</sub>, Pb/PbO<sub>2</sub> and Si/BDD electrodes. Electrochimica Acta 50 (2004) 949 – 956.
- [45]. M. Panizza, Carlos A. Martinez-Huitle, Role of electrode materials for the anodic oxidation of a real landfill leachate – Comparison between Ti–Ru–Sn ternary oxide, PbO<sub>2</sub> and boron-doped diamond anode. Chemosphere 90 (2013) 1455 – 1460.

- [46]. A. Thiam, I. Sirés, F. Centellas, P.L. Cabot, E. Brillas, Decolorization and mineralization of Allura Red AC azo dye by solar photoelectro-Fenton: Identification of intermediates, Chemosphere 136 (2015) 1 – 8.
- [47]. L.S. Clesceri, A.E. Greenberg, A.D. Eaton (2005). Standard Methods for Examination of Water & Wastewater, twenty-first ed. American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA) & Water Environment Federation (WEF).
- [48]. K. Wolff, (2003), Fitzpatrick Dermatología en Medicina General, España: Editorial Médica Panamericana.
- [49]. Generalitat Valenciana, (2008), Guía técnica para la gestión de las emisiones odoríferas generadas por las explotaciones ganaderas intensivas, Valencia, España.
- [50]. J. Vidal, L. Villegas, Juan M. Peralta-Hernandez, R. Salazar, Removal of Acid Black 194 dye from water by electrocoagulation with aluminum anode. Journal of Environmental Engineering 51 (2016) 289 – 296.
- [51]. Y. Li, Garret D. Bland, W. Yan, Enhanced arsenite removal through surface-catalyzed oxidative coagulation treatment. Chemosphere 150 (2016) 650 – 658.
- [52]. James S. Rosenblum, Kurban A. Sitterley, E. Michael Thurman, Imma Ferrer, Karl G. Linden, Hydraulic fracturing wastewater treatment by coagulation-adsorption for removal of organic compounds and turbidity. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 4 (2016) 1978 1984.

- [53]. E. Marañón, (1998), Generación de residuos de ganadería vacuna (purines) en Asturias: problemática y tratamiento, Oviedo, España: Universidad de Oviedo.
- [54]. S. Montalvo, L. Guerrero (2003). Tratamiento anaerobio de Residuos, Valparaíso, Chile: Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María.
- [55]. S.S. Rahayu, V.S.A. Budiarti, E. dan Supriyanto, Wastewater Processing Engineering Tofu and Tempe in efforts to get the Rural Energy Sources. Jurnal Teknis, 7 (3) (2012) 129 – 139.
- [56]. P.D. Jensen, T. Sullivan, C. Carney, D.J. Batstone, Analysis of the potential to recover energy and nutrient resources from cattle slaughterhouses in Australia by employing anaerobic digestión. Applied Energy 136 (2014) 23 – 31.
- [57]. M. Ortner, K. Leitzinger, S. Skupien, G. Bochmann, W. Fuchs, Efficient anaerobic monodigestion of N-rich slaughterhouse waste: Influence of ammonia, temperature and trace elements. Bioresource Technology 174 (2014) 222 – 232.



Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5



Figure 6

Parameters	Slaughterhouse wastewater			
	Initial Sample	Final industry effluent	After anaerobic biological oxidation	After SPEF
Color	Dark red	Light yellow	Light yellow	Uncolored
Odor	Intense	without smell	without smell	without smell
рН	7.8	7.6	8.3	3.4
Conductivity (mS)	2.2	2.1	5.4	12.3
Turbidity (NTU)	89	22	15	0.35
Total Carbon Organic (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	513	132	52	4
<b>Dissolved Inorganic</b> Carbon (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	21	10	8	2
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	1494	480	137	10
5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	658	267	183	6
Absorbance at 418 nm (U.A)	1.91	0.30	0.25	0.0018
Total Suspended Solids (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	19717	12725	890	n.d
Volatile Suspended Solids (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	395	165	14	n.d
Dissolved Organic Nitrogen (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	95	79	58	36
Ammonium $NH_4^+$ (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	181	142	430	435
Nitrate $NO_3 (mg L^{-1})$	50	125	251	125
Dissolved Fe <sup>2+</sup> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.12	0.14	0.15	3.7
Total Chlorine (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.27	0.30	0.29	0.15

Table 1. Characterization of slaughterhouse wastewater obtained in this study.