



Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Information & Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/im



Complementary IT resources for enabling technological opportunism

Laura Lucia-Palacios^{a,*}, Victoria Bordonaba-Juste^b, Yolanda Polo-Redondo^b,
Marko Grünhagen^c

^a Department of Marketing, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Zaragoza, Gran Vía 2, Zaragoza 50005, Spain

^b Department of Marketing, University of Zaragoza, Spain

^c Eastern Illinois University, IL, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 October 2014
Received in revised form 28 January 2016
Accepted 3 February 2016
Available online xxx

Keywords:

Technological opportunism
IT vendor support
Information technologies
Firm's IT human capital
Measurement invariance

ABSTRACT

This study examines the use of information technologies (ITs), IT human capital, the level of IT vendor support, and their joint effects on firm's sensing and responding to IT changes (technological opportunism). Using data from the U.S. and Spain, the results suggest that IT use and the firm's IT human capital are the main drivers of technological opportunism (TO). The effect of IT vendor support on TO is country dependent, with a U-shaped effect in the U.S. and no effect in Spain. IT vendor support can have positive effects on TO if the firm invests in IT human capital.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Today's business environment is characterized by turbulence, increasing competition and technological advances that threaten to disrupt established products and markets. Previous research claims that demonstrating the value of investing in information technology (IT) is fundamental in the fields not only of Information Systems (IS) but also of management disciplines [1,70]. However, it has been found that the direct effect of IT investment on performance is not as important as its indirect effect through the creation of capabilities [98]. Sensing and responding to the technological context are two capabilities to which researchers have paid more attention in recent years because they increase firm performance [19,65,102,121]. These capabilities have been defined as technological opportunism (TO) capability [107]. However, relatively little research [38,107] has focused on the resources that enhance this capability.

Following the resource-based view (RBV) and knowledge-based view (KBV), this study fills a gap in the literature concerning the antecedents of TO by focusing on the use and knowledge of ITs

[60,100]. Recent streams of RBV research have focused on the analysis of complementarities among firm resources to enhance capabilities [70,116,123]. This paper also investigates the joint effect of IT use and the specific knowledge involved in these technologies on TO. This knowledge is part of the firm's resources or is added through the recruitment of specific services offered by other firms.

According to the literature, the IT adoption strategy used by small firms is different from that of large companies, and it also differs depending on the level of knowledge and the technological intensity of the sector [7]. For example, Oliveira and Martins [78] analyzed e-business adoption and found differences in the relative importance of almost all the independent variables analyzed (technology readiness, trading partner collaboration, technology integration, and perceived benefits) between the telecommunication industry and the tourism industry. Competitive pressure is the only variable for which they did not find differences between the two industries. The main barrier to IT adoption for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) is the lack of IT knowledge and the cost of the technology [93,111,112], while for large firms the main problems are bureaucracy and the time necessary to take decisions [13]. In typical SMEs, a dependence on outsourcing compared to larger firms has been shown. Further, this finding has also been more frequent in less IT-intensive sectors [7]. In addition, SMEs have fewer resources than large firms to invest in managing IT activities. In this context, outsourcing is mainly referred to as IT

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 976 761000; fax: +34 976 761767.

E-mail addresses: llucia@unizar.es (L. Lucia-Palacios), vbordon@unizar.es (V. Bordonaba-Juste), ypolo@unizar.es (Y. Polo-Redondo), mgrunhagen@eiu.edu (M. Grünhagen).

consultants or IT vendor support [112]. The focus of this research is on the effect of a high level of use of IT vendor support in a sector with low IT intensity.

Previous research suggests that the type of organization is more important than the industry to explain the need for IT innovations and their adoption [59]. Not all businesses benefit in the same way from using ITs. The benefits are enhanced when there is competition between one group of firms and another. Franchising is an example of these networks and is of increasing importance in the economy [87,88]. Franchising represents a network of semiautonomous operators with a central headquarters. Thus, the implementation of IT and its related capabilities, such as TO, can benefit not only a single store, but also the whole network. Franchising is mainly applied in services and retailing, which are considered less IT-intensive sectors. Hence, franchising is an interesting context for examining the effect of IT vendor support on the development of IT capabilities as it represents a strong contrast to the conventional setting of IT-related studies. Several studies have analyzed the implementation of ITs in the franchising context [65,66,88,97] but, to our knowledge, little research has been carried out on the development of IT knowledge capabilities [85] and none has analyzed the IT vendor support decision and its interaction with other resources of the firm.

The primary objective of this study is to focus on the complementarities of IT resources to generate IT capabilities. Previous research has analyzed the joint effect of both internal and external knowledge and capabilities on IT-enabled processes [123,128] and performance [75], but little is known about their effect on enhancing TO capabilities. We focus on the influences of internal and external sources of knowledge and the use of ITs to help firms increase their capability of sensing and responding to radical or incremental technological developments. This allows us to contribute to the management discipline by examining the influence of IT vendor support on TO capabilities and how this effect is influenced by the intensity of IT use and the firm's human capital. Furthermore, we contribute by providing evidence of the complementarities between these resources. Finally, this study investigates the franchising sector in two different countries, the U.S. and Spain, providing evidence of the levels of IT use and excessive IT outsourcing in each country. The two countries use different levels of IT systems and applications [37,103], which are confirmed through the analysis of measurement invariance; hence, we conduct a country-by-country analysis.

Our results suggest that IT use and the level of the firm's IT human capital increase the level of TO. In addition, this research provides evidence of the circumstances in which a high level of IT vendor support can develop the firm's capabilities. Internal IT knowledge and the intensity of IT use are proposed as moderating variables on the relationship between IT vendor support and the firm's level of TO. Results are country dependent.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 outlines the literature framework on which the paper is based, the RBV, and develops the hypotheses. Section 3 explains the context of study and describes the methodology used. In Section 4, the results are presented. Section 5 provides a discussion of the results, the limitations of the paper, and recommendations for future lines of research. The concluding remarks are presented in the final section.

2. Literature framework and hypotheses development

A suitable theoretical framework for studying e-business capabilities is the RBV that links organizational resources and capabilities with competitive advantages [6,70]. The RBV suggests that firms can achieve positive outcomes as long as they possess rare, valuable, and appropriate capabilities. If the firm's capabilities

resist imitation and substitution, these gains can be sustained. Researchers have analyzed how firms create value from IT assets and the importance of complementary resources for understanding IT payoffs. In sum, how firms create IT capabilities determines their competitive advantages. In IS research, different resources have been shown to be relevant for leveraging IT investments, but there is a consensus about the importance of IT knowledge [12,15]. As part of the RBV, the Knowledge-Based View (KBV) posits that firm performance depends on the level of knowledge exploitation [123,129]. De Clercq and Dimov [26] outlined that knowledge provides a more comprehensive understanding of new information and helps firms identify valuable knowledge. Deeper knowledge enhances the ability to incorporate additional knowledge and exploit it over time. Knowledge is considered a key valuable resource that, combined with other resources, provides competitive advantages.

Different studies support that intangible firm's resources, such as the firm's human capital, or tangible resources, such as financial resources, are the key to success [105]. But complementary external resources or capabilities are also factors that increase a firm's probability of success [8,80]. External sources may help firms acquire abilities or resources they lack about entrepreneurial learning [91]. In the following sections, we will develop hypotheses about how the firm's human capital, IT vendor support, and the level of use of ITs determine its TO capabilities.

2.1. Relationship between use of ITs and TO in retailing

ITs include the hardware, operating software, communications, and other equipment and support required to enable business applications [9,99] that are combined to create useful IT services [50]. Previous studies have analyzed ITs related to enterprise resource planning (ERP), supply chain management (SCM), customer relationship management (CRM), and e-commerce operations [67] and, in the last decade, increasing attention has been paid to ITs such as intranet, extranet, Internet, and electronic data interchange (EDI; [32,20,46,65,73,74,84,122]).

Firms are actively seeking new ways to use IT effectively to support distribution, inventory management, planning, and sales functions [82]. Most ITs are related to the e-business process [101] or are focused on providing retail channel integration through six activities [77]. These activities are integrated promotion, transaction information, order fulfillment, integrated pricing and billing, integrated information access, and integrated customer services. All these activities can be carried out through the use and implementation of Internet, e-commerce, intranet, extranet, EDI, CRM, SCM, and ERP. The use of these ITs allows firms to be connected with suppliers, distributors, and other intermediaries, customers and employees [118]. Intranet, extranet, and EDI also allow firms to reduce the cost of order processing and distribution and inventory management [82], thereby making logistics and SCM more efficient [3,16]. CRM allows firms to analyze customer transaction information [120] and, together with extranets, to provide a better customer service [5]. SCM allows retailers to plan purchase order and inventory control [54]. So, the study of all these technologies allows us to incorporate the most commonly used ITs.

With the proliferation of ITs, it is necessary for firms to anticipate the emergence of new ITs, their benefits for the business, and their likelihood of making current technologies obsolete. The use of ITs develops related capabilities necessary to sense and respond to the opportunities or threats generated by technological changes. This is known as TO capability. The concept of TO capability is measured by two dimensions: "technology-sensing capability" and "technology-responding capability." "Technology-sensing capability" is defined as the extent to which an organization has the capability to acquire knowledge and understand new technological developments. The

dimension “technology-responding capability” measures the extent to which an organization is willing and able to respond to new technologies [107]. Experience in the use of ITs increases the firm’s entrepreneurial alertness [24] and its ability to understand and react to new ITs [100]. The use of ITs enables firms to increase their learning-by-doing and to obtain insights into the complementarities between ITs and new improvements or changes in related technologies [30,100,104,119]. For example, firms that sensed the opportunities created by emerging technologies (such as interactive HTML pages and the secure sockets layer protocol) were able to implement electronic commerce strategies before many of their competitors [81]. Similarly, firms that sensed the opportunities created by intranets were able to implement extranets easily, as the extranet is an extension of the intranet. Some technological changes consist of incremental innovations in the use of incumbent technologies. IT use provides information on customer preferences about the use of some applications to contact the firm or about new ways of searching for product information. This means that market information obtained through IT use can provide information about new usage of incumbent technologies or new ITs.

Hence, the use of ITs allows firms to sense technological changes by providing information about the possible new features. An efficient use of ITs requires having the infrastructure (hardware, software, databases, and other IT platforms) and the capabilities required to respond to technological changes. For example, firms may be interested in developing a new order processing system, but this requires the infrastructures for this system, for developing a customer database, and a local area for implementing communication networks [9,124]. Having these IT infrastructures will reduce the time and cost of adopting this new order processing system, which constitutes a response to this technological development. Therefore, the combination and integration of different ITs help firms to sense and respond to possible new technological changes. Hence, firms with a more intensive use of ITs are in a better position to be more technologically opportunistic. Consequently, we propose that:

H1. The higher the level of IT use, the higher the level of technological opportunism (TO) capability.

2.2. Relationship between TO and IT knowledge

TO is a capability focused on new technologies; hence, IT knowledge may be required to sense technological improvements and to know how a firm can leverage them to gain advantage [81]. Sambamurthy et al. [100] suggested that firms develop foresight in IT through their executives’ personal intuition and experiences and through organizational intelligence about the emerging ITs. To be aware of possible improvements, developments, or new ways of using the existing ITs, it is necessary for firms to have knowledge about the most commonly used ITs in their business [9].

Human capital is interpreted as people’s knowledge, skills, and abilities [8]. According to prior research, the firm’s IT human capital includes not only the abilities and technical skills of employees (programming, systems analysis, and competencies in technologies), but also the ability of managers to coordinate and provide support for the implementation of ITs [70]. Managers’ and founders’ knowledge and skills are important as they influence the level of access and exploitation of other internal and external firm resources [8]. In sum, not only employees’ IT skills, but also the chief executive officer’s (CEO) knowledge, skills, and support are relevant for developing TO capabilities [9].

The knowledge, skills, or support that firms exploit may be accumulated internally or provided by external partners [42,75,128].

The outsourcing strategy can be explained from the RBV or from the Transaction Cost theory (see [33] for a detailed review). There is a vast literature on the motives and advantages of outsourcing such as cost reduction, access to supplier’s knowledge, or strategic alliances [35,56,58,62,75,126]. Based on a review and a meta-analysis of IT outsourcing research, Lacity et al. [58] found that 357 articles were published between 1990 and 2008, in which 17 motives for IT outsourcing were highlighted. Cost reduction is the most important reason followed by a focus on the core capabilities. However, for SMEs, the lack of resources and the possibility of accessing the skills and expertise of their suppliers are the most common reasons for relying on external advice and support [2,106]. External vendor support is the most common use of IT outsourcing among SMEs [112].

Conclusions about the effect of IT outsourcing on the firm’s capabilities development are diverse. Some findings support a positive effect of IT outsourcing in the development of innovative capabilities [128], while others suggest that IT outsourcing reduces a firm’s potential to learn about new technologies and to develop new capabilities [123]. The RBV warns firms against a heavy reliance on outsourcing when they want to foment capabilities that require learning-by-doing and the development of path-dependent knowledge inside the firm [33,44,123]. Earl [31] lists eleven risks involved in IT outsourcing including the loss of organizational learning capability. Firms learn to manage ITs and their usefulness for sensing and responding to opportunities or threats of technological changes through learning-by-doing. Lacity et al. [57] found that companies that outsource all their IT activities have problems because their service level decreases and their IT costs increase, thus lowering the firm’s flexibility. One of the reasons for IT outsourcing is cost reduction. However, if IT vendor skills are not updated, the cost reduction potential is lost. Furthermore, outsourcing requires attention to achieve efficient management, to select partners, and to redeploy internal and external knowledge resources [44]. These activities will increase if the firm opts for a high level of IT outsourcing.

Therefore, according to previous research, IT outsourcing has some advantages in cost reduction, in accessing IT knowledge, and in developing integrative capabilities. However, firms with a high level of IT outsourcing may suffer a dilution of their capabilities and of their learning-by-doing capacity and may pay less attention to the outsourced activities, thus increasing costs. Hence, for SMEs, a high level of use of IT vendor support could harm the firm’s capacity to sense and respond to technological changes. Hence, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H2. The firm’s IT human capital has a positive impact on its level of technological opportunism (TO) capability.

H3. IT vendor support has an inverted U-shaped relationship with the firm’s level of technological opportunism (TO) capability

2.3. Moderating effect of the level of IT use

As has been argued, relying heavily on IT outsourcing has a negative effect on TO. However, previous research has also found that some advantages can be obtained from IT outsourcing depending on the importance of the outsourced activities for the firm’s success [58]. Not all firms use ITs with the same intensity and, therefore, IT use can be considered as a core or as a non-core activity. According to the RBV, an organization should invest in activities that constitute core competences and outsource the rest [92,95,96], because the former provides the organization’s growth and direction [89]. Hence, vertical integration is preferred to outsourcing [4,14,27,71,117,123]. Some recent studies suggest that IT outsourcing has a positive effect on the development of

capabilities when non-core activities are outsourced [123]. We propose that firms that use ITs intensively consider these resources as strategic because they generate tacit knowledge and facilitate competitive moves or the development of firm capabilities [44]. Firms that consider ITs as a core or strategic activity use ITs more intensively than those that consider the ITs as peripheral. As the strategic importance of the use of ITs increases, it is more likely that managers will internalize their use and less likely that they will outsource this activity. Therefore, the effect of IT vendor support on the firm's level of TO capabilities will depend on the level of use of ITs. We propose that:

H4. The negative effect of a high level of IT vendor support on technological opportunism (TO) capability will be stronger for firms with a higher level of IT use than for firms with a lower level of IT use.

2.4. The moderating role of the firm's IT human capital

Previous research has suggested that there is complementarity between internal and external knowledge in the study of R&D (research and development) activities [44,72]. The KBV and the agency theory are the paradigms that have analyzed the complementarity of internal and external knowledge.

Grant [41] suggests that outsourcing is one way of complementing the firm's resources and capabilities by helping to improve its strategy to make better use of its capabilities when an external opportunity emerges. Therefore, firms should have internal knowledge about the application or process they are going to outsource. This is known as retained in-house capabilities [34]. Firms with in-house capabilities can interpret, digest, and assimilate external knowledge and influence the ease with which knowledge can be transferred [11,34,83]. The success of IT outsourcing depends on the support and commitment of the CEO and the firm's IT personnel skills. Top management support is needed to determine and value vendor activity. Using external expertise without the involvement of the CEO and firm staff is an error. The CEO and the IS staff of the firm should analyze the options provided by the vendor and check the suitability of the IT devices that are implemented [75]. The firm's CEO has to bear in mind that improvements in IT adoption and the assimilation of capabilities occur via organizational learning through feedbackward and feed-forward communication [125]. Similarly, TO capabilities require fluent communication and shared and integrated knowledge. The benefits and disadvantages of outsourcing are directly linked to the firm's ability to integrate and apply external knowledge [22,127]. Successful exploitation of external knowledge requires the integration of the firm's IT knowledge with the vendor's technical knowledge [113]. Having technical knowledge increases the firm's ability to specify the terms of the outsourcing contract and to effectively supervise the vendor's activity [39,43]. For the development of capabilities, firms and vendors have to integrate and share knowledge, considering this interfirm collaboration as a relational and long-term relationship [83]. The larger the firm's internal stock of knowledge, the greater the likelihood that its combination with external knowledge will be valuable [44,114]. Therefore, the negative effect of a high use or dependence of IT vendor support on integrative capabilities can be reduced if the firm has the ability to integrate external knowledge [123] and if that knowledge and IT-related capabilities are retained in the firm [34]. It is proposed that:

H5. The higher the firm's IT human capital, the lower the negative effects of a high level of IT vendor support on technological opportunism (TO) capability.

In order to reap the maximum benefit from the complementarities between internal and external IT knowledge, the firms should use ITs intensively. We propose that firms with a higher level of IT use will be in a better position for learning-by-doing and for getting the most from external knowledge, leveraging the firm's IT investments, and generating unique firm-specific and valuable knowledge for integrating capabilities. The negative effects of a high level of use of IT vendor support for firms with a high level of IT use will be lower for the firm having a higher level of IT human capital compared to that with a lower level of IT human capital. Therefore, we propose:

H6. The higher the firm's IT human capital, the lower the negative effects of a high level of IT vendor support for firms with high level of IT use on technological opportunism (TO) capability.

Fig. 1 shows the conceptual model linking all the hypotheses together.

3. Methodology

3.1. Sample

ITs are especially important in the retailing sector as a firm may have different stores in different locations. In this sector, coordination and communication among all trading partners are key aspects for being competitive. In ITs, retailers with network structures, such as franchise chains, may find a solution for sharing information and emphasizing collaboration among trading partners. ITs could be implemented to improve financial performance, operational efficiency, coordination in SCM, and communications with suppliers and franchisees. Few studies have investigated the use of ITs in a franchise context [45,66,88,97], but none of them have analyzed the IT outsourcing decision.

The adoption and use rates of ITs are different across countries due to the level of development of the country. The U.S. is one of the leading countries in the use of ITs, together with several northern European countries (Finland, Sweden, Denmark, and Norway). However, there are other countries with a lower use rate of ITs including the Mediterranean countries (Spain, Italy, and Greece) [37,103].

We have selected the U.S and Spain to carry out this research. To test the hypotheses proposed, a survey of franchisors was conducted. The Spanish sample was selected from the "Franchise Yearbook" of Tormo Asociados [115] and from the information provided by the Franchisors' National Register. This source of information has been used in previous franchise research due to its comprehensive coverage of franchise systems in Spain [10,65,66]. Only firms with e-mail addresses were selected. The U.S. sample is taken from the listings of the Franchise Handbook (2009) that has also been frequently used in past studies of U.S. franchise systems (e.g., [45]).




Fig. 1. Conceptual model.

Prior to the formal survey, several pretests were conducted. The pretests involved franchise firms and franchise experts from both the U.S. and Spain. Based on their feedback, items in the questionnaire were reworded and minor layout changes were made in order to improve clarity and readability. In a cover letter, respondents were assured that any information provided would be kept strictly confidential. Further, it was made clear that respondents would not be identified in any reports pertaining to the study, as only aggregated data would be reported.

The data were collected by means of a survey that was distributed by e-mail. The questionnaires were sent to IT managers or top executives, namely Managing Directors and CEOs (for firms that did not have IT managers) in 600 Spanish and 1218 US franchise firms. Often, franchise chains, like most SMEs, are not large enough to have an IT department or a person in charge of IT. The CEO or Managing Director is often the person who makes the decision to adopt an innovation or not. Previous studies that have analyzed the use or adoption of IT in SMEs have used similar informants [40,64,121]. These executives were asked to complete the questionnaire or forward it to the appropriate person in charge of IT/Internet applications, thus reaching the most knowledgeable “key informants” [90] for the purposes of this study. Follow-up phone calls were made to solicit participation in the survey in accordance with Dillman [29]. Following the refining process, a final sample was obtained which consisted of 109 valid cases in the U.S. and 100 in Spain, yielding a response rate of 16.7% in Spain and 9% in the U.S. These response rates are considered acceptable, taking into account the difficulties which exist in obtaining replies to this type of survey [48], and are comparable to response rates in similar studies with “cold call” solicitations of survey participation [63]. The characteristics of the persons who answered the questionnaires are presented in Table 1. Most of the informants were in charge of business development or were CEOs.

A concern with any survey methodology is the adequacy of the response sample. One method to assess non-response bias is to test for significant differences between early and late respondents in the two samples. Comparing the first and second waves of respondents in terms of network size, results suggest that the differences were not significant in the two samples ($t = -1.159$; $p > 0.05$ for the Spanish sample and $t = 0.730$ $p > 0.05$ for the U.S. sample).¹

3.2. Definition of the variables

The dependent variable was the firm’s level of TO. According to previous research, it is a second-order reflective construct with two dimensions: technological-sensing and technological-responding capability. Both dimensions were measured using a five-point Likert-type scale (1 = “strongly disagree” and 5 = “strongly agree”). The scale of Srinivasan et al. [107] for each dimension was adapted to fit the study context. Based on a pretest conducted with entrepreneurs and academics about the TO construct, a few items were eliminated from the survey because they created misunderstandings. Accordingly, one item from the technological-sensing scale and two items from the technological-responding scale were eliminated. Hence, finally, the sensing scale was formed by three items that measure the capability of managers to sense IT changes, and the responding scale was formed by two items that measure the capability to react to IT changes.

The independent variables were the use of ITs (IT use), firm’s IT human capital (IT human capital), and IT vendor support (IT

Table 1
Informant characteristics.

| | % |
|---|------|
| <i>Knowledge about IT (Five-point Likert)</i> | |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 3.7 |
| 3 | 11.9 |
| 4 | 57.8 |
| 5 | 26.6 |
| <i>Position</i> | |
| Director Franchise Development/Strategic Business Units | 22 |
| CEO/Owner/President | 62 |
| Marketing/Sales Director | 15 |
| Director of IT | 6 |
| Others (financial, training, recruitment) | 1 |
| <i>Years in business</i> | |
| <4 | 14.4 |
| 4–10 | 51 |
| +10 | 36.6 |

Vendor). The IT applications analyzed were intranet, extranet, e-commerce, CRM, manufacturing resource planning, and electronic data interchange. Managers were asked about their level of usage of each of these IT applications. Using the level of use of each IT system on a five-point Likert-type scale (1 = “strongly disagree” and 5 = “strongly agree”), a formative construct was created.² Hence, an index of the level of IT use is created.

A firm’s IT human capital is a reflective construct that includes items related to the level of IT knowledge of any kind of employees and partners (employees and franchisees) and items related to the CEO’s support for the adoption and implementation of new technologies. In franchising, employees and franchisees coexist; hence, we have adapted the items related to whether the franchisor provides IT training of employees or franchisees. Items from prior research were used [61,93].

There are different ways for measuring IT outsourcing, but consultants and IT vendor support are the two most common alternatives used by SMEs [112]. IT vendors not only provide support for IT implementation, development, and training but also advice [31]. We asked about the extent to which a firm uses IT vendor support for managing IT activities. The question is measured on a five-point Likert scale [61,51–53,110]. Firms which answered that question with high values (4 or 5) reflect a high level of IT vendor support for dealing with IT activities.

Size was also included as a control variable. It was measured using the total number of outlets (company-owned and franchised outlets) of the network. The Appendix presents a summary of constructs and measures used for this study.

3.3. Common method bias

A common method bias could pose a serious problem for findings when both independent and outcome variables are collected from the same source, as in this study. Harmon’s one-factor test was conducted finding that a single factor explained 29% of the variance, while, when considering the factors of the model, the variance explained increased to 67%. Hence, the data do not have a method variance problem.

² Following the instructions of Jarvis et al. [49] to identify a formative construct, we suggest that firms may have implemented different ITs in their business activities and to a different extent; hence, we do not expect the items to correlate with one another. Furthermore, changes in the value of these items will cause changes in the value of the construct. We propose that the construct level of IT use is formative as it is an index of the different extent of use of the ITs adopted.

¹ The dataset used for this study is a subset of the larger dataset used in the authors’ recently published paper in the Journal of Business Research.

4. Results

4.1. Descriptive results

Before the econometric analysis of the model, descriptive results related to some of the variables included in the model are reported. The responding franchise chains exhibited a great range of sizes, with a maximum of 33,000 units in the U.S. and 314 units in Spain. The majority of respondents in the US sample had <100 units (55% of the sample), whereas, in Spain, the majority of chains had <25 units (53% of the sample). Looking at the use of outsourcing by country (Table 2), in the US, there is a high use of IT vendor support. In 59.36% of the firms, the level of use is above average, while only 38% of the firms show a high use of IT vendor support in the Spanish sample. This difference is also found in the comparison between countries (3.43 and 3.1 for the U.S and Spain, respectively).

4.2. Validation of the measurement scales

As formative indicators are not expected to correlate with one another and, therefore, traditional measures of validity are not appropriate, Chin [21] suggests the evaluation of the variance inflation factor (VIF) to assess multicollinearity together with the significance of the weights based on the bootstrapping technique. The formative items present VIF values lower than the limit specified [79], indicating the absence of multicollinearity (see Table 3) and the results of the significance of the weights indicate that several indicators were not significant even at the 0.1 level. But given the exploratory nature of the study and following Chin’s (1998) recommendation, these items were retained in the model to assess the strength of the construct.

The measurement model for constructs with reflective measures is assessed by looking at individual item reliability, internal consistency, and discriminant validity following the structural equation modeling (SEM) approach. The individual item reliability is evaluated by examining the loadings of the measures with the construct they intend to measure. A reliability test and an exploratory factor analysis using principal component analysis and varimax rotation were first conducted. This analysis corroborates the unidimensionality of IT human capital and the two dimensions of TO construct for each country. All factor loadings exceed the minimum acceptable value of 0.5 on each factor [17]. Table 4 shows the loadings of each item on each construct.

Table 4
Reflective constructs for U.S. firms and Spanish firms.

| Items | U.S. | | | | Spain | | | |
|---|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-------|
| | Loadings | Composite reliability | Alpha Cronbach | AVE | Loadings | Composite reliability | Alpha Cronbach | AVE |
| Technological opportunism | | 0.931 | 0.913 | 0.712 | | 0.928 | 0.920 | 0.849 |
| <i>Technology-sensing capability</i> | 0.910 | 0.891 | 0.801 | 0.723 | 0.903 | 0.9342 | 0.8945 | 0.826 |
| SENSING_1 | 0.840 | | | | 0.859 | | | |
| SENSING_2 | 0.871 | | | | 0.945 | | | |
| SENSING_3 | 0.824 | | | | 0.921 | | | |
| <i>Technology-responding capability</i> | 0.908 | 0.896 | 0.772 | 0.818 | 0.922 | 0.9025 | 0.7973 | 0.823 |
| RESPONSE_1 | 0.922 | | | | 0.953 | | | |
| RESPONSE_2 | 0.881 | | | | 0.8227 | | | |
| IT human capital | | 0.931 | 0.913 | 0.826 | | 0.9365 | 0.9204 | 0.852 |
| HUMAN_1 | 0.837 | | | | 0.772 | | | |
| HUMAN_2 | 0.731 | | | | 0.773 | | | |
| HUMAN_3 | 0.726 | | | | 0.749 | | | |
| HUMAN_4 | 0.870 | | | | 0.884 | | | |
| HUMAN_5 | 0.871 | | | | 0.847 | | | |
| HUMAN_6 | 0.854 | | | | 0.864 | | | |
| HUMAN_7 | 0.783 | | | | 0.899 | | | |
| IT vendor support | | | | | | | | |
| VENDOR | 1.00 | | | | 1.00 | | | |

Table 2
Level of use of IT vendor support by country.

| Country | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| U.S. | 4.58% | 19.3% | 16.5% | 47.7% | 11.9% |
| Spain | 18% | 13% | 31% | 17% | 21% |

Measured on a five-point Likert scale.

Table 3
Descriptive statistics, multicollinearity, and weights for formative items.

| | U.S. | | | | Spain | | | |
|------------|------|------|------|------------|-------|------|------|------------|
| | Mean | S.D | VIF | Weights | Mean | S.D | VIF | Weights |
| Extranet | 3.90 | 1.52 | 1.45 | 0.291* | 1.94 | 1.53 | 1.41 | 0.224 n.s. |
| Intranet | 2.38 | 1.70 | 1.21 | 0.436*** | 2.87 | 1.82 | 1.43 | 0.112 n.s. |
| E-commerce | 3.00 | 1.67 | 1.31 | 0.354*** | 2.17 | 1.70 | 1.28 | 0.222** |
| EDI | 2.62 | 1.77 | 1.25 | 0.279* | 1.69 | 1.41 | 1.17 | 0.297** |
| CRM | 3.36 | 1.75 | 1.41 | 0.537*** | 2.32 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 0.885*** |
| MRP | 1.28 | 0.94 | 1.11 | 0.015 n.s. | 1.41 | 1.19 | 1.40 | 0.043 n.s. |

* Significant at $p < 0.10$.
 ** Significant at $p < 0.05$.
 *** Significant at $p < 0.01$.
 n.s.: non significant.

Cronbach’s alpha exceeds the minimum acceptable value of 0.7 [76].

In our model, the composite reliability index for all constructs exceeds the minimum acceptable value of 0.7 [47], thus confirming internal consistency. As a means of evaluating discriminant validity, Fornell and Larcker [36] suggest the use of the average variance extracted (AVE). Table 4 shows that the AVE of our measures exceeds the limit of 0.6 [47] and Table 5 compares the square root of the AVE (diagonal values) with the correlations among the reflective constructs and it includes the VIF values. In our model, the assessment of discriminant validity does not indicate any problem.

4.3. Measurement invariance

Measurement invariance is required in cross-country studies in order to provide unbiased group comparisons [109]. We have to

Table 5
Discriminant validity.^a

| | Mean | S.D | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | VIF |
|---------------------------|------|------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------------|------|
| U.S. | | | | | | | |
| IT use | 2.75 | 0.97 | n.a. | | | | 1.18 |
| IT human capital | 3.81 | 0.73 | 0.402 | 0.908 | | | 1.15 |
| IT vendor support | 3.43 | 1.07 | 0.200 | 0.229 | 1 | | 1.10 |
| Technological opportunism | 3.45 | 0.78 | 0.451 | 0.682 | 0.143 | 0.844 | 1.66 |
| Spain | | | | | | | |
| IT use | 2.06 | 0.94 | n.a. | | | | 1.16 |
| IT human capital | 3.61 | 0.98 | 0.364 | 0.923 | | | 1.21 |
| IT vendor support | 3.1 | 1.37 | -0.09 | 0.034 | 1 | | 1.09 |
| Technological opportunism | 3.41 | 1.03 | 0.503 | 0.510 | 0.039 | 0.905 | 1.39 |

^a Numbers in bold are the square root of the AVE of the reflective constructs.

Table 6
Results of configural invariance using only reflective measures.

| | χ^2 | Df | CFI | RMSEA | CD |
|-------|----------|----|------|-------|-------|
| USA | 122.87 | 52 | 0.91 | 0.05 | 0.932 |
| Spain | 169.96 | 52 | 0.87 | 0.08 | 0.94 |

distinguish between measurement invariance of reflective constructs and invariance of formative constructs.

Three types of measurement invariance have to be assessed in reflective constructs: configural, factorial, and scalar. We use STATA 12 to check for measurement invariance. This program allows us to check for different types of invariance as proposed by Steenkamp and Baumgartner [109]. The results of measurement invariance are provided in Table 6. The results support configural invariance for all the constructs. Related to factorial invariance, Steenkamp and Baumgartner [109] suggested that, when some of the factor loadings turn out to be invariant across countries, one can speak of partial invariance when most of the items are invariant. Our results corroborate factor loading invariance and scalar invariance for IT human capital and technological-responding capability and partial factor loadings invariance and partial scalar invariance for technological-sensing capability (see Table 7). A more detailed analysis showed that only one of the factor loadings and one of the constants do not support invariance in each of these constructs; hence, we can conclude that technological-sensing capability is reasonably invariant.

Because formative constructs are different from reflective constructs, we cannot use the same methodology to test measurement invariance. Diamantopoulos and Papadopoulos [28] suggested the steps to follow to test measurement invariance,

Table 7
Results of measurement invariance by construct.

| | | χ^2 | Df | $\Delta\chi^2$ test | Δ Df | CFI | RMSEA | CD |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----|---------------------|-------------|------|-------|------|
| IT human capital | Fully constraint | 161.7*** | 40 | | | 0.88 | 0.07 | 0.94 |
| | Factor loadings constraint | | | 5.57 n.s. | 6 | | | |
| | Scalar constraint | | | 7.28 n.s. | 6 | | | |
| Technological-sensing | Fully constraint | 13.18*** | 4 | | | 0.97 | 0.08 | 0.89 |
| | Factor loading constraint | | | 8.40** | 2 | | | |
| | Scalar constraint | | | 5.80* | 2 | | | |
| Technological-responding | Fully constraint | 7.02*** | 2 | | | 0.98 | 0.09 | 0.84 |
| | Factor loading constraint | | | 1.19 n.s. | 1 | | | |
| | Scalar constraint | | | 2.84 n.s. | 1 | | | |

* Significant at $p < 0.10$.

** Significant at $p < 0.05$.

*** Significant at $p < 0.01$.

n.s.: non-significant.

by carrying out three types of analysis: structure invariance, slope invariance, and residual invariance. Structure invariance refers to the structure of the formative construct. Thus, the same nonzero indicators should be in each construct because the meaning of the formative construct depends on its indicators. This is the weakest form of invariance. Slope invariance is related to the magnitude of each indicator. Finally, residual invariance implies that the same amount of the variance of the formative construct is explained across countries. Looking at the structure of the formative construct and the weights of each indicator (Table 3), there is enough evidence to conclude that measurement invariance is not supported between the U.S. and Spain. First, structure invariance is not supported. Therefore, the meaning of the constructs is different and data have to be analyzed separately on a country-by-country basis. The different composition of the formative construct reveals that franchise chains have adopted different technologies depending on the country.

4.4. Structural model analysis and hypotheses testing

Tables 8 and 9 show the results for the U.S. and Spain, respectively. We used STATA 12.0 to analyze the hypotheses proposed. Because formative and reflective constructs were involved in the interaction effects, the model was tested in two steps. First, the model was run without the interaction effects to obtain the latent variable scores. Then, the latent variable scores were used in the second step to run the model. Comparing the different models for each country analyzed, the model with the highest level of variance explained is the one that includes the two moderating effects in the Spanish sample and the one with just the moderating effect of the IT use in the U.S. sample. The triple interaction effect does not add significant value in either of the countries analyzed.

The results suggest that a higher level of IT usage has a positive effect on TO, thus confirming H1 for both the U.S. and Spain. A firm's IT human capital has a positive and significant effect on TO, thereby confirming H2 for both countries. For the U.S., the quadratic coefficient of IT vendor support was positive and significant while, for the Spanish sample, no quadratic effect was found. Thus, H3 was rejected for both countries.

The next hypothesis, H4, is related to the moderating effect of IT use on the relationship between IT vendor support and the firm's level of technological TO capabilities. For a better analysis of the results, Figs. 2 and 3 illustrate the moderating effect of the level of IT use. In the Spanish sample, a negative and significant effect of the interaction between IT use and IT vendor support is found. As was proposed, the effect of a high level of IT vendor support is more negative for firms with a higher level of IT use than for those with a lower level of IT use, confirming H4. Similarly, in the U.S., a positive

Table 8
Results for the U.S. sample.

| Technological opportunism | U.S. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Coeff. | Coeff. | Coeff. | Coeff. | Coeff. | Coeff. |
| IT use | 0.128 [*] | 0.118 [*] | 0.089 | 0.131 [*] | 0.05 | 0.051 |
| IT human capital | 0.634 ^{***} | 0.642 ^{***} | 0.605 ^{***} | 0.615 ^{***} | 0.635 ^{***} | 0.649 ^{***} |
| IT vendor support | -0.018 | 0.064 | 0.049 | 0.065 | 0.05 | 0.058 |
| IT vendor support squared | | 0.138 ^{**} | 0.128 [*] | 0.144 ^{**} | 0.125 [*] | 0.121 [*] |
| IT use × IT vendor support | | | 0.08 | | 0.007 | 0.078 |
| IT use × IT vendor support squared | | | 0.111 [*] | | 0.104 [*] | 0.107 [*] |
| IT human capital × IT vendor support | | | | -0.02 | -0.08 | -0.08 |
| IT human capital × IT vendor support squared | | | | 0.09 | -0.02 | -0.03 |
| IT human capital × IT vendor support × IT use | | | | | | -0.005 |
| IT human capital × IT vendor support squared × IT use | | | | | | 0.007 |
| Size | 0.07 ^{**} | 0.07 ^{**} | 0.06 [*] | 0.06 [*] | 0.058 [*] | 0.058 [*] |
| Constant | -0.02 | -0.138 | -0.138 | -0.143 | -0.187 | -0.231 |
| R ² | 0.483 | 0.503 | 0.507 | 0.503 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| AIC | 247.48 | 245.17 | 248.0 | 248.9 | 251.4 | 255.4 |
| BIC | 260.90 | 261.32 | 269.6 | 270.5 | 278.3 | 257.7 |
| F | 30.28 ^{***} | 28.08 ^{***} | 21.21 ^{***} | 20.42 ^{***} | 16.76 ^{***} | 15.6 ^{***} |

^{*} Significant at 0.10.
^{**} Significant at 0.05.
^{***} Significant at 0.01.

and significant interaction between the level of IT use and IT vendor support is obtained. For firms with a high level of IT use, the use of IT vendor support shows a positive quadratic effect; hence, firms should reach a required level of use of IT vendor support for finding a complementarity between IT use and IT vendor support. However, for U.S. firms with a low level of IT use, a negative linear effect is found. Contrary to what we expected, increasing the level of IT vendor support is more positive for firms with a higher level of IT use than for those with a lower level of IT use. Thus, H4 was rejected.

Analyzing the moderating effect of the firm's IT human capital on IT vendor support, the interaction showed a different pattern for each country and in each model. In Spain, this moderating effect has a positive and significant coefficient, thus indicating that the two resources are complementary while, for the U.S. sample, the quadratic two-way interaction was not significant. The moderating effect of the firm's IT human capital is illustrated graphically in Figs. 4 and 5. In these figures, it can be seen that, in Spain, increasing the level of use of IT vendor support is positive for firms with a high level of IT human capital and negative for firms with a low level of IT human capital. In the U.S. sample, increasing the level of IT external support has a positive effect, whatever the level of the firm's IT human capital. Therefore, H5 was confirmed for the Spanish sample and rejected for the U.S. sample.

Table 9
Results for the Spanish sample.

| Technological opportunism | Spain | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Coeff. | Coeff. | Coeff. | Coeff. | Coeff. | Coeff. |
| IT use index | 0.384 ^{***} | 0.388 ^{***} | 0.38 ^{***} | 0.377 ^{***} | 0.374 ^{***} | 0.366 ^{***} |
| IT human capital | 0.359 ^{***} | 0.352 ^{***} | 0.356 ^{***} | 0.361 ^{***} | 0.360 ^{***} | 0.361 ^{***} |
| IT vendor support | 0.002 | 0.028 | 0.024 | 0.016 | 0.015 | -0.02 |
| IT vendor support squared | | 0.039 | | | | |
| IT use × IT vendor support | | | -0.125 [*] | | -0.128 [*] | -0.146 [*] |
| IT human capital × IT vendor support | | | | 0.178 [*] | 0.186 ^{**} | 0.214 [*] |
| IT human capital × IT vendor support × IT use | | | | | | 0.088 |
| Size | -0.05 | -0.05 | -0.05 | -0.02 | -0.02 | -0.02 |
| Constant | 0.000 | -0.03 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.003 |
| R ² | 0.389 | 0.390 | 0.395 | 0.411 | 0.429 | 0.431 |
| AIC | 244.47 | 246.27 | 245.7 | 242.7 | 242.5 | 243.4 |
| BIC | 257.50 | 261.90 | 261.4 | 258.3 | 260.7 | 264.3 |
| F | 20.21 ^{***} | 19.8 ^{***} | 15.9 ^{***} | 16.09 ^{**} | 13.7 ^{***} | 12.99 ^{***} |

^{*} Significant at 0.10.
^{**} Significant at 0.05.
^{***} Significant at 0.01.

For the triple interaction between the level of IT use, IT vendor support, and the firm's IT human capital, the results are illustrated graphically in Figs. 6 and 7. In the U.S., a high level of IT vendor support has a more positive effect for firms having a higher level of human capital than those having a lower level of human capital when the firm shows a high level of IT use. Similarly, for firms with a low level of IT use, a high level of IT vendor support has a less negative effect if the firm has a higher level of human capital. In the U.S., although the coefficient of the triple interaction was not significant, the graphical illustration suggests that H6 is accepted. In the Spanish sample, for firms with a high level of IT use, the negative effect of a high level of IT vendor support is greater if the firm has a lower level of human capital than with a higher level of human capital. Similarly, for firms with low IT use, a high level of IT vendor support has a more positive impact if the firm has a higher level of human capital. Although the coefficient of the triple interaction is not significant, the graphical illustration of this effect suggests that there is a different effect of IT vendor support and IT use on TO capabilities as the firm's IT human capital increases. Thus, H6 is confirmed.

Finally, with respect to the control variables, in Spain, size has no significant effect on TO while, in the U.S., it has a significant positive effect; hence, larger firms have a higher level of TO capabilities.




Fig. 2. Moderating effect of IT use for Spanish sample. TO: technological capability.




Fig. 4. Moderating effect of internal IT knowledge for the Spanish sample. TO: technological capability; HC: firm's IT human capital.

5. Discussion of findings

While there is ample theoretical and empirical research on the effect and relevance of firms' IT human capital for developing IT capabilities, little is known about the impact of external sources of knowledge on capabilities [123,128] and their combination with internal resources. In this research, using data from two surveys in the U.S. and Spain, the effect of the level of the firm's IT human capital and the level of IT vendor support on the development of TO was investigated. In addition, the complementarities between these two sources of knowledge and abilities and the level of use of ITs were examined. This study provides new insights into the effect of IT outsourcing, more precisely, of IT vendor support, on the firm's capabilities and into how some moderating variables change that effect.

Following the RBV, it was found that the use of different technologies enables the development of capabilities to sense and respond to IT changes, regardless of whether they are used by internal or external staff. The use of IT leads to the generation of different types of expertise and tacit knowledge, such as how to use the software and how to extract greater benefits from IT applications. This finding confirms other research that suggests that although IT, per se, does not generate capabilities, its use entails the development of knowledge-based capabilities [9,70,100]. This result provides support to the theoretical idea that ITs allow sensing and responding to technological changes as has been suggested in previous research [81].

The KBV also suggests that knowledge is a relevant intangible resource that leads to the creation of more complex capabilities. In

relation to the firm's internal knowledge, evidence of the positive impact of internal capabilities was found. This supports previous findings that a firm's IT human capital is a key determinant of the TO capability [107]. Our study confirms the importance of investing in in-house-retained IT capabilities to enhance the development of sensing and responding to IT changes.

Outsourcing is an instrument to access external knowledge resources that improves the firm's capabilities and that reduces costs. We have argued that relying heavily on IT vendor support might hurt the firm's capabilities development as was found in previous research [44]. However, our findings have not found an inverted U-shaped relationship between IT vendor support and the firm's TO capability in either of the countries analyzed. In the US sample, the relationship between IT vendor support and TO capability was U shaped, and not inverted U shaped. Therefore, for the US sample, a high level of IT vendor support has positive effects on the development of TO capability. Results of the Spanish sample suggest that there is neither a curvilinear effect of IT vendor support on TO capability, nor a negative linear effect. Thus, IT vendor support is not an instrument that helps create capabilities. In this country, IT vendor support has a nonsignificant effect by itself.

Although the combination of internal and external sources of knowledge has been analyzed in other decisions, such as R&D outsourcing [18,44], and although the complementary nature of the two resources has been confirmed [9,42,94], little is known about the effect of an IT vendor support and its combination with




Fig. 3. Moderating effect of IT use for the U.S. TO: technological capability.





Fig. 5. Moderating effect of internal IT knowledge for the U.S. TO: technological capability; HC: firm's IT human capital.




TO: technological capability ; HC: firm's IT human capital

Fig. 6. Three-way interaction effects for the Spanish sample. TO: technological capability; HC: firm's IT human capital.

the level of IT use and the level of the firm's internal knowledge. Our findings depend on the country analyzed.

In the Spanish sample, internal and external IT knowledge mutually reinforce their effectiveness in the development of TO capability [114]. For having a high level of IT vendor support to be an effective strategy for developing capabilities, a greater level of knowledge sharing and collaboration is required, as established in prior studies [14,27,108,117]. Hence, the combination of these knowledge resources will develop tacit and firm-specific knowledge that is valuable for the firm. However, these results should be taken with caution. These findings may also hide the fact that the decision to use external personnel evolves over time [25], that it may depend on some moderating variables such as the level of information asymmetry between the parties [68] and that the use of external personnel is selective [55,75].

Our research suggests that the level of use of ITs moderates the effect of IT vendor support. Our results show that the disadvantages of relying heavily on IT vendor support increase when this strategy is applied in firms with an intensive IT use. The level of use



TO: technological capability ; HC: firm's IT human capital

Fig. 7. Three-way interaction effects for the U.S. TO: technological capability; HC: firm's IT human capital.

of ITs is a proxy for the importance that IT activities have for the firm. According to the RBV and the KBV, core activities are less likely to be outsourced compared to non-core activities [4,31,58,68,69,71,123]. Our results for the Spanish sample are in line with previous research. If firms use ITs intensively, vertical integration is preferred for developing the TO capability. However, for the U.S. sample, the contrary effect is found. These results should be taken with caution as this effect is moderated by the firm's IT human capital. The triple interaction of these variables provides more detailed information on the effect of IT vendor support. The combination of the three resources suggests that, in both countries, when ITs are used intensively, a high level of IT vendor support has a positive effect on TO capability when the firm also has a high level of IT human capital. In this situation, the capacity of the firm for using internal and external knowledge, and putting the use of that knowledge into practice, is essential for building the TO capability.

The results offer contributions to different areas of study. The first contribution is to the area of RBV and KBV literature and new technologies. The findings confirm that the use of technologies generates capabilities that are useful for increasing the level of a firm's TO capability. In addition, evidence of the importance of in-house-retained capabilities for generating a complex capability such as sensing and responding to IT changes is offered. An important contribution is the impact of IT vendor support on the development of capabilities, an effect that is moderated by the level of IT use and the level of the firm's IT human capital. This paper provides new insights about the three-way interaction of these variables in two different countries. However, because many differences are found by country, more research is needed in additional countries and in different sectors to confirm our results and conclude that the effect of an IT vendor support is country dependent.

This paper also contributes specifically to the franchising literature in several ways. First, it empirically studies the level of use of ITs. Not all ITs are used with the same intensity by franchise chains, thus confirming previous research [97]. It is worth noting that Rao and Frazer conducted this study 10 years ago and in Australia. The study analyzes the content of the webs of franchise chains and provides information (mean, standard deviation, and proportions) about the use of web-related ITs. Since then, there has been further research about the adoption of ITs, mainly in the U.S. and Australia. Some of these studies addressed the impact and advantages of ITs in franchising from a theoretical point of view [85,86]. In recent years, research has evolved and used regressions and more advanced statistics to analyze the determinants of e-commerce adoption in the U.S., Australia, and some European countries [23,65,87] and to study social media network adoption [88]. To our knowledge, there is no research in the franchising literature about the influence of IT use on the development of dynamic capabilities. This paper provides evidence of how franchise firms can develop a specific IT capability: TO. Second, our paper furthers research about IT knowledge management and its relationship with capability development. Knowledge management strategies are essential in franchising because franchisors have to develop IT knowledge not only among their employees, but also among their franchisees. Furthermore, our research contributes by analyzing IT vendor support as a type of IT outsourcing. The paper provides some guidance about when a high level of IT vendor support can develop the firm's capabilities for sensing and responding to technological advances.

Our third contribution to the literature is related to the international context of the analysis. This study is carried out in two different countries, the U.S. and Spain. The results show that Spanish franchisors and American franchisors may benefit from a high level of IT vendor support in different ways.

5.1. Managerial implications

Sensing and responding to technological changes are complex capabilities determined by the in-house-retained knowledge of the firm, the use of the new technologies and, in some cases, by IT outsourcing. Our results are relevant for managers of IT outsourcing processes. Although IT outsourcing is a common strategy in SMEs, managers should be aware that although outsourcing has some advantages, a high dependence on IT vendor support may have some disadvantages depending on the circumstances.

This study's results confirm the idea that for an effective use of IT vendor support, the firm should have developed adequate in-house knowledge [14,44,108,114]. Franchisors should have a technology-oriented strategy and provide IT training for their employees and franchisees. To generate the TO capability requires a collaboration between IT vendors and the firm's employees in order to efficiently allocate resources. In addition, firms have to evaluate the strategic importance of their IT use before deciding whether to outsource.

According to our results, managers should evaluate their in-house IT knowledge to decide whether they can depend on their internal capabilities before deciding to opt for IT vendor support for managing their ITs. Not doing so may negatively affect the generation of capabilities instead of helping to achieve greater success. Our research has also demonstrated the importance of the level of IT use on the effect of IT vendor support. The more intense the IT use, the lower the creation of capabilities when the firm opts for a high level of IT vendor support (in the Spanish case), unless the firm has an internal IT knowledge base. This IT knowledge base allows the integration of external knowledge, thus creating tacit and valuable knowledge.

Our results also provide some guidance to IT vendors that want to offer their services to U.S. franchise chains and Spanish chains. The differences observed between these countries show how franchise chains approach outsourcing decisions in each country. IT vendors that want to offer their services to the franchise chains in Spain have to emphasize their technical expertise and the complementarity of their services with the internal IT knowledge more than those in the U.S. IT vendors will be in a better position in the U.S. market where managers obtain benefits as they increase the level of IT activities outsourced.

5.2. Limitations and future research

Our study has several limitations. First, this study focuses on a specific type of business, franchises, and on only two countries. Our results may be generalized to organizations with similar characteristics to those that responded to the survey. Our findings show that there are differences in the use of IT vendor support across countries. A different industry sector as a basis for a similar study could provide different results. In addition, IT vendor support has been measured using a single item; hence, a more developed construct should be considered in future research. The study has not included the quality of the vendor support, its adequacy, or its specific use for some ITs and not others. Second, a cross-sectional design is a limitation because results are usually more robust if observations are considered over time, rather than as a snapshot in time. IT vendors and their relationship with firm staff may vary over time and the decision as to what kind of IT services to outsource could be a temporal issue. Besides, we have used a single informant to answer the questionnaire. Including the perceptions of other IT personnel could improve the results and should be considered in further research. Finally, sample size is another limitation. Although an adequate number of answers was received in Spain, the response rate in the U.S. was relatively low.

6. Conclusions

Several authors have suggested the need to focus on TO as a capability that increases firm performance [19,65,102,121]. However, little is known about how firms can increase the level of this important capability or which resources are relevant. The interest of IT outsourcing for management research is increasing, but little is known about the impact of a high level of IT outsourcing on the development of capabilities [123,128]. This study contributes to the literature by shedding light on the effect of a high use of IT vendor support and how this effect depends on the level of IT use and the level of the firm's IT human capital. A relevant contribution of this research is to show that country context plays an important role in the generation of firm's capabilities and in the effect of IT outsourcing.

Using data from two surveys in the U.S. and Spain, it was found that IT use increases a firm's TO capability. A high use of IT vendor support also helps create TO capability if the firm has an intensive use of ITs and IT knowledge. The involvement of the firm's personnel in outsourced activities has a positive effect on the TO capability, which allows firms to increase their in-house-retained capabilities and reduce the disadvantages of a high use of IT vendor support. The results contribute with new insights into the complementarity of internal and external resources, focusing on the effect of a high use of IT outsourcing in SMEs in a cross-country study. Results show that the U.S. and Spanish companies differ in their management of IT outsourcing, although, in both countries, advantages are found when it is combined with IT human capital and IT use.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank AE as well as two anonymous referees for their guidance during the revision process. The authors express their gratitude for financial support received from MINECO with the project ECO2014-54760, from the Regional Government and European Social Funding (Fondo Social Europeo) (Generes S09); and Laura Lucia-Palacios and Victoria Bordonaba-Juste appreciate financial support from the program "Ayudas a la Investigación en Ciencias Sociales, Fundación Ramón Areces."

Appendix

List of measures

| Item | |
|------------|--|
| | IT human capital |
| HUMAN_1 | Top management is aware of the benefits of ITs. |
| HUMAN_2 | The owner or manager has allocated resources for IT adoption. |
| HUMAN_3 | Top management actively encourages employees to use ITs in their daily tasks. |
| HUMAN_4 | Top management is knowledgeable about ITs. |
| HUMAN_5 | Our company provides staff training courses on ITs. |
| HUMAN_6 | Our employees are knowledgeable about the use of ITs. |
| HUMAN_7 | Our franchisees are knowledgeable about the use of ITs. |
| | Level of use of IT vendor support |
| VENDOR | Our company uses specialized external IT vendor support. |
| | Technological opportunism |
| | <i>Technological-sensing capability</i> |
| SENSING_1 | We are often one of the first in our industry to detect technological developments that may potentially affect our business. |
| SENSING_2 | We actively seek intelligence on technological changes in the environment that are likely to affect our business. |
| SENSING_3 | We periodically review the likely effect of changes in technology on our business. |
| | <i>Technological-responding capability</i> |
| RESPONSE_1 | We generally respond very quickly to technological changes in the environment. |

Appendix (Continued)

| Item | |
|------------|---|
| RESPONSE_2 | We tend to resist new technologies that cause our current investments to lose value. |
| IT USE | Level of IT use Our firm uses intensively (Extranet/Intranet/e-commerce/EDI/CRM/MRP). |
| SIZE | Size Total number of outlets (franchised and company-owned outlets). |

References

- [1] R. Agarwal, H.D. Lucas, The information systems identity crisis: focusing on high-visibility and high-impact research, *MIS Q.* 29 (3), 2005, pp. 381–398.
- [2] N.A.Y. Al-Qirim, E-commerce in the aerial mapping industry: a New Zealand case study, *J. Syst. Inf. Technol.* 7 (1/2), 2003, pp. 67–92. doi:10.1108/13287260380000774.
- [3] R. Angeles, Revisiting the role of Internet-EDI in the current electronic commerce scene, *Logist. Inf. Manag.* 13 (1/2), 2000, pp. 45–57. doi:10.1108/09576050010306396.
- [4] B. Bahli, S. Rivard, Validating measures of information technology outsourcing risk factors, *Omega* 33 (2), 2005, pp. 175–187. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2004.04.003.
- [5] R.H. Baker, *Extranets: complete Guide to Business to Business Electronic Commerce*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1997.
- [6] J.B. Barney, Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage, *J. Manag.* 17 (1), 1991, pp. 99–120. doi:10.1177/014920639101700108.
- [7] J. Barthélemy, D. Geyer, The determinants of total IT outsourcing: an empirical investigation of French and German firms, *J. Comput. Inf. Syst.* 44 (3), 2004, pp. 91–97.
- [8] J.A. Belso-Martínez, F.X. Molina-Morales, F. Mas-Verdu, Combining effects of internal resources entrepreneur characteristics and KIS on new firms, *J. Bus. Res.* 66, 2013, pp. 2079–2089. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.02.034.
- [9] A.S. Bharadwaj, A resource-based perspective on information technology capability and firm performance: an empirical investigation, *MIS Q.* 24 (1), 2000, pp. 169–196. doi:10.2307/3250983.
- [10] V. Bordonaba-Juste, L. Lucia-Palacios, Y. Polo-Redondo, Determinants of firm size in the franchise distribution system. Empirical evidence from the Spanish market, *Eur. J. Market.* 4 (1–2), 2011, pp. 170–190. doi:10.1108/0309056111095649.
- [11] A. Boynton, R. Zmud, G. Jacobs, The influence of IT management practice on IT use in large organizations, *MIS Q.* 18, 1994, pp. 299–320. doi:10.2307/249620.
- [12] M. Broadbent, P. Weill, Management by Maxim: how business and IT managers can create IT infrastructure, *Sloan Manag. Rev.* 38 (3), 1997, pp. 77–92.
- [13] G. Buonanno, P. Faverio, F. Pigni, A. Ravarini, D. Sciuto, M. Tagliavini, Factors affecting ERP system adoption: a comparative analysis between SMEs and large companies, *J. Enterprise Inf. Manag.* 18 (4), 2005, pp. 384–426. doi:10.1108/17410390510609572.
- [14] M.G. Butler, C.M. Callahan, Human resource outsourcing: market and operating performance effects of administrative HR functions, *J. Bus. Res.* 67, 2014, pp. 218–224. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.09.026.
- [15] T.A. Byrd, D.E. Turner, An exploratory examination of the relationship between flexible IT infrastructure and competitive advantage, *Inf. Manag.* 39 (1), 2001, pp. 41–52. doi:10.1016/S0378-7206(01)00078-7.
- [16] F. Calza, R. Passaro, EDI network and logistics management at Unilver-Sagit, *Supply Chain Manag.* 2 (4), 1997, pp. 158–170.
- [17] E. Carmines, R. Zeller, *Reliability and Validity Assessment*. Sage University Paper Series on Quantitative Application in the Social Sciences 07-017. Sage, Beverly Hills, CA, 1979.
- [18] B. Cassiman, R. Veugelers, In search of complementarity in innovation strategy: internal R&D and external knowledge acquisition, *Manag. Sci.* 52 (1), 2006, pp. 68–82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1050.0470.
- [19] C.W. Chen, N.H. Lien, Technological opportunism and firm performance: moderating contexts, *J. Bus. Res.* 66, 2013, pp. 2218–2225. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.02.001.
- [20] A.N.K. Chen, S. Sen, B.B.M. Shao, Strategies for effective Web services adoption for dynamic e-businesses, *Decis. Support Syst.* 42 (2), 2006, pp. 789–809. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2005.05.011.
- [21] W.W. Chin, The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling, in: G.A. Marcoulides (Ed.), *Modern Methods for Business Research*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ, 1998, pp. 295–336.
- [22] V. Choudhury, R. Sabherwal, Portfolios of control in outsourced software development projects, *Inf. Syst. Res.* 14 (3), 2003, pp. 291–314. http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/isre.14.3.291.16563.
- [23] G. Cliquet, E. Voropanova, E-commerce and encroachment: evidence from French franchise networks, EMNet Conference, November 21–23, 2013.
- [24] W.M. Cohen, D.A. Levinthal, Absorptive capacity: a new perspective on learning and innovation, *Adm. Sci. Q.* 35, 1990, pp. 128–152. doi:10.2307/2393553.
- [25] S. Cullen, P.B. Seddon, L.P. Willcocks, IT outsourcing configuration: research into defining and designing outsourcing arrangements, *J. Strateg. Inf. Syst.* 14 (4), 2005, pp. 357–387. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2005.07.001.
- [26] D. De Clercq, D. Dimov, Internal knowledge development and external knowledge access in venture capital investment performance, *J. Manag. Stud.* 45 (3), 2008, pp. 585–612. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6486.2007.00747.x.
- [27] G. De Vita, A. Tekaya, C.L. Wang, Asset specificity's impact on outsourcing relationship performance: a disaggregated analysis by buyer-supplier asset specificity dimensions, *J. Bus. Res.* 63, 2010, pp. 657–666.
- [28] A. Diamantopoulos, N. Papadopoulos, Assessing the cross-national invariance of formative measures: guidelines for international business researchers, *J. Int. Bus. Stud.* 41, 2010, pp. 360–370. http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2009.
- [29] D.A. Dillman, *Mail and Internet Surveys*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, 2000.
- [30] N.B. Duncan, Capturing flexibility of information technology infrastructure: a study of resource characteristics and their measure, *JMIS* 12 (2), 1995, pp. 37–57.
- [31] M.J. Earl, The risks of outsourcing IT, *Sloan Manag. Rev.* 37, 1996, pp. 26–32.
- [32] L.M. Ellram, G. Zsidisin, Factors that drive purchasing and supply management's use of information technology, *IEEE Eng. Manag.* 49 (3), 2002, pp. 269–281. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2002.803381.
- [33] T.F. Espino-Rodríguez, V. Padrón-Robaina, A review of outsourcing from the resource-based view of the firm, *Int. J. Manag. Rev.* 8 (1), 2006, pp. 49–70. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2370.2006.00120.x.
- [34] D. Feeny, L. Willcocks, Core IS capabilities for exploiting IT, *Sloan Manag. Rev.* 39 (3), 1998, pp. 1–26, 9–21.
- [35] J. Fisher, R. Hirschheim, R. Jacobs, Understanding the outsourcing learning curve: a longitudinal analysis of a large Australian company, *Inf. Syst. Front.* 10, 2008, pp. 165–178. doi:10.1007/s10796-008-9070-y.
- [36] C. Fornell, D.F. Larcker, Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error, *J. Market. Res.* 18 (1), 1981, pp. 9–50. doi:10.2307/3151312.
- [37] Fundación Orange, *eEspaña. Informe anual sobre el desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España*, 2011. http://fundacionorange.es/.
- [38] G. Garrison, An assessment of organizational size and sense and response capability on the early adoption of disruptive technology, *CHB* 25 (2), 2009, pp. 444–449. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2008.10.007.
- [39] A. Gopal, K. Sivaramakrishnan, M. Krishnan, T. Mukhopadhyay, Contracts in offshore software development: an empirical analysis, *Manag. Sci.* 49 (12), 2003, pp. 1671–1683.
- [40] E.E. Grandon, J.M. Pearson, Electronic commerce adoption: an empirical study of small and medium US businesses, *Inf. Manag.* 42 (1), 2004, pp. 197–216. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2003.12.010.
- [41] R.M. Grant, The resource-based theory of competitive advantage: implications for strategy formulation, *Calif. Manag. Rev.* 33, 1991, pp. 114–135.
- [42] R.M. Grant, C. Baden-Fuller, A knowledge accessing theory of strategic alliances, *J. Manag. Stud.* 41 (1), 2004, pp. 61–84. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6486.2004.00421.x.
- [43] C. Gray, Absorptive capacity, knowledge management and innovation in entrepreneurial small firms, *Int. J. Entrep. Behav. Res.* 12 (6), 2006, pp. 345–360. doi:10.1108/13552550610710144.
- [44] C. Grimpe, U. Kaiser, Balancing internal and external knowledge acquisition: the gains and pains from R&D outsourcing, *J. Manag. Stud.* 47 (8), 2010, pp. 1483–1509. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6486.2010.00946.x.
- [45] M. Grünhagen, R. DiPietro, R.E. Stassen, L. Frazer, The effective delivery of franchisor services: a comparison of U.S. and German support practices for franchisees, *J. Market. Channels* 15 (4), 2008, pp. 315–335. doi:10.1080/10466690802064034.
- [46] A. Gunasekaran, E. Ngai, The successful management of a small logistics company, *Int. J. Phys. Distrib. Logist. Manag.* 33 (9), 2003, pp. 825–842. doi:10.1108/0960030310503352.
- [47] J.F. Hair, R.E. Anderson, R.L. Tatham, W.C. Black, *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 5th ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1998.
- [48] B. Hernández, J. Jiménez, M.J. Martín, Extending the technology acceptance model to include the IT decision-maker: a study of business management software, *Technovation* 28 (3), 2008, pp. 112–121. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2007.11.002.
- [49] C.B. Jarvis, S.B. MacKenzie, P.M. Podsakoff, A critical review of construct indicators and measurement model misspecification in marketing and consumer research, *J. Consum. Res.* 30 (2), 2003, pp. 199–218. doi:10.1086/376806.
- [50] T.R. Kayworth, D. Chatterjee, V. Sambamurthy, Theoretical justification for IT infrastructure investments, *Inf. Resour. Manag. J.* 14 (3), 2001, pp. 5–14. http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/irmj.2001070101.
- [51] M. Kotabe, Efficiency vs. effectiveness orientation of global sourcing strategy: a comparison of US and Japanese multinational companies, *Acad. Manag. Exec.* 12 (4), 1998, pp. 107–119.
- [52] M. Kotabe, M.J. Mol, Outsourcing and financial performance: a negative curvilinear effect, *J. Purch. Supply Manag.* 15, 2009, pp. 205–213.
- [53] M. Kotabe, M.J. Mol, J.Y. Murray, Outsourcing, performance, and the role of e-commerce: a dynamic perspective, *Ind. Market. Manag.* 37, 2008, pp. 37–45.
- [54] R. Kumar, Supply chain management practices in retailing: a consumer perception study in Varanasi region, *Int. J. Market. Financ. Serv. Manag. Res.* 1 (12), 2012. Available online at www.indianresearchjournals.com.
- [55] M. Lacity, L.P. Willcocks, An empirical investigation of information technology sourcing practices: lessons from experience, *MIS Q.* 22 (3), 1998, pp. 363–408. doi:10.2307/249670.
- [56] M. Lacity, L.P. Willcocks, D.F. Feeny, Commercializing the back office at Lloyds of London: outsourcing and strategic partnerships revisited, *Eur. Manag. J.* 22 (2), 2004, pp. 127–140. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2004.01.016.
- [57] M. Lacity, L. Willcocks, D. Feeny, The value of selective IT outsourcing, *Sloan Manag. Rev.* Spring, 1996, pp. 13–25.
- [58] M. Lacity, S.A. Khan, L.P. Willcocks, A review of the IT outsourcing literature: insights for practice, *J. Strateg. Inf.* 18 (3), 2009, pp. 130–146. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2009.06.002.

- [59] G. Lee, W. Xia, Organizational size and IT innovation adoption: a meta-analysis, *Inf. Manag.* 43 (8), 2006, pp. 975–985. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2006.09.003>.
- [60] D.M.S. Lee, E.M. Trauth, D. Farwell, Critical skills and knowledge requirements of IS professionals: a joint academic/industry investigation, *MIS Q.* 19 (3), 1995, pp. 313–340. doi:10.2307/249598.
- [61] H.F. Lin, S.M. Lin, Determinants of e-business diffusion: a test of the technology diffusion perspective, *Technovation* 28 (3), 2008, pp. 135–145. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2007.10.003>.
- [62] L. Loh, N. Venkatraman, Diffusion of information technology outsourcing: influence sources and the Kodak effect, *Inf. Syst. Res.* 3 (4), 1992, pp. 334–358. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/isre.3.4.334>.
- [63] S.J. London, C.J. Dommeyer, Increasing response rates to industrial mail surveys, *Ind. Market. Manag.* 19 (3), 1990, pp. 235–241. doi:10.1016/0019-8501(90)90015-N.
- [64] C. López-Nicolas, P. Soto-Acosta, Analyzing ICT adoption and use effects on knowledge creation: an empirical investigation in SMEs, *Int. J. Inf. Manag.* 30 (6), 2010, pp. 521–528. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2010.03.004>.
- [65] L. Lucia-Palacios, V. Bordonaba-Juste, Y. Polo-Redondo, M. Grünhagen, Technological opportunism effects on IT adoption, intra-firm diffusion and performance: evidence from the U.S. and Spain, *J. Bus. Res.* 67, 2014, pp. 1178–1188. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.05.004>.
- [66] L. Lucia-Palacios, V. Bordonaba-Juste, Y. Polo-Redondo, M. Grünhagen, Internet-based technologies adoption and performance: analysis of mediating factors, *Internet Res.* 24 (2), 2014, pp. 223–245. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IntR-09-2012-0195>.
- [67] A. McAfee, When too much it knowledge is a dangerous thing, *Sloan Manag. Rev.* 44 (2), 2003, pp. 83–89.
- [68] I.P. McCarthy, B.S. Silvestre, J.H. Kietzmann, Understanding outsourcing contexts through information asymmetry and capability fit, *Prod. Plan. Control* 24 (4–5), 2013, pp. 277–283. doi:10.1080/09537287.2011.648765.
- [69] K. McLellan, B.L. Marcolin, P.W. Beamish, Financial and strategic motivations behind IS outsourcing, *J. Inf. Technol.* 10, 1995, pp. 299–321. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/jit.1995.32>.
- [70] N. Melville, K. Kraemer, V. Gurbaxani, Information technology and organizational performance: an integrative model of IT business value, *MIS Q.* 28 (2), 2004, pp. 283–322.
- [71] M. Miozzo, D. Grimshaw, Modularity and innovation in knowledge-intensive business services: IT outsourcing in Germany and the UK, *Res. Policy* 34, 2005, pp. 1419–1439. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2005.06.005>.
- [72] M.J. Mol, Does being R&D intensive still discourage outsourcing?: evidence from Dutch manufacturing Res. *Policy* 34 (4), 2005, pp. 571–582. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2005.03.007>.
- [73] M.J. Moon, D.F. Norris, Does managerial orientation matter? The adoption of reinventing government and e-government at the municipal level *Inform. Syst. J.* 15 (1), 2005, pp. 43–60.
- [74] W.D. Neill, J.E. Richard, Intranet portals: marketing and managing individuals' acceptance and use, *Australas. Market. J.* (AMJ) 20 (2), 2012, pp. 147–157.
- [75] S. Nevo, M.R. Wade, W.D. Cook, An examination of the trade-off between internal and external IT capabilities, *J. Strateg. Inf. Syst.* 16 (1), 2007, pp. 5–23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2006.10.002>.
- [76] J. Nunnally, *Psychometric Theory*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1978.
- [77] L.B. Oh, H.H. Teo, V. Sambamurthy, The effects of retail channel integration through the use of information technologies on firm performance, *J. Oper. Manag.* 30 (5), 2012, pp. 368–381. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2012.03.001>.
- [78] T. Oliveira, M.F. Martins, Understanding e-business adoption across industries in European countries, *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.* 110 (9), 2010, pp. 1337–1354. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02635571011087428>.
- [79] Olmo J.M.C., Jamilena D.M.F., Regresión lineal, Martínez T.L. (coord.), *Técnicas de análisis de datos en investigación de mercados*, Editorial Pirámide, Madrid, 2000, pp. 247–280.
- [80] T. Ostgaard, S. Birley, New venture growth and personal networks, *J. Bus. Res.* 36 (1), 1996, pp. 37–50. doi:10.1016/0148-2963(95)00161-1.
- [81] E. Overby, A. Bharadwaj, V. Sambamurthy, Enterprise agility and the enabling role of information technology, *Eur. J. Inf. Syst.* 15, 2006, pp. 120–131. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ejis.3000600>.
- [82] J.W. Palmer, M.L. Markus, The performance impacts of quick response and strategic alignment in specialty retailing, *Inf. Syst. Res.* 11 (3), 2000 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/isre.11.3.241.12203>.
- [83] J.Y. Park, K.S. Im, J.S. Kim, The role of IT human capability in the knowledge transfer process in IT outsourcing context, *Inf. Manag.* 48 (1), 2011, pp. 53–61. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2011.01.001>.
- [84] K. Park, S.S. Lee, M.A. Khan, Exploring the impact of franchise support on franchisee acceptance of intranet in quick service restaurant (QSR) franchise system, *J. Hosp. Tour. Technol.* 5 (2), 2014, pp. 143–159.
- [85] A.K. Paswan, C.M. Wittmann, Knowledge management and franchise systems, *Ind. Market. Manag.* 38 (2), 2009, pp. 173–180. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2008.12.005>.
- [86] A.K. Paswan, C.M. Wittmann, J.A. Young, Intra, extra, and internets in franchise network organizations, *J. Bus. Bus. Market.* 11 (1–2), 2004, pp. 103–129. doi:10.1300/J033v11n01_07.
- [87] R. Perrigot, T. Pénard, Determinants of e-commerce strategy in franchising: a resource-based view, *Int. J. Electron. Commer.* 17 (3), 2013, pp. 109–130.
- [88] R. Perrigot, M. Kacker, G. Basset, G. Cliquet, Antecedents of early adoption and use of social media networks for stakeholder communications: evidence from franchising, *J. Small Bus. Manag.* 50 (4), 2012, pp. 539–565. doi:10.1111/j.1540-627X.2012.00366.
- [89] M.A. Peteraf, The cornerstone of the competitive advantage: a resource-based view, *Strateg. Manag. J.* 14, 1993, pp. 179–191.
- [90] L.W. Phillips, Assessing measurement error in key informant reports: a methodological note on organizational analysis in marketing, *J. Market. Res.* 18 (4), 1981, pp. 395–415. doi:10.2307/3151333.
- [91] D. Politis, The process of entrepreneurial learning: a conceptual framework, *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 29 (4), 2005, pp. 399–424. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00091.x.
- [92] C.K. Prahalad, G. Hamel, The core competence of the corporation, *Harv. Bus. Rev.* 68, 1990, pp. 79–91. doi:10.1007/3-540-30763-X_14.
- [93] G. Premkumar, M. Roberts, Adoption of new information technologies in rural small businesses, *Omega* 27 (4), 1999, pp. 467–484. doi:10.1016/S0305-0483(98)00071-1.
- [94] W.G. Qu, W. Oh, A. Pinsonneault, The strategic value of IT insourcing: an IT-enabled business processes perspective, *J. Strateg. Inf. Syst.* 19 (2), 2010, pp. 96–108.
- [95] J.B. Quinn, Strategic outsourcing: leveraging knowledge capabilities, *Sloan Manag. Rev.* 40, 1999, pp. 9–21.
- [96] J.B. Quinn, F.G. Hilmer, Strategic outsourcing, *Sloan Manag. Rev.* 35, 1994, pp. 43–55.
- [97] S. Rao, L. Frazer, The use of Internet-based technologies in Australian Franchise Systems. A preliminary study, *J. Internet Bus.* 1 (2), 2005, pp. 1–19.
- [98] N. Roberts, V. Grover, Leveraging information technology infrastructure to facilitate a firm's customer agility and competitive activity: an empirical investigation, *JMIS* 28 (4), 2012, pp. 231–270. doi:10.2753/MIS0742-1222280409.
- [99] J.W. Ross, C.M. Beath, D.L. Goodhue, Develop long-term competitiveness through IT assets, *Sloan Manag. Rev.* 38 (1), 1996, pp. 31–45.
- [100] V. Sambamurthy, A. Bharadwaj, V. Grover, Shaping agility through digital options: reconceptualizing the role of information technology in contemporary firms, *MIS Q.* 27 (2), 2003, pp. 237–263.
- [101] N.R. Sanders, An empirical study of the impact of e-business technologies on organizational collaboration and performance, *J. Oper. Manag.* 25 (6), 2007, pp. 1332–1347. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2007.01.008>.
- [102] M. Sarkees, Understanding the links between technological opportunism, marketing emphasis and firm performance: implications for B2B, *Ind. Market. Manag.* 40 (5), 2011, pp. 785–795. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2010.09.001>.
- [103] K. Savitskie, M.B. Royne, E.S. Persinger, M. Grünhagen, C.L. Witte, Norwegian internet shopping sites: an application & extension of the technology acceptance model, *J. Glob. Inf. Technol. Manag.* 10 (4), 2007, pp. 55–73. doi:10.1080/1097198X.2007.10856455.
- [104] P.J. Sher, V.C. Lee, Information technology as a facilitator for enhancing dynamic capabilities through knowledge management, *Inf. Manag.* 41 (8), 2004, pp. 933–945. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2003.06.004>.
- [105] D.S. Siegel, M. Renko, The role of market and technological knowledge in recognizing entrepreneurial opportunities, *Manag. Decis.* 50 (5), 2012, pp. 797–816. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00251741211227500>.
- [106] M. Simpson, A.J. Docherty, E-commerce adoption support and advice for UK SMEs, *J. Small Bus. Enterp. Dev.* 11 (3), 2004, pp. 315–328. doi:10.1108/14626000410551573.
- [107] R. Srinivasan, G. Lilien, A. Rangaswamy, Technological opportunism and radical technology adoption: an application to E-business, *J. Market.* 66 (3), 2002, pp. 47–60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.66.3.47.18508>.
- [108] M.A. Stanko, X. Olleros, Industry growth and the knowledge spillover regime: does outsourcing harm innovativeness but help profit? *J. Bus. Res.* 66, 2013, pp. 2007–2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.02.026>.
- [109] J.B. Steenkamp, H. Baumgartner, Assessing measurement invariance in cross-national consumer research, *J. Consum. Res.* 25 (1), 1998, pp. 78–90. doi:10.1086/209528.
- [110] J.Y.L. Thong, An integrated model of information systems adoption in small business, *JMIS* 15 (4), 1999, pp. 187–214. <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1977980>.
- [111] J.Y. Thong, Resource constraints and information systems implementation in Singaporean small businesses, *Omega* 29 (2), 2001, pp. 143–156. doi:10.1016/S0305-0483(00)00035-9.
- [112] J.Y.L. Thong, C.S. Yap, K.S. Raman, Top management support, external expertise and information systems implementation in small businesses, *Inf. Syst. Res.* 7 (2), 1996, pp. 248–267. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/isre.7.2.248>.
- [113] A. Tiwana, A.A. Bush, A comparison of transaction cost, agency, and knowledge-based predictors of IT outsourcing decisions: a US-Japan cross-cultural field study, *JMIS* 24 (1), 2007, pp. 259–300.
- [114] A. Tiwana, M. Keil, Does peripheral knowledge complement control? An empirical test in technology outsourcing alliances *Strateg. Manag. J.* 28 (6), 2007, pp. 623–634. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smj.623>.
- [115] *Tormo Asociados, Guía de franquicias de España, (Franchise Yearbook)*, 2009.
- [116] A. Triguero, D. Corcoles, Understanding innovation: an analysis of persistence for Spanish manufacturing firms, *Res. Policy* 42, 2013, pp. 340–352. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.08.003>.
- [117] R. Varadarajan, Outsourcing: think more expansively, *J. Bus. Res.* 62, 2009, pp. 1165–1172. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.09.006>.
- [118] R. Varadarajan, R. Srinivasan, G.G. Vadakkepatt, M.S. Yadav, P.A. Pavlou, S. Krishnamurthy, T. Krause, Interactive technologies and retailing strategy: a review, conceptual framework and future research directions, *J. Interact. Market.* 24, 2010, pp. 96–110. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2010.02.004>.

- [119] N. Venkatraman, IT-induced business reconfiguration. *The Corporation of the 1990s. Information Technology and Organizational Transformation*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991 pp. 122–158.
- [120] P.C. Verhoef, R. Venkatesan, L. McAlister, E.C. Malthouse, M. Krafft, S. Ganesanet, CRM in data-rich multichannel retailing environments: a review and future research directions, *J. Interact. Market.* 24, 2010, pp. 121–137. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2010.02.009>.
- [121] R. Voola, G. Casimir, J. Carlson, M. Anushree Agnihotri, The effects of market orientation, technological opportunism, and e-business adoption on performance: a moderated mediation analysis, *Australas. Market. J.* 20 (2), 2012, pp. 136–146. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2011.10.001>.
- [122] W.P. Wagner, Q.B. Chung, T. Baratz, Implementing corporate intranets: lessons learned from two high-tech firms, *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.* 102 (3), 2002, pp. 140–145, doi:10.1108/02635570210421327.
- [123] C. Weigelt, The impact of outsourcing new technologies on integrative capabilities and performance, *Strateg. Manag. J.* 30, 2009, pp. 595–616. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smj.760>.
- [124] P. Weill, M. Broadbent, *Levering the New Infrastructure: How Market Leaders Capitalize on Information Technology*, Harvard Business School Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998 pp. 58–62.
- [125] B.C. Wheeler, NEBIC: A dynamic capabilities theory for assessing net-enablement, *Inf. Syst. Res.* 132, 2002, pp. 125–146. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/isre.13.2.125.89>.
- [126] L. Willcocks, G. Fitzgerald, Market as opportunity? Case studies in outsourcing information technology and services *J. Strateg. Inf. Syst.* 2 (3), 1993, pp. 223–242, doi:10.1016/0963-8687(93)90029-A.
- [127] L. Willcocks, J. Hindle, D. Feeny, M. Lacity, IT and business process outsourcing: the knowledge potential, *Inf. Syst. Manag.* 21 (3), 2004, pp. 7–15, doi:10.1201/1078/44432.21.3.20040601/82471.2.
- [128] R.C.M. Yam, W. Lo, E.P.Y.K.W. Tang, A.K.W. Lau, Analysis of sources of innovation, technological innovation capabilities, and performance: an empirical study of Hong Kong manufacturing industries, *Res. Policy* 40, 2011, pp. 391–402. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.10.013>.
- [129] S.A. Zahra, G. George, Absorptive capacity: a review, reconceptualization, and extension, *Acad. Manag. Rev.* 27 (2), 2002, pp. 185–203, doi:10.2307/4134351.

Laura Lucia-Palacios is an assistant professor in the Department of Marketing at the University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain. She has published research papers in international journals such as *Journal of Business Research*, *European Journal of Marketing*, *Journal of Services Marketing*, *Internet Research*, and *International Entrepreneurship Management Journal* among others. Her research interests are focused on customer experience management, adoption of new technologies, franchising, and pioneering advantages.

Victoria Bordonaba-Juste is an associate professor in the Department of Marketing at the University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain. She has published research papers in international journals such as *Journal of Business Research*, *European Journal of Marketing*, *Internet Research*, and *Supply Chain Management Journal* among others. Her research interests are focused on relationship marketing, adoption of new technologies, and franchising.

Yolanda Polo-Redondo is a professor in the Department of Marketing at the University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain. She has published research papers in international journals such as *Journal of Service Research*, *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, *Industrial Marketing Management*, and *Strategic Management* among others. Her main areas of research are strategic marketing, services marketing, customer experience management, and adoption of new technologies.

Marko Grünhagen is a Lumpkin Distinguished Professor of Entrepreneurship, Professor of Marketing and Director of the Sustainable Entrepreneurship through Education and Development (SEED) Center at Eastern Illinois University in Charleston, Illinois, USA. His research papers have appeared in prominent journals such as the *Journal of Retailing*, *Journal of Business Research*, *Journal of Macromarketing*, *Psychology & Marketing*, *European Journal of Marketing*, and *Journal of Small Business Management*, among others. His main areas of research are small business marketing and franchising in an international context.