Composites Science and Technology 135 (2016) 100-105

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Composites Science and Technology

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/compscitech

Influence of hierarchy structure on electrical properties of gradient-distribution aluminum oxide/polyethylene nanocomposites

Si-Jiao Wang ^a, Jun-Wei Zha ^{a, b, **}, Wei-Kang Li ^c, Ying Wang ^a, Yong-Qiang Wen ^a, George Chen ^d, Zhi-Min Dang ^{a, e, *}

^a Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, School of Chemistry and Biological Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, PR China

^b State Key Laboratory of Power Transmission Equipment and System Security and New Technology, Chongqing University, Chongqing, 400030, PR China

^c State Key Laboratory of Advanced Transmission Technology, Global Energy Interconnection Research Institute, Beijing 102211, PR China

^d Department of Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

e State Key Laboratory of Power System, Department of Electrical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 May 2016 Received in revised form 4 September 2016 Accepted 9 September 2016 Available online 10 September 2016

Keywords: Gradient-distribution structured Al₂O₃/LDPE Electrical properties Space charge

ABSTRACT

In the recent decades, the phenomena of space charge accumulation in the high voltage direct current (HVDC) insulation have attracted more and more attention. In this paper, the gradient-distribution multilayered Al₂O₃/low density polyethylene (LDPE) nanocomposites were prepared via layer-by-layer melting blending and hot pressing method. Morphologies and electrical properties of these gradientdistribution structured composites were discussed. Results showed that this unique structure could largely enhance the breakdown strength of LDPE. The breakdown strength of the LDPE nanocomposites varied with nano-Al₂O₃ concentrations, and it could reach to 200 kV/mm for the LDPE nanocomposites. Besides, space charge of the LDPE nanocomposites was measured by pulsed electro-acoustic (PEA) method. The charge profiles indicated that space charge suppression of the gradient-distribution multilayered composites was better than that of the monolayer ones with general mean-distribution structure. Their excellent insulating properties were attributed to the trap which exists in the surface, and that could well inhibit the charge injecting into the materials.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dielectric nanocomposites have been widely used in the high voltage direct current (HVDC) cables [1–3]. As we know, a large amount of space charges will accumulate when a dielectric is energized, resulted from the traps existing in the dielectric materials [4]. Generally, the presence of the charge accumulation will lead to the distortion of electric field distribution, thus resulting in the enhancement of electric field in certain region of the dielectrics. Then it will lead to the degradation, even premature failure of the

materials. Therefore, the suppression of charge is very important for long-term using of the dielectric materials [5-8].

Several mechanisms have been proposed to interpret the suppression of space charges in the nanocomposites. One is that the trap centers near the interface between the electrodes and the nanocomposites can capture the injected charges [9]. The captured charges can distort the electric field in the vicinity of the interface, which will decrease the charge injection, and the space charges will be suppressed [10,11]. Many researches demonstrated that the nanoparticles introduced into the dielectric materials could induce the deep trap. A certain amount of deep traps could inhibit the injecting of charges into the materials [12].

In this work, two kinds of insulation systems, namely the meandistribution monolayered and gradient-distribution multi-layered nano-Al₂O₃/LDPE nanocomposites were respectively fabricated. Their space charge distributions with increasing time under *E*fields were tested by the pulsed electro acoustic (PEA) method. Space charge characteristics at the interface of the composites and the effect of nano-Al₂O₃ concentrations on the charge transport







^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, School of Chemistry and Biological Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, PR China.

^{**} Corresponding author. Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, School of Chemistry and Biological Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, PR China.

E-mail addresses: zhajw@ustb.edu.cn (J.-W. Zha), dangzm@tsinghua.edu.cn (Z.-M. Dang).

characteristics were investigated. The results are useful to understand the interface effect and the transport of carriers in the dielectric composites.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The additive-free LDPE was chosen not only because of its relatively simple chemical configuration but also because it can minimize the influence of impurities and additives. The LDPE (LD200BW) with the density of 0.922 g/cm³ and melt flow rate of 2.3 g/10 min was purchased from Sinopec Beijing Yanshan Co. (China). The Al₂O₃ particles with diameter of ~100 nm were prepared using low-temperature plasma method by Institute of Process Engineering Chinese Academy of Sciences (China), and their surface was treated using vinyltrimethoxysilane (VMES) prior to use.

2.2. Preparation of the nanocomposites

Different concentrations of nano-Al₂O₃ (0.1 wt%, 0.2 wt%, 0.5 wt % and 1 wt%) were introduced into LDPE matrix using HAAKE PolyLab mixer (HAAKE Rheomix 600, Germany). The composites with 0.1 wt% nano-Al₂O₃ is named as 0.1%N, and for other concentrations, they are 0.2%N, 0.5%N and 1%N, respectively. Both the pure LDPE and Al₂O₃/LDPE nanocomposites were pressed using panel vulcanizer at 120 °C, and the thin films with the thicknesses of about 0.16 mm were obtained. Then the films as one layer were further co-pressed into the three-layer stacks with ~0.48 mm thickness, under a pressure of 10 MPa at 130 °C for 40 min. The schematic of preparing process of the multi-layered nano-composites is shown in Fig. 1. The three kinds of multi-layered dielectric, i.e., 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE, 1%N/0.2%N/LDPE, and 1%N/0.5%N/LDPE nanocomposites were prepared.

Before testing, all the samples were placed in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 24 h, and then cooled down to room temperature to eliminate their thermal histories. To banish the residual charges, the films were put into two polished copper plates in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 48 h short-circuiting.

2.3. Characterization

Space charge distribution of the samples was tested by pulsed

electro-acoustic measurement (PEA, Shanghai Jiao Tong University) under an electric field of 30 kV/mm for 60 min at 25 °C. During the measurement, the samples with the size of 500 μ m \times 7 cm \times 7 cm were sandwiched between an aluminum electrode with diameter of ~12 cm and one semiconductive polymer electrode with diameter of ~2 cm.

In order to analysis the effect of the additive and gradientdistribution structure on the crystal forms of LDPE matrix, the samples were measured by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC-60, SHIMADZU Company). The weights of the samples were approximately 4 mg. The experiments were performed in the temperature range from 50 °C to 200 °C under N₂ atmosphere with the rate of 10 °C/min, and then decreased to 50 °C.

Direct current (DC) breakdown strength of the samples was measured using one dielectric strength tester (Ji Lin Province Huayang Equipment Co., Ltd., China). The breakdown strength was determined by a progressive electrical stress test. The chosen rate of voltage ramp was 1200 V/s, corresponding to reaching 50 kV DC voltage in 50 s. The diameter of plate electrode was kept at 6 cm with edge radius of 1 cm. The whole setup was immersed in silicone oil. Weibull distribution was employed to fit the experimental data and determined the characteristic of DC dielectric breakdown strength according to the IEEE Standard 930-2004 [13].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Microstructure

Fig. 2a shows SEM morphologies of the cross section of the multi-layered 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposites with 2000 multiples. It can be seen that the content of nano-Al₂O₃ in the top layer is larger than that in the middle layer. From the gradual change of the Al₂O₃ concentrations, the gradient-distribution structure can be clearly observed. And the interface between the adjacent layers is not obvious, which illustrates that the melting between layers is well.

Fig. 2b shows SEM morphologies of the cross section of multilayered 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposites with 20000 multiples. It can be seen that the nano-Al₂O₃ was well dispersed in the LDPE matrix. These results indicate that the surface modification of Al₂O₃ effectively improves their interfacial adhesion with LDPE. The interfacial interactions between surface of Al₂O₃ and vinyl groups of grafted chains increase the compatibility. It may be due to that the LDPE molecule has almost the same basic repeating unit vinyl



Fig. 1. Schematics of preparing process of the multi-layered nanocomposites.



Fig. 2. SEM images of the cross-section of 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposites with different amplifications (a) 2000 multiples (b) 20000 multiples.

group with that of VMES, which possibly improve the compatibility of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles with LDPE.

3.2. Crystallization

Fig. 3 shows the non-isothermal crystallization curves of the multi-layered LDPE nanocomposites at the temperature rate of 10 °C/min. Generally, the crystallization process of polymers includes the formation of crystal nuclei and the growth of crystal grain. The growth of crystal grains mainly dependents on the crystallization temperature. It is known that the temperature corresponding to the crystallization peak is usually proportional to the crystal growth rate [14].

It can be observed from Fig. 3 that the melting points of the multi-layered LDPE nanocomposites are higher than that of 1%N nanocomposites. The reason is that the interactions between the molecule chains are more obvious. The interfacial region always increases the area of molecule movement, and it decreases the inhibition of the growth of crystals. The crystallinity (X_c) is related to the melting enthalpy (ΔH), and it can be calculated as follows:

$$\chi_{\rm c} = \frac{\Delta H}{(1-\phi)\Delta H_{100}} \times 100\% \tag{1}$$



Fig. 3. DSC curves of the multi-layered LDPE nanocomposites.

here, Φ is the weight percent of Al₂O₃; ΔH is the integrated melting enthalpy; ΔH_{100} is the enthalpy of LDPE when the crystallinity is 100% (287.3 J/g) [15,16]. From the results listed in Table 1, it can be seen that the crystallinity of 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposites is higher than that of other gradient-distribution structured and mean-distribution ones. Two reasons could explain it. Firstly, the Al₂O₃ particles can provide more nucleation centers of microcrystal and increase the nucleating rate of macromolecules; Secondly, the interfacial interactions between the surface of Al₂O₃ and vinyl groups of grafted chains impeded the motions of molecules and lowered the growing rate [17]. Obviously, the larger amount of Al₂O₃ is filled into the LDPE matrix, which could easily limit the movement of polymer molecular chains and little space is left for the additional crystallization, resulting in the decrease of crystallization. Thus, the X_c of 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposite is higher than that of the other gradient-distribution structured nanocomposite.

Due to the effect of molecule force, LDPE molecule tends to form stable conformation and the molecule chain is more ordered, which is conducive to form the small spherulites. The gradient-distribution structured nanocomposites with twice hot-pressing tend to the more stable conformation, so the multi-layered LDPE nanocomposites with larger concentration of Al₂O₃ have higher crystallinity. The increase of the nucleation rate will make the size of spherulite smaller, and the smaller dispersed spherulites may induce the deep traps in the bulk of LDPE [18].

3.3. Breakdown strength

Dielectric breakdown strength of the LDPE nanocomposites is analyzed within the framework of Weibull statistics. Weibull statistical distribution is one important method to analyze the breakdown strength of materials, which reflects the probability of the material at certain field strength (E) or the probability of failure or breakdown at a certain time (t). Weibull cumulative distribution breakdown field strength can be described as follows.

able 1	
he melting point and melting heat of the multi-layered nanocomposites.	
	-

Samples	Tg	$\Delta H (J/g)$	Xc (%)
1%N/0.1%N/LDPE	107	140	49
1%N/0.2%N/LDPE	105	120	42
1%N/0.5%N/LDPE	104	107	37
1%N	103	99	34

$$P = 1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{E}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}\right]$$
(2)

here, *P* is the cumulative probability of the dielectric breakdown; *E* is the breakdown strength for testing, β is the shape factor related to the dispersion of sample, and α is the characteristic breakdown strength during the cumulative probability of the dielectric breakdown is 63.2%. After twice taking the logarithm, Equation (2) can be described as follows:

$$\log[-\ln(1-P)] = \beta \log(E) - \beta \log(\alpha)$$
(3)

this point log $[-\ln (1-P)]$ and log (*E*) form a linear relationship in the Cartesian coordinate system. According to IEEE Standard 930-2004, when the number of samples is less than 25, *P* should be computed by the formula as follows.

$$P_i = \frac{i - 0.44}{n + 0.25} \times 100\% \tag{4}$$

where *n* is the breakdown times or voltages in order from smallest to largest and assign them a rank from i = 1 to i = n.

The Weibull parameters α and β are shown in the insert table in Fig. 4. α is found to be strongly affected by the filler modification in the nanocomposites and the voltage ramping rate. The breakdown strength of 1%N/0.5%N/LDPE nanocomposites is lower than that of other composites. The breakdown strength of 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposites reaches to 200 kV/mm, which is higher than that of 1%N nanocomposites with the 120 kV/mm. For the gradient-distribution structured nanocomposites, the breakdown strength of 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE is higher than that of the other ones. The reason may be that the amount of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles affects the breakdown strength data. When the nanoparticles amount reaches a certain value, the conductivity path will be formed. The Al₂O₃ contents of 1%N/0.2%/LDPE and 1%N/0.5%/LDPE has over the threshold.

In the theory of electrical strength, usually referred to as freevolume theory, breakdown is initiated by free electrons which are accelerated by electric field in the largest of the holes present in the amorphous phase of all polymers. Breakdown starts when the



Fig. 4. Weibull-distribution plot of the multi-layered LDPE nanocomposites with the thickness of 480 μ m. The insert is the characteristic breakdown strength (α) and shape factor (β).

energy gained by free electrons in field *E* on free path of length *l* attains with probability P = 1 the barrier energy E_{u} . Then all electrons with this energy hop over E_{u} , separating the traps in the compact structure [19,20]. The relationship is shown in Equation (5). The molecule motion will make the molecule rearrangement towards to the more stable conformations when the polymer is at the melt point. The distance between the chain branches could increase, due to the decrease of the molecule force. The schematic diagram of hot motion is shown in Fig. 5. The *l* becomes shorter after the molecule rearrangement, which result to the E_b higher. The hot motion of molecule in multi-layers nanocomposites will be easier completed with two-time hot pressing. So the breakdown strength data of multi-layered LDPE nanocomposites is higher than that of single-layer LDPE nanocomposites.

$$E_b = \frac{E_u}{el_e} \tag{5}$$

3.4. Space charge

Fig. 6 shows the space charge distribution of LDPE nanocomposites polarized at the electric field of 30 kV/mm for 120 min. Although the interface is not clear from the SEM figure (as shown in Fig. 2a), the interface charge is distinct. With the different concentrations of nano-Al₂O₃ in the middle layer, the space charge distribution is obviously different. It can be observed from Fig. 6a that the large amount of space charges injected into LDPE materials from the anode and cathode. The space charges aggregated near the electrodes and interface. For the 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposites, the injection of space charge from the cathode was inhibited. From Fig. 6b, we can see that the interface charge aggregates between the layers. With the time increasing, the interface charge is larger as shown in Fig. 6c. Fig. 6d is the space charge distribution of 1%N nanocomposite with the single-layer film. The charge injected into the materials is from the anode and cathode. The effect of inhibition for the 1%N nanocomposites is better than that of LDPE [21].

The charge amount in the materials is based on charge density and the thickness of the materials. The charge amount Q represented in Fig. 6a–d is derived from the experimental results according to Equation (6).

$$Q = \int_{0}^{d} |\rho(x)| S dx \tag{6}$$

where Q is the total trapped charge amount, $\rho(x)$ is the charge density, *S* is the electrode area, and *d* is the thickness of the sample. The results are plotted in Fig. 7. The total charge in the materials is increasing as time increased. The rate of charge accumulation in the 1%N/0.2%N/LDPE and 1%N/0.5%N/LDPE nanocomposites is faster than the other composites in 60 min. The total charge accumulated in the 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposites is less than those in 1%N/ 0.2%N/LDPE and 1%N/0.5%N/LDPE nanocomposites at 120 min. The amount of charge accumulated in the 1%N is the least. The possible reason is that the nanoparticles added into the composites improve the energy level of energy band [22], which inhibits the injection of charge. The charge in the materials was induced to the polarity at the beginning time. As the stressing time increased, the charge amount grows with exponential. That may be the injection charge in the materials. The charge of the 1%N/0.2%N/LDPE is more than that of 1%N/0.2%N/LDPE. The reason is that the certain content nanoparticles added into composites could inhibit the injection of charge. When the nano-Al₂O₃ content is over 1%, the inhibition



Fig. 5. Schematic diagram of thermal motion of the molecular chains.



Fig. 6. Space charge distribution plot of multi-layered LDPE nanocomposites with the thickness of 450 μ m (a) 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE, (b) 1%N/0.2%N/LDPE, (c) 1%N/0.5%/LDPE, and (d) 1% N.



Fig. 7. Total charge amount of the samples.

effect will be decreased, that had been reported in the referents [23–25]. The multi-layered nanocomposites with 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE are benefit to suppress the charge injection. Compared the total charge in the 1%N/0.1%N/LDPE nanocomposites with 1%N, the charge is similar.

4. Conclusions

The gradient-distribution Al₂O₃/LDPE nanocomposites with multi-layered structure were prepared in this paper. Compared with the general mean-distribution structured monolayer composites, these composites with unique morphology have excellent electrical properties as follows. For the gradient-distribution structured LDPE nanocomposites, there was no clear interface between the adjacent layers, though they were prepared through two-time hot pressing progress. This continuous morphology can well avoid the air layer. Meanwhile, the polymer molecules in thermal motion during the hot pressing process, makes the whole molecule rearrangement. This rearrangement of molecule chains contributes to its forming stable conformation, which has the minimum molecular force. Space charge amount of the gradientdistribution structured LDPE nanocomposites is less than that of the mean-distribution structured ones as the trap near the composites surface can capture the injected charges. The captured charges can distort the electric field in the vicinity of the interface, which will decrease the charge injection. Thus, the space charges can be suppressed. Meanwhile, the nano-Al₂O₃ tends to distribute in the interface between the neighbouring layers. It suggests that the carriers prefer to conduct through this interaction zone, then causing the space charge suppression.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by NSF of China (No. 51425201 and 51377010, 51622701), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2014CB239501), Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Project (Grant No. Z13110300590000), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. FRF-TP-15-013A3), Visiting Scholarship of State Key Laboratory of Power Transmission Equipment & System Security and New Technology

(Chongqing University) (2007DA10512714407).

References

- B.X. Du, J. Li, Effects of ambient temperature on surface charge and flashover of heat-shrinkable polymer under polarity reversal voltage, IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 23 (2016) 1190–1197.
- [2] M. Roy, J.K. Nelson, R.K. MacCrone, L.S. Schadler, Candidate mechanisms controlling the electrical characteristics of silica/XLPE nanodielectrics, J. Mater. Sci. 42 (2007) 3789–3799.
- [3] F. Rogti, M. Ferhat, Effect of temperature on trap depth formation in multilayer insulation: low density polyethylene and fluorinated ethylene propylene, Appl. Phys. Lett. 104 (2014) 031605.
- [4] Y. Suzuoki, H. Mutot, T. Mizutani, M. Leda, The role of space charge in the electrical conduction of ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers, J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. 20 (1987) 1053–1058.
- [5] A.A. Sener, E. Demirhan, The investigation of using magnesium hydroxide as a flame retardant in the cable insulation material by cross-linked polyethylene, Mater. Des. 29 (2008) 1376–1379.
- [6] S. Ju, M. Chen, H. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Dielectric properties of nanosilica/lowdensity polyethylene composites: the surface chemistry of nanoparticles and deep traps induced by nanoparticles, Polym. Lett. 8 (2014) 682–691.
- [7] R.J. Fleming, A. Ammala, P.S. Casey, Conductivity and space charge in LDPE containing nano- and micro-sized ZnO particles, IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 15 (2008) 118–126.
- [8] G. Siqueira, J. Bras, A. Dufresne, Cellulose whiskers versus microfibrils: influence of the nature of the nano -particle and its surface fictionalization on the thermal and mechanical properties of nanocomposites, Biomacromolecules 10 (2009) 425–432.
- [9] F.Q. Tian, Q.Q. Lei, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Effect of deep trapping states on space charge suppression in polyethylene/ZnO nanocomposite, Appl. Phys. Lett. 99 (2011) 142903.
- [10] S.S. Kwang, E.K. Jong, J.O. Woo, G.Y. Ho, T. Takada, Charge distribution and conduction characteristics of 2-vinylpyridine-graftedpolyethylene, J. Appl. Phys. 87 (2000) 7333.
- [11] E.K. Neagu, M.M.N. Jose, Charge injection/ejection and trapping in low-density polyethylene at low and medium fields, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83 (2003) 75–77.
- [12] X. Wang, H.Q. He, D.M. Tu, Dielectric properties and crystalline morphology of low density polyethylene blended with metallocene catalyzed polyethylene, IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 15 (2008) 319–326.
- [13] IEEE Std 930-2004, IEEE Guide for the Statistical Analysis of Electrical Insulation Breakdown Data, 2005.
- [14] W.C. Du, W. Zhong, Y.J. Lin, L. Shen, Q.G. Du, Space charge distribution and crystalline structure in polyethylene blended with EVOH, Eur. Polym. J. 40 (2004) 1987–1995.
- [15] Y.C. Xie, D.M. Yu, J. Kong, X.D. Fan, W.Q. Qiao, Study on morphology, crystallization behaviors of highly filled maleated polyethylene-layered silicate nanocomposites, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 100 (2006) 4004–4011.
- [16] J. Kong, X.D. Fan, M. Jia, Study of polyethylene solution fractionation and resulting fractional crystallization behavior, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 93 (2004) 2542–2549.
- [17] J. Kong, X.D. Fan, Y.C. Xie, W.Q. Qiao, Study on molecular chain heterogeneity of linear low-density polyethylene by cross-fractionation of temperature rising elution fractionation and successive self-nucleation/annealing thermal fractionation, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 94 (2004) 1710–1718.
- [18] X.Y. Huang, P.K. Jiang, Y. Yin, Nanoparticle surface modification induced space charge suppression in linear low density polyethylene, Appl. Phys. Lett. 95 (2009) 242905.
- [19] J. Artbauer, Electric strength of polymers, J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. 29 (1996) 446–456.
- [20] S.T. Li, G.L. Yin, G. Chen, Short-term breakdown and long-term failure in nanodielectrics: a review, IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 17 (2010) 1523–1535.
- [21] S.J. Wang, J.W. Zha, Y.H. Wu, L. Ren, Z.M. Dang, Preparation, microstructure and properties of polyethylene/alumina nanocomposites for HVDC insulation, IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 22 (2015) 3350–3356.
- [22] J.K. Nelson, J.C. Fothergill, Internal charge behaviour of nanocomposites, Nanotechnology 15 (2004) 586–595.
- [23] T.C. Zhou, G. Chen, R.J. Liao, Z.Q. Xu, Charge trapping and detrapping in polymeric materials: trapping parameters, Appl. Phys. Lett. 110 (2011) 043724.
- [24] T. Takada, Y. Hayase, Y. Tanaka, Space charge trapping in electrical potential well caused by permanent and induced dipoles for LDPE/MgO nanocomposite, IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 15 (2008) 152–161.
- [25] T. Tanaka, Dielectric nanocomposites with insulating properties, IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 12 (2005) 914–928.