

Cancer in Pregnancy



Anna McCormick, DO*, Erika Peterson, MD

KEYWORDS

- Gestational breast cancer • Cervical cancer in pregnancy
- Colon cancer in pregnancy • Hematologic cancers in pregnancy
- Lymphoma in pregnancy • Leukemia in pregnancy

KEY POINTS

- The diagnosis of cancer in the gestational period poses many difficult decisions for which multiple clinical, personal, and ethical factors need to be considered for treatment planning.
- The incidence of most gestational cancers is increasing owing to the fact that many women are deciding to delay childbearing.
- In general, most chemotherapy treatments should be delayed until the second and third trimesters to avoid fetal toxicity.
- Pregnancy should not be a reason to delay a diagnostic workup for symptoms concerning for cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Because more women are waiting to have children until later in life, cancer diagnoses in pregnancy are becoming more common. Gestational cancer is defined as a new cancer diagnosis during pregnancy or in the first year postpartum.¹ The most common cancers in reproductive aged women are breast, melanoma, thyroid, cervical, and lymphomas, listed in order of decreasing frequency.² The diagnosis of cancer in the gestational period poses many difficult decisions for which multiple clinical, personal, and ethical factors need to be considered for treatment planning. We review the pertinent information for some of the more common gestational cancers, as well as some less common, but with increasing prevalence in the United States.

BREAST CANCER

Gestational breast cancer is considered any breast cancer occurring either during pregnancy, in the year after delivery, or anytime during lactation. Breast cancer is

The authors have no commercial or financial conflicts of interest to disclose.

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 W Watertown Plank Road, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: amccormick@mcw.edu

Obstet Gynecol Clin N Am 45 (2018) 187–200

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ogc.2018.01.009>

0889-8545/18/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

obgyn.theclinics.com

one of the most common pregnancy-associated cancers. Pregnancy-associated breast cancer occurs in 20% of breast cancer patients younger than 30 years of age.³ The incidence is only 0.4% of all breast cancers diagnosed in women aged 16 to 49, however the rate is increasing.¹ This increase is most likely secondary to delaying the age at which women begin childbearing.

The majority of gestational breast cancer is infiltrating ductal carcinoma. Gestational breast cancer is more likely to be poorly differentiated and have metastases at the time of diagnosis when compared with nonpregnant women.⁴ There is typically a lower incidence of estrogen receptor–positive, progesterone receptor–positive breast cancer diagnosed during pregnancy and the postpartum period, whereas human epidermal growth factor 2–positive tumors seem to be equal in incidence to that of nonpregnant women.⁵

A diagnosis of breast cancer during pregnancy or lactation is often more challenging given the normal physiologic changes in the breast during these periods.⁶ For example, rapid enlargement and hypertrophy during pregnancy and the postpartum period can distort the anatomy of the breast. Often the diagnosis is delayed by pregnancy and lactation; hence, the diagnosis is made at more advanced stages during pregnancy.⁷ Interestingly, a breast cancer diagnosis during lactation can be detected by the milk rejection sign, in which the nursing infant will refuse to nurse from the cancerous side.² Any breast mass persisting for more than 2 weeks during pregnancy or lactation needs to be evaluated. Even though 80% of breast biopsies during pregnancy are benign, delayed diagnosis because of pregnancy or lactation is critical to prognosis.⁸

If a breast mass is identified in pregnancy, it should be evaluated with imaging, typically a diagnostic mammogram. This imaging modality is considered safe during pregnancy and poses little known threat to the developing fetus.⁹ An abdominal shield can be used, although the data supporting the added safety of this technique are minimal.^{10–12} The standard dose of radiation of a mammogram (200–400 mrad) is negligible to the developing fetus.⁹ A biopsy should be performed of any suspicious mass in pregnancy or lactation, regardless of mammogram results. Evaluation for advanced stage disease with imaging of the chest, liver, bone, and brain should also be performed. To image the chest during pregnancy a chest radiograph may be performed. The gravid uterus can make it difficult to rule out metastasis at the diaphragm or inferior lung lobes, in which case an MRI of the chest may be performed without contrast.¹³ MRI without contrast has documented safety in pregnancy and can also be used to evaluate the abdomen, pelvis, and brain. There is limited information on the safety of PET scans during pregnancy and these generally should be avoided.¹⁴ If there is suspicion for bone metastasis, a radionuclide (technetium-99M) bone scan can be obtained and also has a negligible radiation dose to the fetus.⁹

The treatment for pregnancy-associated breast cancer is challenging and should be managed by a maternal–fetal medicine specialist, breast surgeon, and oncologist. The data on treatment of gestational breast cancer are limited to retrospective reviews and case series.^{15–18} In the past, it was thought that termination of pregnancy would improve prognosis and survival; however, this supposition has not been supported by evidence.¹⁹ Elective termination of pregnancy can be considered in the instance of very advanced stage disease as a personal choice for the mother. In contrast, there is some evidence to suggest termination of pregnancy actually worsens the prognosis of breast cancer. However, these studies are retrospective and the data are likely skewed by the fact that more women with advanced disease choose termination of pregnancy.^{19,20}

A key to breast cancer surgical staging is axillary lymph node dissection. This procedure can be undertaken in the pregnant patient with little if any additional risk to the fetus.^{21,22} Less is known about the technique of sentinel lymph node dissection, using radiation, and its safety during pregnancy. Some authors conclude that the minimal dose of radiation used in this procedure is well below the 50-mGy threshold for fetal effects.²² However, it is not known if the lymphatic drainage channels are altered by pregnancy and, therefore, the efficacy of this procedure is unknown in the pregnant patient.¹⁷ There is 1 case series that documents the safety of sentinel lymph node biopsy and mapping in 12 pregnant patients.²¹

In general, the surgical treatment of breast cancer during pregnancy should be undertaken much like that in the nonpregnant population. Depending on the stage of cancer, the patient may undergo a local excision or lumpectomy versus a mastectomy.²³ For early stage treatment, a nonpregnant patient may opt for breast-conserving treatment along with radiation therapy. In a pregnant patient, a mastectomy is recommended for those patients who would like to continue their pregnancies because radiation therapy would be necessary with conservative treatment and is to be avoided during pregnancy.²⁴ Mastectomy can be performed with very little risk to the fetus in any trimester. Breast reconstruction surgery should be postponed until the completion of pregnancy because there is no urgency to this procedure, and it is typically postponed until completion of adjuvant treatments.

Radiation therapy, in contrast, has potential risk to the fetus.⁹ Depending on gestational age, these risks include pregnancy loss and fetal anomalies if exposed in the first trimester and growth restriction and potential carcinogenic risks in childhood if exposed in the second or third trimesters.²⁵ The typical therapeutic radiation dose given for breast cancer is 46 to 60 Gy.²⁵ This translates into a fetal dose of 0.04 to 0.15 Gy. For fetuses less than 16 weeks of gestation, this is above the threshold of 0.10 to 0.2 Gy, where effects may be seen. After 16 weeks of gestation, a much higher dose is likely tolerated by the fetus, 0.50 to 0.70 Gy.²⁶ In most cases, radiation therapy can be avoided or delayed until after pregnancy. However, in some situations it may be beneficial to proceed with radiation therapy during pregnancy and the risks and benefits should be discussed in each unique clinical scenario.

There are supportive data to show that chemotherapy in the pregnant patient is well-tolerated by the fetus.^{27,28} The most common and well-studied regimens in pregnancy are doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide or fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide. These treatments vary slightly from the typical chemotherapy regimens in the nonpregnant patient (**Box 1**).²⁷ All of these agents were previously considered pregnancy risk factor category D. The most critical time period in gestation to avoid systemic chemotherapy is organogenesis, from week 5 to week 10 of gestation after the last menstrual period. This time period poses the greatest risk for fetal congenital anomalies and pregnancy loss. This risk has been estimated to be as high as 15% to 20%.^{28–30} The most significant risk of chemotherapy in the second or third trimesters is not for congenital anomalies, but intrauterine growth restriction and preterm delivery.^{27,28} Multiple case reports have supported the safety of anthracyclines when used in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy.^{31,32} Doxorubicin is preferred to idarubicin and epirubicin during pregnancy because of reports of intrauterine demise with idarubicin and epirubicin.^{31,33–36}

The use of taxanes as a chemotherapy agent is generally considered safe in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy as well.¹⁵ The use of trastuzumab for human epidermal growth factor 2–positive breast cancers during pregnancy is considered contraindicated secondary to reported oligohydramnios and pulmonary hypoplasia.³⁷

Box 1**Common chemotherapy regimens for breast cancer***Nonpregnant patients with HER2-negative breast cancer*

Docetaxel and cyclophosphamide

Doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel

Doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide

Doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel

Docetaxel, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide

Cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil

Fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide

Fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide with paclitaxel

Fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide with docetaxel

Nonpregnant patients with HER2-positive breast cancer

Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel followed by fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide

Trastuzumab, pertuzumab, carboplatin, and docetaxel

Fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel, pertuzumab, and trastuzumab

Doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel and trastuzumab

Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel

Pregnant patients (HER2-positive/negative)

Doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide

Fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide

Abbreviation: HER2, human epidermal growth factor 2.

Data from Giacalone PL, Laffargue F, Benos P. Chemotherapy for breast carcinoma during pregnancy: a French national survey. *Cancer* 1999;86(11):2266–72.

Although requested by many pregnant patients, a delay in treatment with systemic chemotherapy should be avoided. The risk of metastasis increases with every few months of delayed treatment by 5% to 10%.⁷

Tamoxifen, a selective estrogen receptor modulator, is often used as treatment and for the prevention of recurrence of breast cancer for estrogen receptor-positive cancers. Its use during pregnancy is generally avoided. The long-term effects on the neonate are not known and it has been associated with miscarriage and congenital malformations, specifically genitourinary malformations.^{38,39} There have also been case reports of patients who have taken tamoxifen during pregnancy and their infants were born without anomalies.^{38,40} More information is needed on the safety of this medication during pregnancy.

Tamoxifen likely inhibits the ability to breastfeed by suppressing prolactin.⁴¹ Therefore, the potential benefits of tamoxifen in protecting the patient from recurrence must be weighed with the benefits of breastfeeding and a decision to discontinue nursing should tamoxifen therapy be desired.

The common anthracyclines and cyclophosphamide agents used for breast cancer are excreted into breast milk and should be avoided while nursing.^{31,33–36} For trastuzumab, it is recommended by the manufacturer to wait at least 6 months after the last

dose to begin breastfeeding owing to the 7-month wash out period for the drug concentrations to be eliminated from the body.⁴²

Delivery timing should take into account nadirs in cell counts from chemotherapy. Delivery should be avoided within 3 to 4 weeks of the last chemotherapy treatment to avoid increased risks of maternal sepsis and bleeding, as well as any transient myelosuppressive effect of the chemotherapy on the fetus.⁴³ The optimal timing of delivery has been studied and a decision analysis model taking into account stage and hormone status concluded that for stage I and II cancers, delivery at 36 weeks results in the greatest number of overall quality-adjusted life years.⁴⁴ Route of delivery is generally not affected by breast cancer diagnosis and should be determined by normal obstetric indications.

Although studies evaluating the prognosis of pregnancy-associated breast cancer have had mixed results, in general it is thought that the survival of pregnancy-associated breast cancer is similar to that of the nonpregnant patient.⁴⁵ The diagnosis of breast cancer in the postpartum period has been postulated to be a particularly high-risk scenario, with some studies estimating increased mortality if diagnosed 4 to 6 months after delivery.⁴⁶ More epidemiologic studies need to be done to determine if this risk is actually increased because of diagnosis in the postpartum period or if it is because disease was present during pregnancy and there was a delay in diagnosis.

CERVICAL CANCER

Cervical cancer is one of the most common gynecologic cancers associated with pregnancy, but in actuality occurs rarely, 1 per 1200 to 10,000 pregnancies.⁴⁷ Depending on the stage of cervical cancer, its implications during pregnancy and future fertility range from very little impact to greatly impacting a woman's life and childbearing ability.⁴⁸ In general, the prognosis for cervical cancer is unchanged by pregnancy. However, depending on tumor size and location, cervical cancer may dictate the route of delivery.⁴⁹ As with other gestational cancers, there are no large randomized prospective studies guiding treatment. Therefore, we must rely on studies from nonpregnant patients and case series.

Women with abnormal cervical cytology who are pregnant should undergo evaluation as indicated. Colposcopy with biopsies should be performed if there is suspicion for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia II/III.⁵⁰ Colposcopy can be challenging in pregnancy given the normal physiologic changes of the cervix, including increased vascularity and ectropion that occur during pregnancy.⁵¹ Staging of cervical cancer is typically done clinically (**Table 1**).⁵² The imaging studies suggested for cervical cancer staging in pregnancy are chest radiograph with abdominal shield or computed tomography scan of the chest for suspected lung metastases.^{53,54} For suspected higher stage cancers, the urinary tract, abdomen, and pelvis can be imaged with MRI to evaluate tumor size, as well as vaginal, stromal, parametrial, and lymph node involvement.⁵⁴ Cystoscopy and proctoscopy for cervical cancer staging can be performed if needed for accurate staging. Cervical cancer has not been known to metastasize to the placenta or fetus.

The management of invasive cervical cancer in pregnancy is challenging and each individual patient requires thoughtful, multidisciplinary planning. In general, definitive treatment for invasive cervical cancer in the pregnant patient should be undertaken if the patient desires termination of pregnancy in the first and early second trimesters, has positive lymph nodes, or shows progression of disease during pregnancy.⁵⁵ For desired pregnancies less than 22 weeks of gestation at the time of diagnosis, patients

Table 1	
International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics cervical cancer staging system	
Stage	Criteria
I	Carcinoma is strictly confined to the cervix.
IA	Microscopic invasion. Invasion is limited to measured stromal invasion with a maximum depth of 5 mm and no wider than 7 mm.
IA1	Measured invasion of stroma <3 mm in depth and <7 mm width.
IA2	Measured invasion of stroma >3 mm and <5 mm in depth and 7 mm width.
IB	Clinical lesions confined to the cervix of preclinical lesions greater than stage IA.
IB1	Clinical lesions no greater than 4 cm in size.
IB2	Clinical lesions >4 cm in size.
II	Carcinoma invades beyond the uterus but not to the pelvic wall or lower one-third of the vagina.
IIA	Tumor without parametrial invasion or involvement of the lower one-third of the vagina.
IIA1	Clinically visible lesion 4 cm or less in greatest dimension with involvement of less than the upper two-thirds of the vagina.
IIA2	Clinically visible lesion >4 cm in greatest dimension with involvement of less than the upper two-thirds of the vagina.
IIB	Tumor with parametrial invasion.
III	Tumor extends to pelvic wall and/or involves the lower one-third of vagina and/or causes hydronephrosis or a nonfunctioning kidney.
IIIA	Tumor involves the lower one-third of vagina, no extension to the pelvic sidewall.
IIIB	Tumor extends to the pelvic sidewall and/or causes hydronephrosis or a nonfunctioning kidney.
IVA	Tumor invades the mucosa of the bladder or rectum, and/or extends beyond the true pelvis.

Adapted from Pecorelli S. Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of the vulva, cervix, and endometrium. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2009;105(2):103–4; with permission.

should undergo lymphadenectomy to determine node status. This procedure can be performed laparoscopically, with little harm to the fetus based on limited data.⁵⁶

For microinvasive disease, a cold knife cone can be performed during pregnancy.⁵⁷ There are substantial risks of bleeding as well as miscarriage with cone procedures during pregnancy and these risks increase as gestational age increases.⁵⁸

For stage IA2 to IB1 cancers, a large conization can be performed if pregnancy continuation is desired with a reported risk of parametrial extension of less than 1%.^{59,60} There is an option to place a cervical cerclage at the time of conservative surgery, although there is no evidence to support this technique; it might be extrapolated from data on trachelectomies.⁶¹ For higher stage cervical cancers and desired pregnancy, the options include neoadjuvant chemotherapy with or without early delivery.⁶² The standard chemotherapy of cisplatin and paclitaxel is generally well-tolerated by the fetus if given in the second and third trimesters, although no long-term data exist.⁶³ Delivery timing is optimal if the last dose of chemotherapy is given at 34 to 35 weeks of gestation with delivery at term.^{53,62}

For pregnancies greater than 22 weeks of gestation at the time of diagnosis, lymphadenectomy becomes too technically challenging to be beneficial. For lower stage disease, IA to IB1, treatment can be deferred until after delivery with very little known risk of metastases.^{64,65} For higher stage cancers in pregnancies greater than 22 weeks

of gestation, treatment is individualized, but should include a discussion of risks of delay in treatment and the possibility of early delivery.^{66,67} Often it is decided by the patient and her family to undergo chemotherapy with definitive treatment status after delivery.

The route of delivery in patients with cervical cancer also needs to be considered. With a general lack of data on this topic, it is prudent to allow for vaginal delivery in early stage cervical cancers; however, episiotomy should be avoided, because there have been case series documenting recurrence at the site of episiotomy.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ The limited data support unchanged maternal outcomes for patients with lower stage disease (IA1 and 1A2) who have had vaginal deliveries.⁴⁷ For higher stages, limited case report evidence suggests cesarean delivery results in improved maternal outcomes.⁷⁰ For higher stage or bulky tumor, cesarean delivery should be performed to avoid hemorrhagic risk.

The prognosis of cervical cancer in the pregnant patient is likely not different from that of the nonpregnant patient.^{62,71} The risks for the fetus include preterm delivery and growth restriction if the patient is given systemic chemotherapy.⁶⁵ A diagnosis of cervical cancer in the pregnant patient is an ethically challenging situation and each patient's care plan should be handled individually.

HEMATOLOGIC CANCERS

Of the hematologic cancers, the most common is Hodgkin lymphoma. It is the fourth most common malignancy to be diagnosed during pregnancy, likely because of the younger age of onset of this cancer.⁷² The incidence of Hodgkin lymphoma in pregnancy is 1 in 1000 to 1 in 6000 pregnancies.⁷³ The leukemias are more rare, effecting 1 in 75,000 pregnancies.^{74,75} Although more rare, there are some important perinatal risks to consider with the diagnosis of leukemia during pregnancy. Because leukemias are so rare, there is little to guide management during pregnancy.⁷⁶

The most common type of leukemia is acute myeloid leukemia, with a typical age of onset in the reproductive years.⁷⁶ The presenting symptoms are associated with pancytopenia; the most common symptom is fatigue. The diagnosis is typically made with abnormal screening complete blood count that occurs at the first prenatal visit. Confirmation of the diagnosis is made with a bone marrow biopsy.

If diagnosed in the first trimester, consideration for termination should be given because a delay in systemic chemotherapy likely adds significant risk to the mother.⁷⁶ With the standard systemic therapy of anthracycline and cytarabine given in the second or third trimesters, the complete response rate is 87% and is similar to that of nonpregnant females.⁷⁵ Because of the underlying risk of thrombocytopenia and disseminated intravascular coagulopathy in these patients, special caution and consideration to timing of delivery should be undertaken.⁷⁷

More is known about Hodgkin lymphoma during pregnancy. It occurs in 1 in 1000 to 1 in 6000 pregnancies and makes up 3% of all Hodgkin diagnoses.⁷³ Hodgkin lymphoma usually presents with symptoms of painless lymphadenopathy, fatigue, shortness of breath, anemia, or thrombocytopenia, some of which can be difficult to discern from other common pregnancy symptoms.⁷³ The diagnosis of Hodgkin lymphoma in pregnancy should be handled no differently than in the nonpregnant patient. This process usually consists of a lymph node biopsy. It is typically performed under local anesthesia, but can also be done under general anesthesia with little known risk to the fetus, although the effects of prolonged exposure to general anesthetic agents on the developing fetus are not known.⁷⁸ Staging evaluation typically requires chest

radiograph with abdominal shielding, laboratory evaluation including a sedimentation rate (which can be elevated in pregnancy), and an MRI of the abdomen.⁷²

The standard systemic chemotherapy regimen for Hodgkin lymphoma is doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine. Depending on gestational age at diagnosis and the stage of the disease, this same regimen is recommended in the pregnant patient.²⁹ Another option often undertaken during pregnancy is maintenance therapy with vincristine alone.

There is evidence to support the safety of the doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine chemotherapy regimen in pregnancy.⁷⁹ An observational study showed that there was likely more risk from iatrogenic preterm delivery to the offspring of these patients than from the exposure to chemotherapy.⁷⁹

If the patient is diagnosed in the early first trimester, treatment should be delayed until the second or third trimesters when the teratogenic effects of chemotherapy are minimal.⁸⁰ In the second and third trimesters, systemic chemotherapy does instill a risk of intrauterine growth restriction, preterm delivery, and perhaps a long-term risk of the childhood cancer, although this finding has not been well-documented.⁸¹ If the diagnosis is made in the third trimester of pregnancy, it is feasible for the woman to defer treatment until after delivery unless disease burden is high or progression is thought to be imminent.⁸⁰ The optimal patients for whom deferral of treatment is considered are those with early stage disease (IA to IIA) or stable disease presenting later in gestation. Although there have been no prospective trials considering deferral of treatment, there have been 2 case series supporting this approach.^{82–84} Chemotherapy should be timed to avoid nadir of cell counts close to term and the goal for delivery timing should be at least 34 weeks or after, when the risks from prematurity are lower.

Pregnancy seems to have little effect on the course of disease in women with Hodgkin lymphoma.⁷³ One case series followed 48 pregnant women with Hodgkin lymphoma and compared outcomes with matched nonpregnant women; the 20-year survival rate was no different.⁷³ There have been other case series with similar results.^{73,83–85} The overall survival rate for the pregnant patient with Hodgkin lymphoma is estimated to be 71% and is similar to that of the nonpregnant patient.⁸⁶

COLON CANCER

Colon cancer is one of the less common malignancies to encounter during pregnancy; however, the age at which colon cancer is diagnosed in women is decreasing, with a median age at diagnosis of 32 years in pregnant women.⁸⁷ It is also important to consider, because many of the symptoms of colon cancer are similar to those related to pregnancy: nausea, vomiting, change in bowel habits, or rectal bleeding. The symptom of rectal bleeding is often overlooked in the pregnant patient and misdiagnosed as bleeding from hemorrhoids.⁸⁷ Any of these symptoms should prompt investigation without delay.

There is little evidence that establishes a different normal carcinoembryonic antigen level in pregnancy; therefore, any increase should be evaluated. These tests are typically drawn in the patient presenting with the symptoms listed above. Once colorectal cancer is suspected, the next step in a nonpregnant patient is a colonoscopy, barium enema, or a computed tomography scan. A colonoscopy, if needed, can be done safely during pregnancy.⁸⁷ MRI rather than a computed tomography scan is ideal for staging purposes as well as evaluation of tumor burden.⁸⁷ A systematic review of the current literature and cases of colon cancer in pregnancy concludes that survival is similar to that of nonpregnant patients; however, stage at diagnosis tends

to be more advanced for pregnant women.⁸⁷ Interestingly, metastasis to the ovary is more common in pregnancy-associated colon cancer, occurring in 23% versus 8% of pregnant and nonpregnant women, respectively.^{88,89} Placental metastasis is extremely rare.

If diagnosed early in pregnancy, the patient has to consider excision of tumor while pregnant versus termination of pregnancy followed by surgical excision. If diagnosed later in pregnancy, the patient will undergo surgical resection versus delivery if at a gestational age with acceptable prematurity outcomes. Chemotherapy is to be avoided during the first trimester, but can be given in the second or third trimester with little risk to the fetus.⁵³ The typical adjuvant chemotherapy regimen for colon cancer is Folfox (5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin).⁹⁰ It is generally tolerated by the fetus later in gestation, although little is known in terms of the long-term effects.^{91–96} There is especially little evidence to guide the use of oxaliplatin. There are 7 documented pregnancies exposed to this drug, 5 of which underwent treatment after the first trimester.^{91–96} Only hypothyroidism was reported in one of the infants, but no birth defects were noted.⁹⁶ Two of the infants were born preterm and were noted to be small for gestational age.⁹⁶ There is more known about 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin, which have some long-term follow-up information and are generally considered low risk if given in the second and third trimesters.⁹⁷

In general, pregnancy outcomes are favorable for pregnant patients with colon cancer.⁹⁸ Patients should be counseled on the increased risk for cesarean delivery if there is large abdominal or pelvic tumors, preterm birth and small for gestational age/intra-uterine growth restriction for those being treated with systemic chemotherapy.⁹⁸ Delivery timing depends on gestational age at diagnosis and the treatment plan, and should be determined with the aid of multidisciplinary teams. Delivery can generally be achieved vaginally; however, some expert opinion recommendations include cesarean section if there is an anterior rectal tumor present given the increased risks of bleeding from the tumor site during delivery.⁹⁷

In general, the prognosis for the pregnant patient diagnosed with colon cancer is considered to be poor, but stage for stage the prognosis is similar to that of nonpregnant patients.⁹⁷ Typically, more advanced stages are being diagnosed in the pregnant patient given the risk for delay in diagnosis in this population.

SUMMARY

Cancer in pregnancy marks an emotional and devastating diagnosis that requires a multidisciplinary approach to management. Each case needs to be considered individually; there are no consensus guidelines and few prospective studies to guide treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Shachar SS, Gallagher K, McGuire K, et al. Multidisciplinary management of breast cancer during pregnancy. *Oncologist* 2017;22(3):324–34.
2. Saber A, Dardik H, Ibrahim IM, et al. The milk rejection sign: a natural tumor marker. *Am Surg* 1996;62(12):998–9.
3. Anderson BO, Petrek JA, Byrd DR, et al. Pregnancy influences breast cancer stage at diagnosis in women 30 years of age and younger. *Ann Surg Oncol* 1996;3(2):204–11.
4. Stensheim H, Moller B, van Dijk T, et al. Cause-specific survival for women diagnosed with cancer during pregnancy or lactation: a registry-based cohort study. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27(1):45–51.

5. Elledge RM, Ciocca DR, Langone G, et al. Estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and HER-2/neu protein in breast cancers from pregnant patients. *Cancer* 1993;71(8):2499–506.
6. Sasidharan R, Harvey V. Pregnancy and breast cancer. *Obstet Med* 2010;3(2):54–8.
7. Nettleton J, Long J, Kuban D, et al. Breast cancer during pregnancy: quantifying the risk of treatment delay. *Obstet Gynecol* 1996;87(3):414–8.
8. Collins JC, Liao S, Wile AG. Surgical management of breast masses in pregnant women. *J Reprod Med* 1995;40(11):785–8.
9. ACOG Committee on Obstetric Practice. ACOG Committee Opinion. Number 299, September 2004 (replaces No. 158, September 1995). Guidelines for diagnostic imaging during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004;104(3):647–51.
10. Amant F, Loibl S, Neven P, et al. Breast cancer in pregnancy. *Lancet* 2012;379(9815):570–9.
11. Litton JK, Theriault RL. Breast cancer and pregnancy: current concepts in diagnosis and treatment. *Oncologist* 2010;15(12):1238–47.
12. Rimawi BH, Green V, Lindsay M. Fetal implications of diagnostic radiation exposure during pregnancy: evidence-based recommendations. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2016;59(2):412–8.
13. Shellock FG, Crues JV. MR procedures: biologic effects, safety, and patient care. *Radiology* 2004;232(3):635–52.
14. Hsieh TC, Wu YC, Sun SS, et al. FDG PET/CT of a late-term pregnant woman with breast cancer. *Clin Nucl Med* 2012;37(5):489–91.
15. Zagouri F, Sergentanis TN, Chrysikos D, et al. Taxanes for breast cancer during pregnancy: a systematic review. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2013;13(1):16–23.
16. Loibl S, Han SN, von Minckwitz G, et al. Treatment of breast cancer during pregnancy: an observational study. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13(9):887–96.
17. Rovera F, Chiappa C, Cogliatore A, et al. Management of breast cancer during pregnancy. *Int J Surg* 2013;11(Suppl 1):S64–8.
18. Krontiras H, Bland KI. When is sentinel node biopsy for breast cancer contraindicated? *Surg Oncol* 2003;12(3):207–10.
19. Nugent P, O'Connell TX. Breast cancer and pregnancy. *Arch Surg* 1985;120(11):1221–4.
20. Clark RM, Chua T. Breast cancer and pregnancy: the ultimate challenge. *Clin Oncol* 1989;1(1):11–8.
21. Gentilini O, Cremonesi M, Toesca A, et al. Sentinel lymph node biopsy in pregnant patients with breast cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2010;37(1):78–83.
22. Gentilini O, Cremonesi M, Trifiro G, et al. Safety of sentinel node biopsy in pregnant patients with breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2004;15(9):1348–51.
23. Veronesi U, Cascinelli N, Mariani L, et al. Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized study comparing breast-conserving surgery with radical mastectomy for early breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347(16):1227–32.
24. Woo JC, Yu T, Hurd TC. Breast cancer in pregnancy: a literature review. *Arch Surg* 2003;138(1):91–8 [discussion: 99].
25. Mazonakis M, Damilakis J. Estimation and reduction of the radiation dose to the fetus from external-beam radiotherapy. *Phys Med* 2017;43:148–52.
26. Antypas C, Sandilos P, Kouvaris J, et al. Fetal dose evaluation during breast cancer radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1998;40(4):995–9.
27. Giacalone PL, Laffargue F, Benos P. Chemotherapy for breast carcinoma during pregnancy: a French national survey. *Cancer* 1999;86(11):2266–72.

28. Ring AE, Smith IE, Jones A, et al. Chemotherapy for breast cancer during pregnancy: an 18-year experience from five London teaching hospitals. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23(18):4192–7.
29. Doll DC, Ringenberg QS, Yarbrow JW. Antineoplastic agents and pregnancy. *Semin Oncol* 1989;16(5):337–46.
30. Ebert U, Loffler H, Kirch W. Cytotoxic therapy and pregnancy. *Pharmacol Ther* 1997;74(2):207–20.
31. Murthy RK, Theriault RL, Barnett CM, et al. Outcomes of children exposed in utero to chemotherapy for breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* 2014;16(6):500.
32. Turchi JJ, Villasis C. Anthracyclines in the treatment of malignancy in pregnancy. *Cancer* 1988;61(3):435–40.
33. Ahtari C, Hohlfeld P. Cardiotoxic transplacental effect of idarubicin administered during the second trimester of pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2000;183(2):511–2.
34. Germann N, Goffinet F, Goldwasser F. Anthracyclines during pregnancy: embryo-fetal outcome in 160 patients. *Ann Oncol* 2004;15(1):146–50.
35. Reynoso EE, Huerta F. Acute leukemia and pregnancy—fatal fetal outcome after exposure to idarubicin during the second trimester. *Acta Oncol* 1994;33(6):709–10.
36. Siu BL, Alonzo MR, Vargo TA, et al. Transient dilated cardiomyopathy in a newborn exposed to idarubicin and all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA) early in the second trimester of pregnancy. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2002;12(4):399–402.
37. Zagouri F, Sergentanis TN, Chrysikos D, et al. Trastuzumab administration during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2013;137(2):349–57.
38. Isaacs RJ, Hunter W, Clark K. Tamoxifen as systemic treatment of advanced breast cancer during pregnancy—case report and literature review. *Gynecol Oncol* 2001;80(3):405–8.
39. Tewari K, Bonebrake RG, Asrat T, et al. Ambiguous genitalia in infant exposed to tamoxifen in utero. *Lancet* 1997;350(9072):183.
40. Koca E, Kuzan TY, Babacan T, et al. Safety of tamoxifen during pregnancy: 3 case reports and review of the literature. *Breast Care (Basel)* 2013;8(6):453–4.
41. Shaaban MM. Suppression of lactation by an antiestrogen, tamoxifen. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1975;4(5):167–9.
42. Lactmed. Available at: <https://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search2/r?dbs+lactmed:@term+@DOCNO+1017>.
43. Koren G, Carey N, Gagnon R, et al. Cancer chemotherapy and pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2013;35(3):263–78.
44. Kuo K, Caughey AB. Optimal timing of delivery for women with breast cancer, according to cancer stage and hormone status: a decision-analytic model. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2017;1–10 [Epub ahead of print].
45. Lee GE, Mayer EL, Partridge A. Prognosis of pregnancy-associated breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2017;163(3):417–21.
46. Schedin P. Pregnancy-associated breast cancer and metastasis. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006;6(4):281–91.
47. Nguyen C, Montz FJ, Bristow RE. Management of stage I cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2000;55(10):633–43.
48. Bruinsma FJ, Quinn MA. The risk of preterm birth following treatment for precancerous changes in the cervix: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG* 2011;118(9):1031–41.

49. Kyrgiou M, Athanasiou A, Kalliala IEJ, et al. Obstetric outcomes after conservative treatment for cervical intraepithelial lesions and early invasive disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;(11):CD012847.
50. Guidelines N. <https://www.tri-kobe.org/nccn/guideline/gynecological/english/cervical.pdf>.
51. Baldauf JJ, Dreyfus M, Ritter J, et al. Colposcopy and directed biopsy reliability during pregnancy: a cohort study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1995;62(1): 31–6.
52. Pecorelli S, Zigliani L, Odicino F. Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of the cervix. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2009;105(2):107–8.
53. Cordeiro CN, Gemignani ML. Gynecologic malignancies in pregnancy: balancing fetal risks with oncologic safety. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2017;72(3):184–93.
54. Reznek RH, Sahdev A. MR imaging in cervical cancer: seeing is believing. The 2004 Mackenzie Davidson Memorial Lecture. *Br J Radiol* 2005;78(Spec No 2): S73–85.
55. Amant F, Halaska MJ, Fumagalli M, et al. Gynecologic cancers in pregnancy: guidelines of a second international consensus meeting. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2014;24(3):394–403.
56. Vercellino GF, Koehler C, Erdemoglu E, et al. Laparoscopic pelvic lymphadenectomy in 32 pregnant patients with cervical cancer: rationale, description of the technique, and outcome. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2014;24(2):364–71.
57. Yahata T, Numata M, Kashima K, et al. Conservative treatment of stage IA1 adenocarcinoma of the cervix during pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol* 2008;109(1): 49–52.
58. Connor JP. Noninvasive cervical cancer complicating pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 1998;25(2):331–42.
59. Takushi M, Moromizato H, Sakumoto K, et al. Management of invasive carcinoma of the uterine cervix associated with pregnancy: outcome of intentional delay in treatment. *Gynecol Oncol* 2002;87(2):185–9.
60. Herod JJ, Decruze SB, Patel RD. A report of two cases of the management of cervical cancer in pregnancy by cone biopsy and laparoscopic pelvic node dissection. *BJOG* 2010;117(12):1558–61.
61. Ishioka S, Endo T, Baba T, et al. Successful delivery after transabdominal cerclage of uterine cervix for cervical incompetence after radical trachelectomy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2015;41(8):1295–9.
62. Hopkins MP, Morley GW. The prognosis and management of cervical cancer associated with pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 1992;80(1):9–13.
63. Cardonick E, Bhat A, Gilmandyar D, et al. Maternal and fetal outcomes of taxane chemotherapy in breast and ovarian cancer during pregnancy: case series and review of the literature. *Ann Oncol* 2012;23(12):3016–23.
64. van der Vange N, Weverling GJ, Ketting BW, et al. The prognosis of cervical cancer associated with pregnancy: a matched cohort study. *Obstet Gynecol* 1995; 85(6):1022–6.
65. Karam A, Feldman N, Holschneider CH. Neoadjuvant cisplatin and radical cesarean hysterectomy for cervical cancer in pregnancy. *Nat Clin Pract Oncol* 2007; 4(6):375–80.
66. Germann N, Haie-Meder C, Morice P, et al. Management and clinical outcomes of pregnant patients with invasive cervical cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2005;16(3):397–402.
67. Iavazzo C, Karachalios C, Iavazzo PE, et al. The implantation of cervical neoplasia at postpartum episiotomy scar: the clinical evidence. *Ir J Med Sci* 2015;184(1):113–8.

68. Van den Broek NR, Lopes AD, Ansink A, et al. "Microinvasive" adenocarcinoma of the cervix implanting in an episiotomy scar. *Gynecol Oncol* 1995;59(2):297–9.
69. Neumann G, Rasmussen KL, Petersen LK. Cervical adenosquamous carcinoma: tumor implantation in an episiotomy scar. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;110(2 Pt 2):467–9.
70. Baloglu A, Uysal D, Aslan N, et al. Advanced stage of cervical carcinoma undiagnosed during antenatal period in term pregnancy and concomitant metastasis on episiotomy scar during delivery: a case report and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2007;17(5):1155–9.
71. Zemlickis D, Lishner M, Degendorfer P, et al. Maternal and fetal outcome after invasive cervical cancer in pregnancy. *J Clin Oncol* 1991;9(11):1956–61.
72. Moshe Y, Bentur OS, Lishner M, et al. The management of Hodgkin lymphomas in pregnancies. *Eur J Haematol* 2017;99(5):385–91.
73. Lishner M, Zemlickis D, Degendorfer P, et al. Maternal and foetal outcome following Hodgkin's disease in pregnancy. *Br J Cancer* 1992;65(1):114–7.
74. Pavlidis NA. Coexistence of pregnancy and malignancy. *Oncologist* 2002;7(4):279–87.
75. Horowitz NA, Henig I, Henig O, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2018;59(3):610–6.
76. Ali S, Jones GL, Culligan DJ, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukaemia in pregnancy. *Br J Haematol* 2015;170(4):487–95.
77. Consoli U, Figuera A, Milone G, et al. Acute promyelocytic leukemia during pregnancy: report of 3 cases. *Int J Hematol* 2004;79(1):31–6.
78. Olutoye OA, Baker BW, Belfort MA, et al. Food and Drug Administration warning on anesthesia and brain development: implications for obstetric and fetal surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2018;218(1):98–102.
79. Amant F, Van Calsteren K, Halaska MJ, et al. Long-term cognitive and cardiac outcomes after prenatal exposure to chemotherapy in children aged 18 months or older: an observational study. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13(3):256–64.
80. Bachanova V, Connors JM. How is Hodgkin lymphoma in pregnancy best treated? ASH evidence-based review 2008. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2008;33–4.
81. Ngu SF, Ngan HY. Chemotherapy in pregnancy. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2016;33:86–101.
82. Connors JM. Challenging problems: coincident pregnancy, HIV infection, and older age. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2008;334–9.
83. Jacobs C, Donaldson SS, Rosenberg SA, et al. Management of the pregnant patient with Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1981;95(6):669–75.
84. Gelb AB, van de Rijn M, Warnke RA, et al. Pregnancy-associated lymphomas. A clinicopathologic study. *Cancer* 1996;78(2):304–10.
85. Yahalom J. Treatment options for Hodgkin's disease during pregnancy. *Leuk Lymphoma* 1990;2(3–4):151–61.
86. Woo SY, Fuller LM, Cundiff JH, et al. Radiotherapy during pregnancy for clinical stages IA-IIA Hodgkin's disease. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1992;23(2):407–12.
87. Pellino G, Simillis C, Kontovounisios C, et al. Colorectal cancer diagnosed during pregnancy: systematic review and treatment pathways. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;29(7):743–53.
88. Mason MH 3rd, Kovalcik PJ. Ovarian metastases from colon carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 1981;17(1):33–8.
89. Tsukamoto N, Uchino H, Matsukuma K, et al. Carcinoma of the colon presenting as bilateral ovarian tumors during pregnancy. *Gynecol Oncol* 1986;24(3):386–91.

90. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Homepage on the Internet/ Available: <https://www.nccn.org>.
91. Makoshi Z, Perrott C, Al-Khatani K, et al. Chemotherapeutic treatment of colorectal cancer in pregnancy: case report. *J Med Case Rep* 2015;9:140.
92. Kanate AS, Auber ML, Higa GM. Priorities and uncertainties of administering chemotherapy in a pregnant woman with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer. *J Oncol Pharm Pract* 2009;15(1):5–8.
93. Jeppesen JB, Osterlind K. Successful twin pregnancy outcome after in utero exposure to FOLFOX for metastatic colon cancer: a case report and review of the literature. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* 2011;10(4):348–52.
94. Gensheimer M, Jones CA, Graves CR, et al. Administration of oxaliplatin to a pregnant woman with rectal cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2009;63(2): 371–3.
95. Dogan NU, Tastekin D, Kerimoglu OS, et al. Rectal cancer in pregnancy: a case report and review of the literature. *J Gastrointest Cancer* 2013;44(3):354–6.
96. Rogers JE, Dasari A, Eng C. The treatment of colorectal cancer during pregnancy: cytotoxic chemotherapy and targeted therapy challenges. *Oncologist* 2016;21(5):563–70.
97. Nesbitt JC, Moise KJ, Sawyers JL. Colorectal carcinoma in pregnancy. *Arch Surg* 1985;120(5):636–40.
98. Dahling MT, Xing G, Cress R, et al. Pregnancy-associated colon and rectal cancer: perinatal and cancer outcomes. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2009;22(3): 204–11.