



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Technological Forecasting & Social Change



Simulating healthcare quality innovation based on a novel medical treatment: The case of Hepatitis-C in Europe

Martin Zsifkovits^{a,*}, Johannes Zsifkovits^b, Stefan W. Pickl^a

^a Universität der Bundeswehr München, Department for Operations Research, Werner-Heisenberg-Weg 39, 85577 Neubiberg, Germany

^b GesundheitÖsterreich GmbH, Stubenring 6, 1010 Vienna, Austria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 November 2015

Received in revised form 5 July 2016

Accepted 7 July 2016

Available online xxxxx

Keywords:

Disease modelling

Microsimulation

Hepatitis C

Novel medication

Health-care quality innovation

Strategic healthcare management

ABSTRACT

In 2014, a novel medication for treating Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections caused severe difficulties for European decision makers in the public medical sector. Even though new drugs cure HCV in nearly all cases, related costs in the short run are extremely high. Thus, the estimation of overall costs for the national healthcare systems was of great importance for profound far-reaching decisions on policies regarding the medication and their reimbursement. As this budget estimation is extremely difficult due to the complexity of the virus spread and the existence of further discomforts that lead to additional costs, a new microsimulation model was developed that considers the problem from an individual's perspective and finally aggregates numbers on the macro level. While developing the model, general insights into the cost burden due to the new medication for the next 3 years were generated. Using the introduced model, a decision maker is able to test for impact of one financial unit in several policies in order to maximize the overall benefit for the healthcare system. As initial results imply the need to change current reimbursement strategies in Europe, further research demand is discussed at the end of this article.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) is not only a worldwide major healthcare issue. In the past year, HCV became the number one topic in healthcare management and health politics. A radical pharmaceutical innovation reanimated the market for HCV medication which promises that >95% of HCV-infected people become virus-free in one treatment period, whereas conventional treatment could only heal about 40–50% of infected individuals (McHutchison et al., 2009; Strader et al., 2004). This might lead to an overall change in the system, including long-term treatment of infected patients, the high need of liver transplantations, or long-term medication demands. Therefore, this innovation can be considered as an overall healthcare quality innovation.

Worldwide it is estimated that >185 million people are infected with HCV. The number of infected individuals in Europe is about 10 million. Globally the number of infections is constantly increasing and showed a rise in prevalence and the number of infected people from 1995 to 2005 from 2,3% to 2,8%. Central and East Asia, North Africa and the Middle East are estimated to be countries with a high HCV prevalence, whereas Asia Pacific, Tropical Latin America, and North America have the lowest prevalence rates (Mohd Hanafiah et al., 2013). Although

the total number of HCV infections is constant or decreasing in many countries, the burden of the disease is expected to increase (Davis et al., 2010; Deuffic-Burban et al., 2012; Razavi et al., 2013). This is justified by the fact that fewer people get infected although the number of complications which become manifest in a late state of illness increases (Razavi et al., 2014).

HCV is caused by infection with the Hepatitis C virus which infects liver cells. About 40% of infected individuals recover from the virus, about 60% become chronic. As a consequence of the disease patients often suffer from a cirrhosis or liver cancer. The disease is classified into 11 genotypes, which have an effect on treatment and chances for healing. Due to their occurrence in Europe and America studies report their findings and information about treatment for genotypes 1–6 (Bruggmann et al., 2014). In Europe the virus is almost exclusively spread by infected needles in the drug scene by receiving infected blood (Hsu et al., 1994; Lemon and Brown, 1995).

Factors, such as being HIV positive, previous therapy with former HCV medication, fibrosis or cirrhosis and the HCV-genotype have an influence on the success of these new forms of medication (Manns et al., 2014). Like every radical innovation, the new medication brings change to the market. Pharmaceutical companies offering new treatment options for HCV with high success rates act as monopolists and set prices. Therefore the costs of an average HCV therapy in Central Europe rose in 2014 from about 15,000 with standard therapy to 100,000 Euro with new therapy options (Ostermann et al., 2015).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: martin.zsifkovits@unibw.de (M. Zsifkovits), johannes.zsifkovits@goeg.at (J. Zsifkovits), stefan.pickl@unibw.de (S.W. Pickl).

1.1. Background

As the disease extends a long time-horizon, the costs and the economic burden of HCV are high. Infected individuals for instance have a 20% chance of developing cirrhosis in the first 20 years after infection, 20% of those with cirrhosis develop liver cancer (European Association for the Study of the Liver, 1999). The combination of treatment (diagnosis, medication, treatment) and long-term consequence costs (cirrhosis, liver cancer and liver transplantation) explains the high economic burden of HCV (Blachier et al., 2013).

The direct costs for HCV treatment can be divided into diagnosis, medication and other healthcare services. Medication for HCV is responsible for the largest proportion of direct costs with an amount of approximately 7500–21,000 Euros in median lifetime costs or 15,000 Euros per treatment cycle of 24–48 weeks (Ostermann et al., 2015) in Europe for traditional treatment (Blachier et al., 2013; Ostermann et al., 2015). New medication for HCV has the potential to increase these costs to about 55,000–210,000 Euros per treatment cycle. Costs for diagnosis and other healthcare services such as the consultation of a physician or laboratory services are estimated in Austria to be approximately 1000 Euros per year (Jonas et al., 2004).

Direct costs are also caused by complications due to HCV such as cirrhosis, liver cancer or liver transplantation. As patients that undergo traditional treatment have a chance of only 40–50% of becoming virus-free and an unknown number of infected individuals live undetected

(Lang and Weiner, 2008; McHutchison et al., 2009), these costs make up a significant amount of the total burden of HCV.

Indirect costs of the disease are costs that do not affect the healthcare system, but other factors of the economy due to a loss of productivity (Fröschl et al., 2012). A US study on indirect costs of HCV estimates the cost of sick days and lower productivity per HCV infected employee to amount to about 8400 USD per year in 2010 (Unit Economist Intelligence, 2013).

As patients claim access to new medication and payers are responsible for balancing budgets, healthcare systems require decision support. As a first reaction to new HCV medication, many decision makers in Europe decided to reimburse innovative HCV drugs only for patients with severe health conditions. At first glance this may make sense, but if one takes into account reinfections and healing rates, it would be possible to invest this money more effectively. The background of this model was the healthcare decision makers' question how the budget burden develops under different treatment scenarios. As demand for new medications grows, the uncertainty and degree of innovation is high, decision makers insisted on a decision support tool within a short time to deliver information and/or treatment for infected patients. The main focus was thereby on the developments in the healthcare system based on this innovation in the coming 3 years.

The paper at hand presents this decision support tool and gives insights into some results and discussions of further research that should be conducted in the field in order to improve the model, its validity, and its explanatory power. The paper proceeds as follows: In Section 2 we present a brief literature review that considers approaches and models that allow for adequately modelling the spread and treatment of HCV. Section 3 presents our microsimulation model developed for the European area and parameterized for the Austrian healthcare system. In Section 4 we present some selected scenarios that were conducted and present results of the study. We conclude with some discussions of the results and the need for further research in Section 5.

2. Existing models

For getting in-depth insights into existing approaches to model the spread and treatment of Hepatitis C and comparable infections, an extensive literature review on the topic was conducted. We thereby identified three main streams in mathematical models of HCV: i) predicting

effects of treatment, ii) predicting transmission among people who inject drugs, and iii) analysing economic effects of HCV treatment.

The effects of antiviral therapies were deeply analysed and evaluated using different mathematical models that also yielded many insights into the pathogenesis. Perelson and Guedj recently published a review on prediction models that analyse the different treatment effects on HCV therapy (Perelson and Guedj, 2015). In doing so, one of the major fields of interest is the question regarding the minimal duration of treatment for the novel therapy. Numerous models have their origin in HIV research, based on simple heuristic arguments. This basic idea was adapted in order to predict decreases of viral loads of HCV after treatment in a biphasic model. In the first phase it proved dose-dependent effectiveness in blocking viral production, while the next phase shows a decrease due to dying and not efficiently replaced cells. However, this rather simple approach was extended to more sophisticated models in order to analyse the complex processes of liver regeneration, drug pharmacokinetics including empirical models, and the effect of ribavirin. For analysing viral kinetics with direct-acting antiviral agents, the blocking of viral assembly and secretion combined with replication, as well as curing infected cells and drug-resistance were modelled. A recent disease progression model concludes that the traditional treatment rate and efficiency is not sufficient to overcome the burden of HCV and claims the need for a novel therapy (Razavi et al., 2014), such as the one being discussed in this article.

In high-income countries, the main population at risk of being infected with HCV is people who inject drugs. Their seroprevalence ranges from 15 to 90% (Vickerman et al., 2010). Therefore, a recent literature review deals only with models capturing this problem of virus transmission (Cousien et al., 2015). In this review, 37 models were analysed with the main objectives of illustrating the transmission of HCV and providing analytical results; evaluating the impact of harm reduction policies; comparing epidemic dynamics of HCV and HIV; evaluating the impact of treatment of HCV infection on transmission and cost; and evaluating the impact of vaccination strategies. From a methodological perspective, macro-view compartmental models were the most frequently used approach (31 out of 37, see (Cousien et al., 2015) for further details), dividing the overall population into individual compartments according to the state in the infection process. The major benefit of these models is the low computation time, which can be explained with the method's main shortcoming: the assumption of a homogenous and totally mixed population. This shortcoming is overcome in the presented individual-based models that differentiate within the population according to characteristics of individuals or even take into account the individuals' social networks. The reproduction of characteristics from real networks was addressed in intersection graph models, household graph models, and stochastic block models.

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness were addressed in several studies as a minor part of the analysis. However, there are also publications that focus on this aspect. One of these models is a Markov model that focuses on the prioritization of treatments for groups of patients in Egypt. The main result is that immediate treatment of early HCV stages is less expensive and more effective than delaying therapies (Obach et al., 2014). The economic evaluation is thus bound to lifetime costs, life expectancy, quality-adjusted life expectancy, and incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (Obach et al., 2014). A Hepatitis C policy model for Germany was developed based on a Markov cohort simulation. The cost-effectiveness of four different therapy options was compared over a 20-year horizon (Wasem et al., 2006). Another mathematical model considered infections via contaminated tattoo equipment and analysed the cost-effectiveness and optimal timing of intervention policies (Behrens et al., 2008).

In addition to the individual-based models mentioned, several recent papers consider microsimulation and agent-based simulation as being a suitable approach for modelling healthcare questions such as epidemics (Crooks and Hailegiorgis, 2014; Jaffry and Treur, 2008), cancer strategies (An and Kulkarni, 2015), cost-effectiveness in various

options, and costs based on sectors for the Austrian healthcare market were conducted by the GÖG (Gesundheit Österreich GmbH). Bruggmann et al., 2014 assume 30,000 infected persons in Austria, whereby 1100 of them are currently in medical antiviral treatment. This amounts to a prevalence of 0.4% of the Austrian population and a incidence of about 2%. This data is also approved by the Ministry of Health (Austrian Federal Ministry of Health, 2012). Based on this data and information, the authors assume 600 patients annually in naïve treatment.

The distribution of different genotypes differs strongly between countries. The Austrian distribution was calculated in (Bruggmann et al., 2014) and is shown in Table 2.

The age distribution of infected patients was taken from real data of Austrian HCV patients, the distribution of the overall population accords to the overall population of the country, excluding people below 18 years of age (European Medicines Agency, 2014).

The infection history of patients was calculated based on overall annual infection rates and the age distribution of patients. This approach was chosen because it follows the logic of existing data for Austria. Patients who are newly infected with the virus exhibit a history level “IllForYears” of 0 as the duration is below one year. CHC patients with a disease history of >20 years (IllForYears > 20) have a high probability of 20% to additionally suffer from liver cirrhosis (European Association for the Study of the Liver, 1999). The cirrhosis causes additional costs to the healthcare system and might lead to liver cancer and an organ transplant (at cost) (Gschwantler et al., 2010; Maieron et al., 2010). The distribution and probabilities for liver cancer were calculated based on (Zechmeister et al., 2006). The distribution, costs and probabilities for liver transplants were calculated based on (Bruggmann et al., 2014; Priebe et al., 2014) and for HIV based on (Peck-Radosavljevic, 2004).

Just as the model tracks the health status of each agent in every modelling cycle, it also records costs involved per agent and cycle. Included costs are all direct healthcare costs that arise with the presence of HCV. We considered medication costs, costs for diagnosis and laboratories, consultations of physicians, costs for different stages of cirrhosis, liver-cancer and transplantation. Costs also vary as probabilities over time and duration of infection in our model (e.g. a transplantation causes high costs in the first cycle when the procedure is executed, but yields additional costs in the following cycles as a patient needs further consultations of physicians and additional medication due to the transplantation.)

3.3. Model verification and validation

Model verification was conducted in a multiple-step-based analysis and based on (Sargent, 1988, 2011). In doing so, we started with a degenerate test in order to see if the model performs well in its categories such as infection, healing, or dying. In the next step we tested event validity for the established medication therapy and tested the results with historical data. In a further step, extreme validity was tested for cases where everyone is treated, no one is treated, and treatment is at no cost. Another verification analysis was the internal validity, where we tested the consistency of results over several simulation runs. In a final step of the model verification we tested the model with fixed values and compared the results to manual calculations. All the verification

methods were analysed on macro-level results and traces analysis, where single individuals were traced over time. All results of the verification process were satisfactory and imply an error-free model.

After all experiments were conducted, the validity of the model and its results was tested with experts in the field. In doing so, the results of the executed “status quo” simulation were compared to real numbers in terms of a Turing test. Furthermore, using the predictive validation, experts were asked to predict the system's behaviour, which was subsequently compared to the simulation results. The results in the validation process were satisfactory for the defined research questions (Arnopoulos, 1979). However, future research potential was identified and will be discussed in Section 5.

4. Simulation experiments and results

In this paper we present simulation experiments for five different scenarios. In doing so, we want to show the model's ability to provide strategic policy support. The scenarios were generated based on several possible policies on the reimbursement strategy for the novel medication and the related degree of service quality innovation for the healthcare system. The division of scenarios is as follows:

Scenario 1: Conventional treatment

Scenario 2: New medication

Scenario 3: New medication with a high demand for new medication (factor 1.5)

Scenario 4: New medication with high demand and additional treatment of 50% of all patients with cirrhosis

Scenario 5: New medication with high demand and additional treatment of 100% of all patients with cirrhosis

Scenario 1 is used as the status quo case (also in the validation) and represents the index basis for comparisons among the scenarios. The experiments deliver reasonable results, significant outcomes for different scenarios in epidemiology and costs and first insights regarding trends for reimbursement and treatment strategies.

The epidemiological results show expected outcomes. In scenarios 1 and 2 the same number of infected individuals are treated with different types of medications. In scenario 2 more people get virus-free as new medication gives significantly higher healing rates than conventional treatment. Over the time horizon of 3 years a small but observable decrease in new infections and treated individuals occurs in scenario 2. This effect is explained by the decrease in potential carriers of the virus and an overall higher healing rate. In scenario 3 we assume a higher demand for new medication. The number of treated individuals is higher than in scenarios 1 and 2. This design is justified with the information that physicians knew already a few years ago that new therapies were set to enter the market. Therefore doctors told patients to wait with their first treatment until new medications were made available, because chances of become virus-free is higher for individuals that are treatment naïve. In addition to the increase in patients that are expecting to get treatment, we added 50% and 100% of all individuals with cirrhosis to the model in scenarios 4 and 5. In this step especially the effect on the total costs should be investigated as a ‘what if scenario’ for all potential patients to start treatment. Furthermore, the effect on long-term costs should be investigated in addition to the short time horizon. On the basis of scenario 2, the results of scenario 3–5 show an increasing rate of treated and healed individuals.

In contrast to the epidemiological results, the economic results are not so straightforward. Table 3 shows the total cost as well as costs per patient and per virus-free patient per scenario for the time horizon of 3 years as indexes of the base case scenario with conventional treatment. In general, total costs rise from scenario 1 to 5 as new medication is used and more patients are treated. Therefore total costs for the treatment with new medication is 1.7 to 7.5 times higher than with conventional medication. But as more patients are treated, the number of

Table 2
CHC – share of genotypes in Austria.

Genotype	Share in %
1	72,0
2	5,0
3	19,0
4	4,0
5	0,0
6	0,0

Table 3
Simulation results with indexed costs and epidemiology.

Scenario	Total cost	Cost per treated patient	Cost per virus-free patient	Patients treated with CHC medication	Patients virus-free
1	100	100	100	2.700	1.200
2	171	196	121	2.700	1.700
3	204	186	102	3.400	2.400
4	485	193	99	7.800	5.900
5	751	191	93	12.200	9.700

individuals who become virus-free increases as well. As a consequence of less infected individuals, long-term complications (cirrhosis, cancer and transplantation) as well as their costs decrease. While costs per patient treated are higher with new medication and vary in scenarios 2–5, costs per virus-free patient decrease the more individuals are treated and healed.

Although these initial insights into the complex field of HCV give only a short-term view of the issue of new medication and its effect on patients and the economic burden, the results show clear trends in costs and epidemiology. According to our results, the reimbursement strategies of European countries, which is preferred treatment of patients with cirrhosis, may be inefficient in terms of the ratio of patients healed and money invested. Therefore a deeper insight into modelling HCV is needed to draw final conclusions on reimbursement strategies for new medication for HCV.

5. Conclusion and discussion

The paper at hand presents a decision support model that allows one to test the economic and epidemiological effects of several reimbursement strategies of a novel HCV medication. It was developed in a rather short time horizon in order to allow for sophisticated decisions on reimbursement strategies of the novel medication and their effects on the healthcare system concerned. We therefore used microsimulation in order to evaluate the situation from an individuals' perspective. This is especially beneficial, as treatment history plays a major role in the treatments' costs and probability of success.

The epidemiological results show an obvious trend. As patients get treatment with new medication, healing rates increase and more people become virus-free. The economic results show an increase in total costs in all scenarios as new medication is used and more patients are treated. As a consequence of less infected individuals, long-term complications and their costs decrease. Therefore costs per patient treated increased with the number of patients treated, but costs per virus-free patient decrease the more individuals are treated and healed.

In spite of robust results, our findings imply that 3 years are a too narrow time window of analysis for such a decision, as epidemiological effects of extensive treatment strategies lead to savings in the long run. As mentioned above, complications (e.g. cirrhosis, cancer and transplantations) as a consequence of HCV mainly arise after a time period of >20 years. New pharmaceuticals bring healing to patients within on treatment cycle, which is shorter than 1 year. Therefore, the results for 3 years show extensive costs for treatment but are unable to show cost savings which would occur after 20 years due to the reduction of long-term consequences. However, the model at hand does not explicitly consider several aspects such as the situation of infection through *drug abuse* that was identified in the literature review as being crucial. The range of policies and their acceptance would need to be identified in detail for this sub-group. In doing so, *differencing network structures* would also be needed to allow for differentiating in infection behaviour. From today's perspective one can only make assumptions about the infection behaviour of drug abusers and divergent network effects for society at large. This would also allow for in-depth analysis and comparison of further policies such as free needle exchange. Furthermore, the *prison system* seems to be a hotspot for HCV infections,

which would call for another sub-category in the model. Another field of further research is the current movement of *refugees* to Europe, as a group of people with significantly higher incidence rates is joining central Europe. The question whether this could increase the incidence among European citizens might also be evaluated in a comparable simulation model but would require far more input data. However, due to the fact that infections mainly take place through drug abuse and not through common social contact, it is assumed that this aspect will not play an important role in further infections.

References

- An, G., Kulkarni, S., 2015. An agent-based modeling framework linking inflammation and cancer using evolutionary principles: description of a generative hierarchy for the hallmarks of cancer and developing a bridge between mechanism and epidemiological data. *Mathematical Biosciences* 260/16–24.
- Arnopoulos, P., 1979. Toward a model procedure for social forecasting. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 13/1, pp. 31–42.
- Austrian Federal Ministry of Health, 2012. Diagnosen- und Leistungsdokumentation der österreichischen Krankenanstalten (Database).
- Behrens, D.A., Rauner, M.S., Caulkins, J.P., 2008. Modelling the spread of hepatitis C via commercial tattoo parlours: implications for public health interventions. *Or Spectrum* 30/2, pp. 269–288.
- Blachier, M., Leleu, H., Peck-Radosavljevic, M., Valla, D.-C., Roudot-Thoraval, F., 2013. The burden of liver disease in Europe: a review of available epidemiological data. *Journal of Hepatology* 58/3, pp. 593–608.
- Bruggmann, P., Berg, T., Øvrehus, A.L.H., Moreno, C., Brandao Mello, C.E., Roudot-Thoraval, F., Marinho, R.T., Sherman, M., Ryder, S.D., Sperl, J., 2014. Historical epidemiology of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in selected countries. *Journal of Viral Hepatitis* 21/S1, pp. 5–33.
- Citro, Constance F and Hanushek, Eric A (1997): Assessing Policies for Retirement Income: Needs for Data, Research, and Models. Ed. Panel on Retirement Income Modeling; Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education; Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education; National Research Council, National Academies Press
- Cousien, A., Tran, V.C., Deuffic-Burban, S., Jauffret-Roustide, M., Dhersin, J.-S., Yazdanpanah, Y., 2015. Dynamic modelling of hepatitis C virus transmission among people who inject drugs: a methodological review. *Journal of Viral Hepatitis* 22/3, pp. 213–229.
- Crooks, A.T., Hailegiorgis, A.B., 2014. An agent-based modeling approach applied to the spread of cholera. *Environmental Modelling & Software* 62/164–177.
- Davis, G.L., Alter, M.J., El-Serag, H., Poynard, T., Jennings, L.W., 2010. Aging of hepatitis C virus (HCV)-infected persons in the United States: a multiple cohort model of HCV prevalence and disease progression. *Gastroenterology* 138/2, pp. 513–521.
- Deuffic-Burban, S., Deltenre, P., Buti, M., Stroffolini, T., Parkes, J., Mühlberger, N., Siebert, U., Moreno, C., Hatzakis, A., Rosenberg, W., 2012. Predicted effects of treatment for HCV infection vary among European countries. *Gastroenterology* 143/4, pp. 974–985.
- European Association for the Study of the Liver, 1999. EASL International Consensus Conference on Hepatitis C. 26–27 February 1999. Munksgaard, Paris, France.
- European Medicines Agency, EMA, 2014. Fachinformationen der European Medicines Agency.
- Fröschl, B., Bornschein, B., Brunner-Ziegler, S., 2012. Methoden-handbuch für Health Technology Assessment. 1.2012. Aufl., Gesundheit Österreich GmbH / BIQG, Wien (2012): Methoden-handbuch für Health Technology Assessment. Gesundheit Österreich GmbH / BIQG, Vienna.
- Gordon, T.J., 2003. A simple agent model of an epidemic. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 70/5, pp. 397–417.
- Gschwantler, M., Dulic-Lakovic, E., Dulic, M., Ordubadi, P., Formann, E., 2010. Hepatitis C. *Österreichische Ärztezeitung* 9/30–41.
- Guttfraud, A., Boodram, B., Prachand, N., Hailegiorgis, A., Dahari, H., Major, M.E., 2015. Agent-based model forecasts aging of the population of people who inject drugs in metropolitan Chicago and changing prevalence of hepatitis C infections. *PLoS one* 10/9, p. e0137993.
- Hsu, H.H., Greenberg, H.B., Hoepflich, P.D., Ronald, A.R., 1994. Hepatitis C. *Infectious Diseases A Treatise of Infectious Processes*. JB Lippincott Co, Philadelphia, pp. 820–825 Hg. v. J. M.
- Jaffry, S.W., Treur, J., 2008. Agent-based and population-based simulation: A comparative case study for epidemics. *Proc of the 22th European Conference on Modelling and Simulation, ECMS, Citeseer*.
- Jonas, S., Jessner, W., Rafetseder, O. and Wild, C. (2004): Chronische Hepatitis C – Implikationen für Therapie und ökonomischen Ressourceneinsatz in Österreich. Health Technology Assessment. ITA-Projektbericht 26. (2004): Chronische Hepatitis C – Implikationen für Therapie und ökonomischen Ressourceneinsatz in Österreich. Edited by Institut für Technikfolgen-Abschätzung der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. (Wien)
- Kim, S.-Y., Goldie, S.J., 2008. Cost-effectiveness analyses of vaccination programmes. *PharmacoEconomics* 26/3, pp. 191–215.
- Kwakkel, J.H., Pruyt, E., 2013. Exploratory Modeling and Analysis, an approach for model-based foresight under deep uncertainty. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 80/3, pp. 419–431.
- Lang, K., Weiner, D.B., 2008. Immunotherapy for HCV Infection: Next Steps.
- Lemon, S.M.; Brown, E.A.; Hepatitis C virus. In: Mandell, G.L., Bennett, J.E., Dolin, R., eds. *Principle and Practice of Infectious Disease*, Fourth. New York, Churchill Livingstone,

- 1995:1474–1486. (1995): Hepatitis C virus. In: Principle and Practice of Infectious Disease. Hg. v. B. J. Mandell GL, Dolin R., New York: Churchill Livingstone, 1474–1486
- Maieron, A., Metz-Gercek, S., Hackl, F., Luger, C., Ziachehabi, A., Strauss, R., Schöfl, R., Mittermayer, H., 2010. Chronic hepatitis C in Austria, 1992–2006: genotype distribution and demographic factors. *Eurosurveillance* 15/8, pp. 1–7.
- Manns, M., Marcellin, P., Poordad, F., de Araujo, E.S.A., Buti, M., Horsmans, Y., Janczewska, E., Villamil, F., Scott, J., Peeters, M., 2014. Simeprevir with pegylated interferon alfa 2a or 2b plus ribavirin in treatment-naïve patients with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection (QUEST-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *The Lancet* 384/9941, pp. 414–426.
- McHutchison, J.G., Lawitz, E.J., Shiffman, M.L., Muir, A.J., Galler, G.W., McCone, J., Nyberg, L.M., Lee, W.M., Ghalib, R.H., Schiff, E.R., 2009. Peginterferon alfa-2b or alfa-2a with ribavirin for treatment of hepatitis C infection. *New England Journal of Medicine* 361/6, pp. 580–593.
- Mohd Hanafiah, K., Groeger, J., Flaxman, A.D., Wiersma, S.T., 2013. Global epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection: new estimates of age-specific antibody to HCV seroprevalence. *Hepatology* 57/4, pp. 1333–1342.
- Obach, D., Deuffic-Burban, S., Esmat, G., Anwar, W.A., Dewedar, S., Canva, V., Cousien, A., Doss, W., Mostafa, A., Pol, S., 2014. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of immediate versus delayed treatment of hepatitis C virus-infected patients in a country with limited resources: the case of Egypt. *Clinical infectious diseases* 58/8, pp. 1064–1071.
- Ostermann, H., Renner, A.-T., Bobek, J., Schneider, P., Vogler, S., 2015. *A Cost-benefit Analysis of Self-care Systems in the European Union*.
- Peck-Radosavljevic, M., 2004. HIV-HCV-Co-Infektion: Verlauf und Therapie. *Journal für Gastroenterologische und Hepatologische Erkrankungen* 2/1, pp. 21–24.
- Perelson, A.S., Guedj, J., 2015. Modelling hepatitis C therapy - predicting effects of treatment. *Nature Reviews* 12/437–445.
- Priebe, Birgit; Eisenmann, Alexander; Fischer, Ulrike; Kozyga, Kornelia; Nepp, Barbara; Schlei-cher, Barbara; Unger, Theresia; Willinger, Manfred; Kramar, Thomas; Likarz, Susanne; Postl, Otto; Yilmaz, Menekse; (2014): *Transplant-Jahresbericht 2013*. Edited by ÖBIG Österreichisches Bundesinstitut für Gesundheitswesen Bundesministerium für Gesundheit. (Wien)
- Razavi, H., ElKhoury, A.C., Elbasha, E., Estes, C., Pasini, K., Poynard, T., Kumar, R., 2013. Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) disease burden and cost in the United States. *Hepatology* 57/6, pp. 2164–2170.
- Razavi, H., Waked, I., Sarrazin, C., Myers, R.P., Idilman, R., Calinas, F., Vogel, W., Correa, M., Hézode, C., Lázaro, P., 2014. The present and future disease burden of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection with today's treatment paradigm. *Journal of Viral Hepatitis* 21/S1, pp. 34–59.
- Sargent, R.G., 1988. A tutorial on validation and verification of simulation models. *Proceedings of the 20th Conference on Winter Simulation*. ACM.
- Sargent, R.G., 2011. December. "verification and validation of simulation models". In: Jain, S., Creasey, R.R., Himmelspach, J., White, K.P., Fu, M. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2011 Winter Simulation Conference*.
- Strader, D.B., Wright, T., Thomas, D.L., Seeff, L.B., 2004. *Diagnosis, management, and treatment of hepatitis C*. *Hepatology* 39/4, pp. 1147–1171.
- Unit Economist Intelligence, 2013. *The Silent Pandemic: Tackling Hepatitis C with Policy Innovation*.
- Vickerman, P., Hickman, M., May, M., Kretzschmar, M., Wiessing, L., 2010. Can hepatitis C virus prevalence be used as a measure of injection-related human immunodeficiency virus risk in populations of injecting drug users? An ecological analysis. *Addiction* 105/2, pp. 311–318.
- Wasem, J., Sroczynski, G., Aidelburger, P., Buchberger, B., Hessel, F., Conrads-Frank, A., Peters-Blöching, A., Kurth, B.-M., Wong, J.B., Rossol, S., 2006. *Gesundheitsökonomische Aspekte chronischer Infektionskrankheiten am Beispiel der chronischen Hepatitis C*. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt-Gesundheitsforschung-Gesundheitsschutz* 49/1, pp. 57–63.
- Zechmeister, Ingrid, Sroczynski, Gaby, Rafetseder, Otto, Jonas, Susanna and Siebert, Uwe (2006): *Antivirale Kombinationstherapie Bei Patienten Mit Chronischer Hepatitis C in Österreich*. ITA Projektbericht. Edited by Institut für Technikfolgen-Abschätzung (ITA). (Wien)

Martin Zsifkovits is post-doc researcher at the Universität der Bundeswehr Munich at the chair for Operations Research and research director at the COMTESSA group (Competence Center for Operations Research, Strategic Planning Management, Safety & Security ALLIANCE). He holds a master's degree in international business administration and a PhD in Economics with a specialization in Management from the University of Vienna. His research focus is public management and decision support using Operations Research. From 2016 he is chairing the GOR working group for simulation and optimization of complex systems.

Johannes Zsifkovits is a researcher at the Gesundheit Österreich GmbH, the national public health institute in Austria. He holds a master's degree in international business administration from the University of Vienna and is currently working on his PhD degree at the University of Graz. His main working fields are health-economics and Health Technology Assessment with expertise and publications in healthcare modelling and decision support, health expenditure and calculation of economic burden and efficiency analysis.

Stefan Wolfgang Pickl is professor for Operations Research at Universität der Bundeswehr in Munich. He studied mathematics, electrical engineering, and philosophy at TU Darmstadt and EPFL Lausanne. Doctorate 1998 with award. Assistant Professor at Cologne University. Visiting Professor at University of New Mexico (U.S.A.), University Graz (Austria), University of California at Berkeley. Visiting scientist at SANDIA, Los Alamos National Lab, Santa Fe Institute for Complex Systems, and MIT. International best paper awards '03, '05, '07. Foundation of COMTESSA (Competence Center for Operations Research, Management-Tenacity-Excellence, Safety & Security ALLIANCE).