# Robustness of error estimates for phase field models at a class of topological changes 

Sören Bartels*<br>Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Str. 10, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

Available online 11 November 2014

## Highlights

- Characterization of stability for phase field evolutions at singularities.
- Accuracy of numerical methods when particles vanish.
- Description of phase boundaries when topological changes occur.


#### Abstract

A priori and a posteriori error estimates for the numerical approximation of phase field models with a polynomial dependence on the inverse of the interface width as long as no topological changes occur have recently been derived. Numerical experiments show that they remain robust when topological changes of the interface take place. Based on an asymptotic expansion a lower bound for the principal eigenvalue of the linearized Allen-Cahn operator near a generic singularity is derived which explains this experimental observation.
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## 1. Introduction

Phase field equations provide a flexible mathematical tool to describe the evolution of interfaces or surfaces in various processes such as crystal growth, multiphase flows, or crack propagation. In contrast to sharp interface models their numerical implementation can be realized with standard methods and they are capable of describing topological changes effectively. The simplest example is the Allen-Cahn equation

$$
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u=-\varepsilon^{-2} f(u)
$$

in which $\varepsilon>0$ is a small parameter that describes the thickness of the diffuse interface that separates regions in which $u \approx \pm 1$ and $f$ is the derivative of a double well potential, e.g., $f(u)=2\left(u^{3}-u\right)$. Fig. 1 displays snapshots of a simple but generic evolution leading to a generic topological change, i.e., a circular interface shrinks and disappears
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Fig. 1. Numerical experiment leading to a topological change. The circular interface that separates regions in which $u \approx \pm 1$ shrinks and collapses in finite time.
in finite time. Although the mathematical modeling of such events is unclear the agreement with experiments is quite remarkable. The topological change corresponds to a singularity in the evolution and the approximation properties of numerical methods may be critically affected. It is the aim of this article to provide a theoretical justification for the reliability of standard numerical methods at topological changes in a simple model situation.

Spectral estimates have recently been employed to derive error estimates of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[T_{0}, T_{1}\right]}\left\|u-u_{h}\right\| \leq c_{1} \varepsilon^{-\sigma}\left(h^{\alpha}+\tau^{\beta}\right) \exp \left(c_{2} \int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}}-\lambda_{A C}^{-}(t) d t\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the numerical approximation of phase field models such as the Allen-Cahn equation cf. [1-4]. The negative part $\lambda_{A C}^{-}=\min \left\{\lambda_{A C}, 0\right\}$ of the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{A C}$ of the linearized Allen-Cahn operator

$$
-\Delta+\varepsilon^{-2} f^{\prime}(u(t)) \text { id }
$$

about the exact solution at time $t$ enters such estimates exponentially and thus logarithmic bounds for this quantity lead to useful estimates, cf. [4]. For the smooth evolution of developed interfaces it is known that the eigenvalue remains uniformly bounded from below [5-7] while for topological changes its modulus attains the square of the inverse of the interface thickness. Numerical experiments in [4] indicate that the modulus of the principal eigenvalue grows like $1 /|t|, t<0$, prior to a topological change at $t=0$, before it attains the maximal absolute value proportional to $\varepsilon^{-2}$. Hence, an integration of it in time leads to a logarithmic bound which implies the robustness of the error estimate. It is the aim of this paper to provide theoretical support for such a scaling behavior.

For the mean curvature flow

$$
V=-H
$$

with a circle of radius $\sqrt{2}$ at $t=-1$ as initial data, the evolution is defined through $\dot{R}=-1 / R$, i.e., $R(t)=\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}$ for $-1 \leq t<0$. The linearization of $H$ in the class of circles is given by

$$
H^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{R(t)^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2}|t|^{-1}
$$

which shows that the linearization of the sharp interface model obeys the scaling property observed for the related phase field model. Since the Allen-Cahn problem approximates the mean curvature flow as the interface thickness tends to zero $[8,9]$ we expect that a similar bound holds for the principal eigenvalue of the linearized Allen-Cahn operator. We adopt the techniques of [6] to give a proof of this statement under the following assumption.

Assumption A. The solution $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ of the Allen-Cahn problem in $B_{2} \times(-T, 0)$ with $B_{2}:=B_{2}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, i.e., the function $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ that satisfies

$$
\partial_{t} \phi_{\varepsilon}-\Delta \phi_{\varepsilon}=-\varepsilon^{-2} f\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right),
$$

with $f(u):=2\left(u^{2}-1\right) u$ and $0<\varepsilon<1$, is for $t \leq-\varepsilon^{2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\varepsilon}(r, t)=\tanh \left(\left(r-\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}\right) / \varepsilon\right)+\varepsilon^{2} q_{\varepsilon}(r, t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a function $q_{\varepsilon}$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{\varepsilon}(r, t)\right| \leq c_{0}|t|^{-1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption is motivated by the expansion

$$
\phi_{\varepsilon}(x, t)=\tanh (d / \varepsilon)+\varepsilon^{2} H^{2} \xi(d / \varepsilon)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)
$$

in which $d$ denotes the signed distance to the interface, $H$ is the mean curvature of the interface, and $\xi$ is a smooth function, cf. [10,9] for details. This assumption is confirmed by numerical experiments reported in Appendix A and is expected to be justifiable rigorously by an appropriate construction of super- and subsolutions. The assumption enables us to prove the asserted result.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that Assumption A holds. Then the estimate

$$
\lambda_{A C}(t):=\inf _{0 \neq \psi \in H^{1}\left(B_{2}\right)} \frac{\int_{B_{2}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-2} f^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}(r, t)\right) \psi^{2} d x}{\|\psi\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{2}\right)}^{2}} \geq-C_{A C}|t|^{-1}
$$

holds for $t \in\left[-T,-\varepsilon^{2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)\right]$ with an $\varepsilon$-independent constant $C_{A C} \geq 0$.
With this bound for $\lambda_{A C}$ and the unconditional estimate $\lambda_{A C}(t) \geq-C_{A C}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{-2}$, which follows from considering $\psi=1$ in the definition of $\lambda_{A C}$, the argument of the exponential factor in (1.1) with $T_{0}=-1$ and $T_{1}=0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}}-\lambda_{A C}^{-}(t) d t & \leq C_{A C} \int_{-1}^{-\varepsilon^{2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)}|t|^{-1} d t+C_{A C}^{\prime} \int_{-\varepsilon^{2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)}^{0} \varepsilon^{-2} d t \\
& =-\left.C_{A C} \log (|t|)\right|_{-1} ^{-\varepsilon^{2}}+C_{A C}^{\prime} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \leq C_{A C}^{\prime \prime} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that the error bound depends polynomially on $\varepsilon^{-1}$ in the considered situation.
Remarks 1.1. (i) Terms of order $\varepsilon$ can be included in (1.2) as long as one restricts to $t \leq-\varepsilon^{2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)^{2}$. This however is not sufficient to prove robust stability estimates.
(ii) The lower bound of Theorem 1.1 is expected to hold also in three space dimensions.
(iii) Since interfaces always become circular in two-dimensional Allen-Cahn evolutions, cf. [11], the considered situation of Assumption A is generic.
(iv) An equivalent statement to that of Theorem 1.1 is to say that $\lambda_{A C}(t) \geq-c H_{m}^{2}(t)$, where $H_{m}(t)$ is the maximal curvature of the interface.

## 2. Allen-Cahn profile on a bounded interval

Given $t \leq-\varepsilon^{2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ we consider the operator

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}:=-d^{2} / d z^{2}+f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } I_{\varepsilon, t}:=\left(-|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon), 1 / \varepsilon\right)
$$

subject to homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions on $\partial I_{\varepsilon, t}$ and define

$$
L_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}(\Phi, \Psi):=\int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \Phi^{\prime} \Psi^{\prime}+f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \Phi \Psi d z
$$

for $\Phi, \Psi \in H^{1}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)$. The function $\theta_{0}(z):=\tanh (z)=\left(e^{z}-e^{-z}\right) /\left(e^{z}+e^{-z}\right)$ solves

$$
-\theta^{\prime \prime}+f(\theta)=0, \quad \theta(0)=0, \quad \lim _{z \rightarrow \pm \infty} \theta(z)= \pm 1
$$

Moreover, $\theta_{0}^{\prime}(z)=1 / \cosh ^{2}(z)$ and $\theta_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)=-2 \sinh (z) / \cosh ^{3}(z)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\theta_{0}^{\prime}(z) \leq 4 e^{-2|z|} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\theta_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \leq 8 e^{-2|z|} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. The principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{0}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}$ satisfies

$$
-c_{4} e^{-(1+2 \sqrt{2})|t|^{1 / 2} / \varepsilon} \leq \lambda_{1}^{0}=\inf _{0 \neq \Psi \in H^{1}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)} \frac{L_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}(\Psi, \Psi)}{\|\Psi\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)}^{2}} \leq c_{1} e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2} / \varepsilon}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{4}>0$ are $\varepsilon$-independent constants.

Proof. The shifted operator $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}+\max _{I_{\varepsilon, t}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right|$ is self-adjoint and positive definite so that $-\max _{I_{\varepsilon, t}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right| \leq \lambda_{1}^{0}$. Integration by parts, $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, t}^{0} \theta_{0}^{\prime}=\left(-\theta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+f\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}=0$, and (2.1) show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{0} \leq \beta^{2} L_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}\left(\theta_{0}^{\prime}, \theta_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left.\beta^{2} \theta_{0}^{\prime} \theta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right|_{-|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon)} ^{1 / \varepsilon} \leq \beta^{2} 64 e^{-4|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon)}=: c_{1} e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2} / \varepsilon}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used that $|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon) \leq 1 / \varepsilon$ and defined $\beta^{2}:=\left\|\theta_{0}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)}^{-2} \leq 1$. Set $m:=\max \left\{f^{\prime}(-1), f^{\prime}(1)\right\}=4$ and let $a_{0}>0$ be such that $f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}(z)\right) \geq 3 m / 4$ for all $|z| \geq a_{0}$. Since $a_{0}$ is independent of $\varepsilon$ we may assume that $\pm\left(a_{0}+1\right) \in I_{\varepsilon, t}$. Owing to (2.2) we may assume that $\lambda_{1}^{0} \leq m / 4$. Then, the positive eigenfunction $\Psi_{1}^{0}$ satisfies

$$
-\left(\Psi_{1}^{0}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\left(f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)-\lambda_{1}^{0}\right) \Psi_{1}^{0}=0
$$

with $f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)-\lambda_{1}^{0} \geq m / 2$ in $I_{\varepsilon, t} \backslash\left[-a_{0}, a_{0}\right]$. Since $\left\|\Psi_{1}^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t)}\right)}=1$ we may choose $a_{0}^{\prime} \in\left[a_{0}, a_{0}+1\right]$ such that $\Psi_{1}^{0}\left( \pm a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$. A comparison argument with the functions

$$
\Phi_{+}(z):=: \Psi\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{\cosh (c(1 / \varepsilon-z))}{\cosh \left(c\left(1 / \varepsilon-a_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)}, \quad \Phi_{-}(z):=\Psi\left(-a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{\cosh \left(c\left(|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon)+z\right)\right)}{\cosh \left(c\left(|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon)-a_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)},
$$

where $c=\sqrt{m / 2}$, shows that $\Psi_{1}^{0}(z) \leq \Phi_{+}(z)$ for $z \geq a_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\Psi_{1}^{0}(z) \leq \Phi_{-}(z)$ for $z \leq-a_{0}^{\prime}$, cf. Lemma B. 1 for details. We thus deduce that for $z \in I_{\varepsilon, t} \backslash\left[-\left(a_{0}+1\right), a_{0}+1\right]$ we have

$$
\Psi_{1}^{0}(z) \leq \Phi_{ \pm}(z) \leq 2 e^{-c|z|} e^{c a_{0}^{\prime}} \leq 2 e^{-c|z|} e^{c\left(a_{0}+1\right)}=: c_{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}|z|} .
$$

This, integration by parts, $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, t}^{0} \theta_{0}^{\prime}=0$, and (2.1) imply

$$
\lambda_{1}^{0} \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \Psi_{1}^{0} \theta_{0}^{\prime} d z=\int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, t}^{0} \Psi_{1}^{0}\right) \theta_{0}^{\prime} d z=\left.\theta_{0}^{\prime \prime} \Psi_{1}^{0}\right|_{-|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon)} ^{1 / \varepsilon} \geq-16 c_{2} e^{-(\sqrt{2}+4)|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon)} .
$$

It remains to prove a lower bound for $\int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \Psi_{1}^{0} \theta_{0}^{\prime} d z$. Owing to $\theta_{0}^{\prime}>0$ it suffices to show that $\Psi_{1}^{0}$ is uniformly bounded from below in $\left(-a^{*}, a^{*}\right)$ for some $a^{*}$ independent of $\varepsilon$. Since $\left\|\Psi_{1}^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)}=1$ and $\Psi_{1}^{0}(z) \leq c_{2} e^{-\sqrt{2}|z|},|z| \geq a_{0}+1$, there exists an $\varepsilon$-independent number $a^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{-a^{*}}^{a^{*}}\left|\Psi_{1}^{0}(z)\right|^{2} d z \geq 1 / 2
$$

The coefficients of $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}-\lambda_{1}^{0}$ are uniformly bounded so that an application of Harnack's inequality, cf., e.g., [12], to the identity

$$
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}-\lambda_{1}^{0}\right) \Psi_{1}^{0}=0 \quad \text { in }\left(-a^{*}-1, a^{*}+1\right)
$$

implies the existence of a constant $c_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\inf _{z \in\left(-a^{*}, a^{*}\right)} \Psi_{1}^{0}(z) \geq c_{3} \sup _{z \in\left(-a^{*}, a^{*}\right)} \Psi_{1}^{0}(z) \geq c_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2 a^{*}} \int_{-a^{*}}^{a^{*}}\left(\Psi_{1}^{0}\right)^{2} d z\right)^{1 / 2} \geq c_{3} \frac{1}{\left(4 a^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

This proves $\lambda_{1}^{0} \geq-c_{4} e^{-(1+2 \sqrt{2})|t|^{1 / 2} / \varepsilon}$ and finishes the proof.

## 3. Reduction to the one-dimensional situation

Under the assumptions on $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ stated in Assumption A, the estimation of $\lambda_{A C}$ reduces to a one-dimensional problem. For $\psi \in C^{1}\left(B_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\int_{B_{2}} \varepsilon|\nabla \psi|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-1} f^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right) \psi^{2} d x \geq 2 \pi \int_{|t|^{1 / 2} / \sqrt{2}}^{1+\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}}\left(\varepsilon\left|\psi_{r}\right|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-1} f^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right) \psi^{2}\right) r d r .
$$

The transformation $z=\left(r-\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}\right) / \varepsilon$ and the rescaling $\Psi(z):=\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \psi(r)$ lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{2}} \varepsilon|\nabla \psi|^{2}+\varepsilon^{-1} f^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right) \psi^{2} d x \geq \frac{2 \pi}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}}\left(\left|\Psi_{z}\right|^{2}+f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\phi}_{\varepsilon}\right) \Psi^{2}\right) \tilde{J}(z) d z=: \frac{2 \pi}{\varepsilon} L_{\varepsilon, t}(\Psi, \Psi), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{\varepsilon, t}=\left(-|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon), 1 / \varepsilon\right), \tilde{J}(z)=\varepsilon z+\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}$, and $\tilde{\phi}_{\varepsilon}(z, t)=\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon z+\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}\right)=\theta_{0}(z)+\varepsilon^{2} \tilde{q}_{\varepsilon}(z, t)$ with $\tilde{q}_{\varepsilon}(z, t)=q_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon z+\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}, t\right)$. Since

$$
\|\psi\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{2}\right)}^{2} \geq 2 \pi \int_{|t|^{1 / 2} / \sqrt{2}}^{1+\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}} \psi^{2} r d r=2 \pi \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \Psi^{2} \tilde{J}(z) d z
$$

Theorem 1.1 follows from the next lemma.
Lemma 3.1. For $t \in\left[-T,-\varepsilon^{2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)\right]$ the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of $L_{\varepsilon, t}$ defined in (3.1) satisfies

$$
-c_{8} \varepsilon^{2}|t|^{-1} \leq \lambda_{1}=\inf _{0 \neq \Psi \in H^{1}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)} \frac{L_{\varepsilon, t}(\Psi, \Psi)}{\left\|\Psi \tilde{J}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)}^{2}} \leq c_{6} \varepsilon|t|^{-1},
$$

where $c_{6}, c_{8}>0$ are $\varepsilon$-independent constants.
Proof. Let $\Psi \in H^{1}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)$ and define $\widehat{\Psi}:=\tilde{J}^{1 / 2} \Psi$. Noting $\Psi_{z} \tilde{J}^{1 / 2}=\widehat{\Psi}_{z}-\varepsilon \tilde{J}^{-1} \widehat{\Psi} / 2$, where $\tilde{J}^{-1}:=1 / \tilde{J}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\varepsilon, t}(\Psi, \Psi)= & \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \widehat{\Psi}_{z}^{2}+f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\phi}_{\varepsilon}(z, t)\right) \widehat{\Psi}^{2} d z+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \tilde{J}^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}^{2} d z-\varepsilon \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \tilde{J}^{-1} \widehat{\Psi} \widehat{\Psi}_{z} d z \\
= & L_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}(\widehat{\Psi}, \widehat{\Psi})+\int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}}\left(f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\phi}_{\varepsilon}(z, t)\right)-f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}(z)\right)\right) \widehat{\Psi}^{2} d z \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \tilde{J}^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}^{2} d z-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \tilde{J}^{-1}\left(\widehat{\Psi}^{2}\right)_{z} d z .
\end{aligned}
$$

A Taylor expansion of the quadratic function $f^{\prime}$ about $\theta_{0}$ shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\phi}_{\varepsilon}(z, t)\right)-f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}(z)\right)=\varepsilon^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \tilde{q}_{\varepsilon}+f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\left(\varepsilon^{2} \tilde{q}_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} / 2=: \varepsilon^{2} r_{\varepsilon} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left|r_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq c_{5}|t|^{-1}$ owing to (1.3). An integration by parts and $\left(\tilde{J}^{-1}\right)_{z}=-\tilde{J}^{-2} \varepsilon$ lead to

$$
-\int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \tilde{J}^{-1}\left(\widehat{\Psi}^{2}\right)_{z} d z=-\varepsilon \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \tilde{J}^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}^{2} d z-\left.\tilde{J}^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}^{2}\right|_{-|t|^{1 / \varepsilon} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon)} .
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\varepsilon, t}(\Psi, \Psi)=L_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}(\widehat{\Psi}, \widehat{\Psi})+\varepsilon^{2} \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} r_{\varepsilon} \widehat{\Psi}^{2} d z-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{I_{\varepsilon, t}} \tilde{J}^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}^{2} d z-\left.\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tilde{J}^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}^{2}\right|_{-|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon)} ^{1 .} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude with (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} & =\inf _{\left\|\Psi \tilde{J}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)}=1} L_{\varepsilon, t}(\Psi, \Psi) \leq L_{\varepsilon, t}\left(\beta \tilde{J}^{-1 / 2} \theta_{0}^{\prime}, \beta \tilde{J}^{-1 / 2} \theta_{0}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \beta^{2} L_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}\left(\theta_{0}^{\prime}, \theta_{0}^{\prime}\right)+c_{5} \varepsilon^{2}|t|^{-1}+\beta^{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\theta_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2} /(2 \varepsilon)\right)\right)^{2} \leq c_{6} \varepsilon|t|^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $e^{-2 \sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2} / \varepsilon} \leq 1$ for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small. Let $\Psi_{1}$ be the positive eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$ with $\left\|\Psi_{1} \tilde{J}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{\varepsilon, t}\right)}=1$ and note that $\Psi_{1}$ satisfies

$$
-\tilde{J}^{-1} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\tilde{J} \frac{d}{d z} \Psi_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\phi}_{\varepsilon}(z, t)\right) \Psi_{1}=\lambda_{1} \Psi_{1}
$$

in $I_{\varepsilon, t}$. We may assume that $\lambda_{1} \leq m / 4$, and $f^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\phi}_{\varepsilon}(z, t)\right) \geq 3 m / 4$ for $z \geq a_{0}$ with an $\varepsilon$-independent number $a_{0}>0$ such that $a_{0}+1 \leq 1 / \varepsilon$. Let $a_{0}^{\prime} \in\left[a_{0}, a_{0}+1\right]$ such that $\Psi_{1}\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \tilde{J}^{1 / 2}\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$. Employing the comparison function

$$
\Phi(z)=\Psi_{1}\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{\cosh (c(1 / \varepsilon-z))}{\cosh \left(c\left(1 / \varepsilon-a_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)}
$$

where $c=\sqrt{m / 2}$, we deduce that, cf. Lemma B. 2 for details,

$$
\Psi_{1}(z) \leq c_{7} e^{-\sqrt{2} z} \tilde{J}^{-1 / 2}\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right)
$$

With $\widehat{\Psi}_{1}:=\tilde{J}^{1 / 2} \Psi_{1}$ we deduce from (3.3) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} & =L_{\varepsilon, t}\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{1}\right) \geq L_{\varepsilon, t}^{0}\left(\widehat{\Psi}_{1}, \widehat{\Psi}_{1}\right)-c_{5} \varepsilon^{2}|t|^{-1}-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4} \sup _{z \in I_{\varepsilon, t}} \tilde{J}^{-2}(z)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\Psi_{1}(1 / \varepsilon)\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \lambda_{1}^{0}-c_{5} \varepsilon^{2}|t|^{-1}-\varepsilon^{2}|t|^{-1}-c_{7} \varepsilon e^{-2 \sqrt{2} / \varepsilon} \tilde{J}^{-1}\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \geq-c_{8} \varepsilon^{2}|t|^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small so that $e^{-2 \sqrt{2} / \varepsilon} \tilde{J}^{-1}\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \leq \varepsilon$.
Remark 3.1. For $t \leq-\varepsilon^{2} \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)^{2}$ we have the upper bound $\lambda_{1} \leq c_{6} \varepsilon^{2}|t|^{-1}$.

## 4. Conclusion

We have discussed in this paper the robustness of error estimates for the approximation of phase field models with standard numerical techniques. Those error estimates avoid an explicit exponential dependence on the inverse of the small phase field parameter but include the principal eigenvalue of the linearized differential operator. The precise properties of this crucial quantity are only rigorously understood for the smooth evolution of interfaces. Numerical experiments reveal a scaling behavior at singularities that implies the robustness of the error estimates through topological changes. For an important class of generic topological changes we have shown that this behavior can be rigorously analyzed and thereby explained the surprisingly good approximation properties of standard computational methods at singularities.

## Appendix A. Experimental verification of Assumption A

For a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $B_{2}$ with mesh-size $h \sim 2^{-8}$ we approximated the Allen-Cahn problem with a semi-implicit time-stepping scheme with step-size $\tau=h / 10$ for the initial data $u_{0}(r)=\tanh \left(\left(r-\sqrt{2}\left|t_{0}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)\right) / \varepsilon$ at $t_{0}=-1 / 4$. In Fig. 2 we plotted for $\varepsilon=2^{-\ell}, \ell=2,3,4,5$ the quantity

$$
\eta_{\varepsilon}(t):=\varepsilon^{-2} \max _{z \in \mathcal{N}}\left|u_{h}(z, t)-\tanh \left(\left(|z|-\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}\right) / \varepsilon\right)\right|
$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ denotes the set of nodes in the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$. The results show that $\eta_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq c|t|^{-1}$ and thus justify Assumption A.

## Appendix B. Comparison principles

Lemma B.1. (a) Let $a<b$, set $I:=(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, let $p, q \in C(I)$, and suppose $p \geq q \geq 0$. Assume $\Psi, \Phi \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, satisfy $\Psi \geq 0, \Psi(a)=\Phi(a), \Psi^{\prime}(b)=\Phi^{\prime}(b)=0$, and

$$
-\Psi^{\prime \prime}+p \Psi=0, \quad-\Phi^{\prime \prime}+q \Phi=0 \quad \text { in } I
$$

Then $\Psi \leq \Phi$. The same conclusion holds if $\Psi(b)=\Phi(b)$ and $\Psi^{\prime}(a)=\Phi^{\prime}(a)=0$.
(b) Let $a<b$ set $I_{+}:=(a, b)$ and $I_{-}:=(-b,-a)$. For $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $c \geq 0$ the functions $\Phi_{ \pm}: I_{ \pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$
\Phi_{ \pm}: z \mapsto \Psi( \pm a) \frac{\cosh (c(b \mp z))}{\cosh (c(b-a))}
$$

satisfy $\Phi_{ \pm}( \pm a)=\Psi( \pm a), \Phi_{ \pm}^{\prime}( \pm b)=0$, and $-\Phi_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}+c^{2} \Phi_{ \pm}=0$ in $I_{ \pm}$. For $z \in I_{ \pm}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{ \pm}(z)\right| \leq 2|\Psi( \pm a)| e^{-c|z|} e^{c a} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2. Experimental bounds on the second order term in the asymptotic expansion.
Proof. (a) The function $E:=\Psi-\Phi$ satisfies $E(a)=0, E^{\prime}(b)=0$, and $-E^{\prime \prime}+q E \leq 0$ in $I$. Suppose there exist $a \leq \alpha<\beta \leq b$ such that $\left.E\right|_{(\alpha, \beta)}>0$ and $E(\alpha)=E(\beta)=0$. Then $E^{\prime}(\alpha)>0$ and $E^{\prime}(\beta) \leq 0$ contradict $E^{\prime \prime} \geq q E \geq 0$ in $(\alpha, \beta)$, i.e., the fact that $E^{\prime}$ is monotonically increasing in $(\alpha, \beta)$. Hence, $E \leq 0$, i.e., $\Psi \leq \Phi$. The second case is analogous.
(b) The identities follow from $\cosh ^{\prime \prime}=\cosh$ and $\sinh (0)=0$. The estimates are consequences of the bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cosh (c(b \mp z))}{\cosh (c(b-a))}=\frac{e^{c(b \mp z)}+e^{-c(b \mp z)}}{e^{c(b-a)}+e^{-c(b-a)}}=\frac{e^{\mp c z} e^{c b}}{e^{-c a} e^{c b}}\left(\frac{1+e^{-2 c(b \mp z)}}{1+e^{-2 c(b-a)}}\right) \leq 2 e^{\mp c z} e^{c a}, \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $e^{-2 c(b \mp z)} \leq 1$ for $z<b$ and $-b<z$, respectively.
Lemma B.2. Let $a<b$ such that $I=(a, b) \subseteq I_{\varepsilon, t}:=\left(-|t|^{1 / 2} /(\sqrt{2} \varepsilon), 1 / \varepsilon\right), p \in C(I)$, and $c \geq 0$ such that $p \geq c^{2}$. Let $\Psi \in C^{2}(I)$ be non-negative with $\Psi^{\prime}(b)=0$ and

$$
-\tilde{J}^{-1} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\tilde{J} \frac{d}{d z}\right) \Psi+p \Psi=0
$$

in $I$, where $\tilde{J}^{-1}=1 / \tilde{J}$ with $\tilde{J}(z)=\varepsilon z+\sqrt{2}|t|^{1 / 2}$. Then, $\Psi(z) \leq 2 \Psi(a) e^{-c z} e^{c a}$.
Proof. Defining

$$
\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad z \mapsto \Psi(a) \frac{\cosh (c(b-z))}{\cosh (c(b-a))}
$$

we have $-\Phi^{\prime \prime}+c^{2} \Phi=0, \Phi(a)=\Psi(a)$, and $\Phi^{\prime}(b)=0$. With $\tilde{J}_{z}=\varepsilon$ and $\Phi^{\prime} \leq 0, \tilde{J}>0$ in $I$ we deduce

$$
-\tilde{J}^{-1} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\tilde{J} \frac{d}{d z}\right) \Phi+c^{2} \Phi=-\varepsilon \tilde{J}^{-1} \Phi^{\prime}-\tilde{J}^{-1} \tilde{J} \Phi^{\prime \prime}+c^{2} \Phi=-\varepsilon \tilde{J}^{-1} \Phi^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

Since $p \geq c^{2}$ and $\Psi \geq 0$ the function $E:=\Psi-\Phi$ satisfies

$$
-\tilde{J}^{-1} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\tilde{J} \frac{d}{d z}\right) E+c^{2} E=\varepsilon \tilde{J}^{-1} \Phi^{\prime} \leq 0
$$

and $E(a)=0, E^{\prime}(b)=0$. Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq I$ is maximal with $\left.E\right|_{(\alpha, \beta)}>0$. Then, since $\tilde{J}>0$ we have $\tilde{J}(\alpha) E^{\prime}(\alpha)>0$ and $\tilde{J}(\beta) E^{\prime}(\beta) \leq 0$. This contradicts

$$
\frac{d}{d z}\left(\tilde{J} E^{\prime}\right)=\frac{d}{d z}\left(\tilde{J} \frac{d}{d z}\right) E \geq \tilde{J} c^{2} E \geq 0
$$

and shows that $E \leq 0$, i.e., $\Psi \leq \Phi$. The proof of the estimate follows from (B.2).
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