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Abstract

Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks (VANETs) are vulnerable to various type of network attacks like Blackhole attack, Denial of Service (DoS), Sybil attack etc. Intrusion Detection Systems (IDSs) have been proposed in the literature to address these security threats. However, high vehicular mobility makes the process of formulating an IDS framework for VANET a difficult task. Moreover, VANETs operate in bandwidth constrained wireless radio spectrum. Therefore, IDS frameworks that introduce significant volume of IDS traffic are not suitable for VANETs. In addition, dynamic network topology, communication overhead and scalability to higher vehicular density are some other issues that needs to be addressed while developing an IDS framework for VANETs. This paper aims to address these issues by proposing a multi-layered game theory based intrusion detection framework and a novel clustering algorithm for VANET. The communication overhead of the IDS is reduced by using a set of specification rules and a lightweight neural network based classifier module for detecting malicious vehicles. The volume of IDS traffic is minimized by modeling the interaction between the IDS and the malicious vehicle as a two player non-cooperative game and adopting a probabilistic IDS monitoring strategy based on the Nash Equilibrium of the game. Finally, the proposed clustering algorithm maintains the stability of the IDS framework, which ensures that the framework scales up well to networks with higher vehicular densities. Simulation results show that the proposed framework achieves high accuracy and detection rate across wide range of attacks, while at the same time minimizes the overall volume of intrusion detection related traffic introduced into the vehicular network.
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1. Introduction

The concept of enabling vehicles with the capability to make transportation infrastructure more secure and efficient has received immense attention in recent years. This has lead to the emergence of Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks (VANETs), which are formed on the fly by a network of vehicles equipped with multiple sensors and On Board Units (OBUs). The OBUs enable vehicles to connect with Road Side Units (RSUs) through a wireless short-range direct communication link based on the IEEE 802.11p radio frequency channel. VANET uses various type of notification messages like Post Crash Notification (PCN), Road Hazard Condition Notification (RHCN), Stopped/Slow Vehicle Advisor (SVA) etc., to provide vehicular communication.

VANET uses 75 MHz of Dedicated Short Range Communications (DSRC) spectrum at 5.9 GHz to support IEEE 802.11p standard for communication among vehicles. DSRC provides a communication range of 300 to 1000 m with a data rate of more than 27 Mbps and supports a vehicular mobility as high as 200 Kmph [1]. The IEEE P1609 working group has proposed DSRC as IEEE 802.11p standard for Wireless Access in Vehicular Environment (WAVE) platform [2]. The DSRC based WAVE architecture supports two different protocol stacks namely, the WAVE Share Message Protocol (WSMP) and the traditional IPv6 protocol. Time sensitive and high priority communication are achieved using the WSMP, while the less demanding communication involving the UDP/TCP/IP data frames are achieved using the IPv6 protocol. As shown in the Fig. 1, the DSRC spectrum band is divided into seven channels of 10 MHz each [3]. Channel 178 is the Control Channel (CCH), which is used for transmission of emergency messages. The other six channels numbered 172, 174, 176, 180, 182 and 184 are Service Channels (SCHs), which are used for both both safety and non-safety applications. If the CCH channel is active, all vehicles are bound to stop their communication during CCH time frame to receive and transmit emergency messages on CCH channel.

VANETs use emergency broadcast messages for disseminating information about adverse road conditions and traffic accidents, which require communication between the member ve-
VANETs vary depending on the traffic density and vehicular mobility. This can cause high delays in dissemination of messages due to broadcast storm at high vehicular densities and disconnected network problems at low vehicular densities. Therefore, any intrusion detection framework proposed for VANET must adopt a suitable clustering algorithm for producing stable vehicular clusters to maintain the network’s stability.

- Communication overhead and scalability: The association of a vehicle with other vehicles and RSUs in VANET is usually short lived and intermittent. Therefore IDS frameworks that require high communication overhead are not suitable for VANETs. In addition, VANETs consist of a network of hundreds of vehicles and are designed for supporting real time safety related applications, which require them to be up and running all the time. Therefore, IDS frameworks designed for VANETs must be scalable to vehicular networks with high vehicular densities.

A good trade-off must be maintained between gathering enough information for effectively detecting network intrusions and preventing the overburdening of IDS’s logging component with high volume of IDS traffic in VANET. To achieve this trade-off, a novel clustering algorithm, a distributed Cluster Head (CH) election algorithm and a game theory based multi layered intrusion detection framework for VANET are proposed in this paper. In summary, the main contribution of this paper are as follows:

- We propose a distributed clustering algorithm that uses various vehicular information like velocities, reputation values, real time coordinates and direction of movement to generate stable vehicular clusters. Stable clusters enhance the robustness of the intrusion detection framework by providing vehicles enough time frame to exchange their data and thereby enabling them to make informed decisions.

- We propose a novel Cluster Head (CH) election algorithm that uses an incentive structure based on the Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG) mechanism to motivate vehicles to actively participate in the CH election process by offering them payment in the form of reputation gain for taking up the role of the CH. Data packets of the reputed vehicles are given higher priority during data traffic routing.

- We propose a multi layered game theory based intrusion detection framework for VANET that uses a set of specification rules and a lightweight neural network based classifier module to detect various type of attacks in VANET.

- We model the interaction between the IDS and the malicious vehicle as a two player non-cooperative game, and adopt probabilistic monitoring strategies based on the NE of the game. Such game theoretic modeling minimizes the volume of IDS traffic in bandwidth constrained vehicular

**Figure 1: Dedicated Short Range Communications (DSRC) spectrum with 7 channels of 10 MHz**
networks, without compromising the overall performance of the intrusion detection framework.

The rest of the paper has been organized in following way. Section 2 discusses related works on VANET intrusion detection frameworks and their drawbacks. Section 3 provides a detailed description of the proposed game theory based multi layered intrusion detection framework. Section 4 provides the experimental results and comparison analysis of the proposed framework with various other intrusion detection frameworks. Conclusion and future works are provided in Section 5.

2. Related Works

The work proposed in this paper primarily focuses on detection of insider attacks like blackhole attack, wormhole attack, selective forwarding etc., in VANETs. Therefore, we begin the related work section with description of various misbehaving detection mechanisms proposed in the literature for identifying insider attacks in various wireless networks like, Wireless Sensor Network (WSN), Mobile Ad-hoc Network (MANET) and VANET. We then state the drawbacks associated with these detection mechanisms, which provide motivations for the work carried out in this paper.

Various methods for augmenting the security level of the watchdog mechanism in the sensor network for preventing insider attacks is proposed in [12]. Similarly, security framework for detecting malicious nodes carrying out insider attacks through generation of malicious reports is proposed in [13]. On the other hand, effective intrusion detection mechanisms for detecting insider attacks in Mobile Ad-hoc Network (MANET) are proposed in [14, 15]. Although, these security mechanisms provide comprehensive protection against insider attacks in WSNs and MANETs, they cannot be applied to VANETs due to differences in the underlying network characteristics of VANETs with that of WSNs and MANETs.

A bandwidth-efficient protection mechanism for detecting insider attacks on in-network aggregation protocols in VANET is proposed in [16]. It uses combination of various data mining techniques to detect false information in the vehicular network and a filtering technique to limit the influence of attackers on the aggregated data. However, the drawback of this mechanism is the additional overhead required for maintaining the path list, which contains a fixed number of signatures. A framework that uses graph based metrics for insider attack detection in VANET multi-hop data dissemination protocols is proposed in [17]. It proposes a redundant data forwarding based mechanism to enable consistency checks in multi-hop data dissemination protocols to prevent insider attacks. However, the drawback of this framework is its high communication overhead and introduction of significant volume of IDS related traffic due to dissemination of redundant information.

Various cryptographic and authentication based preventive mechanisms have been proposed in the literature to address the security threats in VANET [3, 18]. A novel Authentication, Authorization and Accounting (AAA) access control schemes for application services in VANETs are proposed in [19, 20]. These schemes are based on IEEE 802.11i standard and use EAP-Kerberos model, wherein the vehicles willing to join the network send authentication request message through the intermediate vehicles and the RSU, until they reach a centralized authentication server that can grant access to the requesting mobile users. Although, AAA access control schemes based on IEEE 802.11i standards provide a promising solution for authentication and authorization between vehicles and service providers in VANETs, a full 802.11i based authentication requires a long authentication delay between 750 to 1200 ms due to lengthy round trip delay between the AAA server and the RSU [21].

An accurate and lightweight intrusion detection framework, called AECFV, which aims to protect VANET against various type of attacks is proposed in [7]. The framework uses a combination of specification rules and a Support Vector Machine (SVM) based classifier model to detect various type of attacks. However, the main drawback of the framework is the overhead involved in training the complex SVM classifier model. A novel approach for detecting wormhole attack in VANET is proposed in [22]. It uses authentication mechanism based on HEAP method [23] and can be easily implemented in AODV routing protocol without requiring any special hardware support. However, the drawback of the scheme is that it can only detect wormhole attacks in AODV routing protocol. A Learning Automata (LA) based IDS framework for VANET is proposed in [24], wherein the vehicles are equipped with Markov Chain Model based LA to capture different activities and states of the vehicles. This framework uses a classifier model based on parameter called the Collaborative Trust Index (CTI) to detect various attacks in the vehicular network. However, the drawback of this framework is the overhead involved in developing a complex Markov Chain Model based LA, which puts a limitation on its real time deployment.

A framework to identify and evict misbehaving faulty vehicles from the vehicular network are proposed in [4] [25]. These frameworks use dynamic rule-based IDS detection engines and revocation of certificate by the Certification Authority (CA) as the primarily tools to evict misbehaving vehicles from the vehicular network. Similarly, various other intrusion detection frameworks for identifying attacks like DoS, blackhole, greyhole etc., in VANET are proposed in [26, 27, 28]. However, all these frameworks suffer from various drawbacks like, latency involved in identification of the malicious vehicles, overhead in distribution of the revocation information across vehicular networks and generation of high volume of IDS traffic.

Data traffic aggregation models for wireless networks (including VANETs) to efficiently utilize the smallest unit of a Radio Access Network (RAN) and for fluently up-linking in 5G cellular networks using fixed Relay Nodes (RNs) are proposed in [29] [30, 31]. These schemes use a new 5G network slicing technique based on classification and measurement of the data traffic, to satisfy the Quality of Service (QoS) requirements of various smart system networks such as smart vehicular traffic monitoring systems. However, the main drawback of these schemes is the challenge associated with the full scale deployment of 5G radio networks over highly dynamic and mo-
Bile vehicular networks.

From our survey of related works, we found the following drawbacks associated with the existing VANET intrusion detection frameworks:

1. Some frameworks use complex markov chain and SVM based models for detecting intrusive vehicular activities [24] [7]. This increases the communication overhead involved in dissemination of intrusion detection related information across the vehicular network.


3. Some frameworks introduce significant volume of IDS traffic [17] [4] [25], which can cause congestion in a bandwidth constrained vehicular network.

4. Some frameworks are geared towards detection of specific type of attacks [22] and cannot be generalized for detecting other class of attacks.

5. Few IDS frameworks have scalability issues [24] [29] [30] as they suffer from broadcast storm and disconnected network problems at high and low vehicular densities, respectively.

In this paper, we aim to address these issues in existing IDS frameworks by proposing a novel clustering algorithm, a distributed CH election algorithm and a game theory based multi layered intrusion detection framework for VANET.

3. Multi layered game theory based hybrid intrusion detection framework

In this section, we first present an overview of the proposed intrusion detection framework for VANET and state various assumptions made by the framework. We then describe various type of attacks in VANETs followed by a detailed description of the proposed multi-layered game theory based intrusion detection framework for VANET.

The overall architecture of the proposed intrusion detection framework is shown in Fig. 2. In the proposed framework, the vehicles communicate with their respective Cluster Heads (CHs) using the IEEE 802.11p wireless standard and the CHs communicate with the Road Side Units (RSUs) using the Long-Term Evolution (LTE) wireless standard. Two different wireless technologies were chosen to maintain a fair trade-off between latency and operational cost. Vehicular networks employing only the IEEE 802.11p standard encounter high delay in dissemination of safety messages due to broadcast storm and disconnected network problems at high and low vehicular densities, respectively. Cellular technologies based on LTE can mitigate this problem, as they have low latency and wide-range communication. However, a pure cellular-based VANET communication is not feasible due to high cost of communication between the vehicles and the CHs. Therefore, a hybrid vehicular network that uses a combination of IEEE 802.11p and LTE based wireless standards provide the best trade-off between the latency and the operational cost.

The proposed framework carries out the intrusion detection task at three different levels. At the lowest level, a set of agent nodes operate their Local Intrusion Detection System (LIDS) module and monitor vehicles in their neighborhood. The agent node’s LIDS module uses a set of specification rules based on Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI), Packet Delivery Rate (PDR), Packet Forwarding Rate (PFR) and Duplicate Packet Rate (DPR) values to detect the malicious vehicles in the neighborhood. In addition, the agent nodes also monitor the CH for sign of maliciousness. If majority of the agent nodes find the CH to be malicious then a new CH is elected in its place. A detailed description about the agent nodes election algorithm and agent node’s LIDS module is provided in Section 3.3.

At the intermediate level, the CH operates the Cluster Intrusion Detection System (CIDS) module to monitor vehicles in its cluster. The CIDS module uses a combination of specification rules and a lightweight neural network based classifier module to detect malicious vehicles in the cluster. It uses the information received from the agent nodes in its cluster to decide a game theory based probabilistic monitoring strategy. This enables the CH to minimize the volume of IDS traffic introduced into the vehicular network. It also employs a payment mechanism for updating the agent nodes’ reputation values. When the reputation of any agent node falls below the threshold value, it is replaced with a new agent node by the CH. A detailed description about the CH’s CIDS module is provided in Section 3.4.

Finally, at the highest level, the RSU operates the Global Decision System (GDS) module, which receives input from multiple CHs within its radio range. The malicious vehicle reported by the CHs is assigned either to the Malicious list or to the Suspicious list based on the number of the CHs that reported the vehicle as malicious. The RSUs periodically broadcast the identities of these malicious vehicles to prevent other normal vehicles in the network from communicating with them. A detailed description of the RSU’s GDS module is provided in Section 3.5.

Various algorithms running at different layers of the proposed IDS framework is also shown in Fig. 2. A brief description about these algorithms is provide below:

1. CH election algorithm (Algorithm 1): This algorithm runs at every cluster of the vehicular network and elects the CH for the given cluster.

2. Detection rule algorithm (Algorithm 2): This algorithm uses set of specification rules based on Packet Delivery Rate (PDR), Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI), Duplicate Packet Rate (DPR) and Packet Forwarding Rate (PFR) values to detect malicious vehicles in the cluster.

3. Malicious CH detection algorithm (Algorithm 3): This algorithm is executed by the agent nodes to verify whether the CH is normal or malicious.

4. IDS agent nodes election algorithm (Algorithm 4): This algorithm is executed at every cluster to elect a set of agent nodes, which are responsible for aiding the CH in monitoring and identifying malicious vehicles.
5. Agent node reputation update algorithm (Algorithm 5):
This algorithm updates the reputation values of the agent nodes in the cluster based on their observed behavior. The agent nodes found to be behaving maliciously are penalized with negative payment and removed from the cluster by the CH.

Elaborate details about each of these algorithms are provided in the later sections of the paper.

We make the following assumptions with respect to the proposed intrusion detection framework:

1. Vehicles are equipped with 802.11p enabled wireless DSRC radio, which enables them to communicate with each other. Vehicles use Global Positioning System (GPS) and digital map to determine their coordinates at real time. Additionally, vehicles employ public key based cryptographic solutions to ensure communication privacy and source authentication.

2. Only the vehicles that have stopped at the traffic signal and the vehicles approaching the road intersection point with relatively low speed are considered in the proposed framework. This allows vehicles enough time frame to form clusters and exchange their gathered information.

3. As shown in Fig. 3, the vehicular network is partitioned into multiple grid regions and each region is assigned a unique identity number (ID). In the figure these IDs are numbered A through T. In order to comply with the maximum transmission range under DSRC standard, each grid’s dimension is set to 1000 m × 1000 m. The vehicles are grouped into clusters based on their grid IDs. Vehicles can only communicate with other vehicles in their own cluster. Any inter cluster communication has to be made via the CH. The CHs exchange their information containing the list of malicious vehicles when they come into each others radio range.

4. Prior to participating in the vehicular network, all the vehicles must initially register with one of the RSUs. The RSUs maintain a reputation list of all the registered vehicles in their radio range.

Due to the wireless nature and broadcast medium of communication in VANET, a malicious vehicle can disseminate false alert messages for its own selfish gain and disrupt the normal functioning of the network. In our study we have considered the following class of attacks in VANETs:

1. Selective forwarding and black hole attacks: In the selective forwarding attack, the malicious vehicle selectively forwards the data packets while dropping others. On the other hand, in the black hole attack the malicious vehicle drops all the packets that it receives without forwarding them further. A malicious vehicle or a CH performing these attacks can be detected by computing its Packet Delivery Rate (PDR) and Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) values and comparing them with a threshold PDR ($T_{pdrSF}$, $T_{pdrBH}$) and RSSI ($T_{RSSI}$) values.
2. **Denial of Service (DoS) attack**: In this attack, the malicious vehicle inundates the network with a large number of fake alert messages about road accident and congestion in order to consume the network’s bandwidth. A malicious vehicle performing a DoS attack can be detected by computing its Duplicate Packet Rate (DPR) and Packet Forwarding Rate (PFR) values. If its DPR and PFR exceed the threshold values $T_{dpr_{dos}}$ and $T_{pfr_{dos}}$, respectively then it is assumed to be carrying out the DoS attack.

3. **Wormhole attack**: In this attack, two malicious vehicles located at different locations collude together to form a private tunnel. To execute this attack, the malicious vehicle generates a high RSSI value signal to convince other normal vehicles in its neighborhood that it has the shortest path to destination or the CH. Thereafter, the malicious vehicle forwards all the received packets to another malicious vehicle at the other end of the tunnel, which in turn either drops the packets or modifies them before forwarding them to the destination. If the RSSI and PDR values of the vehicle being monitored exceed the threshold values $T_{rssi_{wh}}$ and $T_{pdr_{wh}}$, respectively then it is assumed to be carrying out the wormhole attack.

4. **Sybil attack**: In this attack, the malicious vehicle creates a multiple fake identities of itself in order to prevent detection when launching various other attacks like black hole and DoS attacks. Sybil attack can be detected by computing the RSSI value of the vehicle and then verifying whether it follows a normal distribution. To detect this attack, the mean ($\mu$) and the standard deviation ($\sigma$) corresponding to the RSSI values of all the vehicles in the cluster are calculated. The ‘Z-score’ of RSSI value of vehicle $v_i$ ($RSSI_{vi}$) is then calculated using the formula $RSSI_{vi} - \mu \over \sigma$. If the ‘Z-score’ of RSSI value of the vehicle being monitored exceeds the value 2.5 ($T_{rssi_{syb}}$) in the normal distribution curve, then the vehicle is assumed to be carrying out the Sybil attack.

Before delving into the detailed description of the proposed multi-layered game theory based intrusion detection framework, we provide a description about the distributed clustering algorithm employed by the proposed framework for generating stable vehicular clusters. We also discuss a novel CH election algorithm along with a stimulus structure based on Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG) mechanism [32] for motivating vehicles to actively participate in the CH election process.

3.1. **Distributed cluster formation and CH election algorithms**

The effectiveness of any cluster based VANET intrusion detection framework largely depends on the stability of the clusters produced by the clustering algorithms. Stable clusters reduce the overhead involved in cluster formation process and provide vehicles with sufficient time frame to exchange their data. To achieve this objective, a distributed clustering algorithm for VANET that produces stable vehicular clusters with enhanced connectivity among member vehicles is proposed in this paper.

The proposed clustering algorithm requires the vehicles to periodically broadcast beacon messages to inform other vehicles in the neighborhood about their presence. The beacon message comprises the vehicle’s ID, its current region ID (coordinates) and its cluster membership status. The vehicles use the beacon messages received from their neighborhood vehicles to generate their Social Choice Function (SCF) tables. The SCF table of the vehicle comprises the IDs of all the vehicles within its radio range along with their associated reputation values. Initially, the reputation values of the vehicles in the SCF tables are initialized to some default values. The vehicle $v_i$ later updates the reputation of vehicles in its SCF table ($SCF_{vi}$) based upon its observation about their behavior and the updates that are received from the CH and the RSU. When the reputation of any vehicle $v_j \in SCF_{vi}$ falls below the threshold value ($R_{th}$), it is removed from the $SCF_{vi}$.

Vehicles in VANET are constrained by road topologies,
which require them to follow traffic lights and road signs leading to a predictable mobility pattern with restricted movement along predefined directions. The proposed clustering algorithm exploits these vehicular constraints to generate stable clusters. The clustering process starts at the road intersection point with vehicles broadcasting the cluster formation messages (Clufrm) comprising their identities, current region IDs, velocities and their SCF table details. If the vehicle \( v_i \) receives a Clufrm message from a vehicle \( v_j \) that is not in its SCF table, i.e., \( v_j \not\in \text{SCF}_v \), and if the region ID of \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) are same, then \( v_i \) adds \( v_j \) to its SCF table. Upon successful exchange of the Clufrm messages, the vehicles compute the mean and standard deviation values of the vehicular velocities in their SCF tables. The vehicles are then grouped into clusters based on their velocities and region IDs. All the vehicles in a given cluster must belong to the same region ID and their velocities must be within three standard deviation of the mean cluster velocity. If the vehicle \( v_i \) cannot find any other vehicle in its neighborhood, i.e., it does not receive any Clufrm messages in response to its own Clufrm message, then it incrementally increases its transmission range to find new neighbors. The transmission range can be increased up to 1000 m, which is the maximum transmission range allowed in the DSRC standard, after which \( v_i \) declares itself as the CH with \( v_i \) as the sole member of the cluster.

After the successful completion of the cluster formation process, the CH election process is initiated at each cluster. Each vehicle \( v_i \) in the cluster \( C \) computes the utility function of every other vehicle \( v_j \in \text{SCF}_v \) using the following rule:

\[
U_{vj}^{vi} = \beta_1 R_{vj} + \beta_2 |\text{SCF}_v| \quad \forall \ v_j, v_i \in C
\]

where \( R_{vj} \) is the reputation of \( v_j \) in \( \text{SCF}_v \), \( |\text{SCF}_v| \) is the number of vehicles in the \( \text{SCF} \) list of \( v_j \), \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \in [0, 1] \) are the weight parameters used for specifying the significance of reputation and connectivity metrics of \( v_j \) in computation of the utility function \( U_{vj}^{vi} \).

After computing the utility functions corresponding to every vehicle in their \( \text{SCF} \) lists, the vehicles exchange their utility function lists (U\text{Utility}_{list}). The Utility_{list} of vehicle \( v_i \) comprises the utility functions corresponding to every other vehicle in its SCF table. The Utility_{list} of \( v_i \) is of the form \( \{U_{v_i}^{v_i}, U_{v_i}^{v_2}, ..., U_{v_i}^{v_k}\} \), where \( v_a, v_b, ..., v_k \in \text{SCF}_{v_i} \). Then the vehicle computes the aggregated utility function corresponding to every vehicle \( v_j \in \text{SCF}_v \) using the Utility_{list} it received from other vehicles. Finally, the vehicle with the highest aggregated utility function is elected as the CH. It is to be noted that the vehicle assigns higher weight to its own utility function compared to utility functions received from other vehicles, while computing the aggregated utility function. A detailed description of the proposed CH election mechanism is given by Algorithm 1.

Furthermore, to enhance the connectivity among vehicles within a given cluster and to ensure that malicious vehicles are not provided cluster memberships, the clustering algorithm places an additional constraint, which requires the vehicles to be within a four-fifth radio range of the elected CH (\( \frac{4}{5}D \)) and also have an average reputation greater than the predefined threshold value \( (R_{th}) \) to be the cluster members. As the vehicles on the boundary radio range of the CH are more likely to exit the cluster, this process ensures the stability of the cluster and minimizes the frequency of the cluster formation process. This concept is elaborated in Cluster 4 of Figure 2. As shown in the figure, vehicles 2, 4 and 5 (\( id_2, id_4, id_5 \)) are included in Cluster 1, as they satisfy all the constraints. On the other hand, vehicle 1 (\( id_1 \)) and vehicle 3 (\( id_3 \)) are excluded from the cluster as the distance of former lies outside the threshold radio range of the CH (greater than \( \frac{4}{5}D \)), while the average reputation of the latter is below the threshold value \( (R_{th}) \). The value of \( R_{th} \) is set to one-half of the average reputation of the agent nodes in the cluster. The agent nodes election process and their reputation update mechanism are provided in Section 3.3.

**Algorithm 1: Distributed CH election algorithm**

**Input:** Cluster \( C \) and SCF table details of each vehicle \( v_i \in C \)

**Output:** CH (Head) \( \text{CH} \) of the cluster \( C \)

1. For each \( v_i \in C \):
   - \( U_{vj}^{vi} = \beta_1 R_{vj} + \beta_2 |\text{SCF}_v| \), where \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1] \)
   - \( v_i \) computes the utility function of \( v_j \)

2. For each \( v_i \in C \):
   - If \( v_j \in \text{SCF}_v \):
     - \( U_{vj} = \alpha_1 U_{vj}^{vi} + \alpha_2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} U_{vk}^{vi} \), where \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, 1] \) and \( N \) is the total number of vehicle from which \( v_i \) received the utility function value of \( v_j \)
   - If \( U_{vj} > U_{v_k} \) for all \( v_k \in C \):
     - \( v_j \) is elected as the CH

When a new vehicle \( v_n \) wants to join one of the existing clusters, it sends out the cluster join request message (Clu\_join) comprising its ID, direction of movement, current coordinates and velocity information. Upon receiving the Clu\_join message from \( v_n \), the CH verifies whether the coordinates, direction and velocity information of \( v_n \) meet the requirement for providing \( v_n \) with the cluster membership. If \( v_n \) satisfies all the criteria, then the CH makes an entry for \( v_n \) in its SCF table and sends a cluster join approval message (Clu\_app\_msg) to \( v_n \). However, if \( v_n \) does not receive any Clu\_app\_msg message after waiting for a predefined time period, it moves to the next road intersection point and repeats the process.
re-executes the cluster join request procedure there.

3.2. VCG mechanism based payment structure for CH

Since monitoring operation requires a substantial amount of computing and resources therefore, vehicles do not have any profitable incentive to act as the CH unless they are provided with some form of stimulus. Towards this end, we propose a stimulus based structure to encourage vehicles to participate in the CH election process by providing them payments in the form of reputation gain for carrying out the CH monitoring operation. Data packets of the reputed vehicles are given higher priority compared to those with lower reputation during traffic routing. Therefore, vehicles with higher reputation maintain greater throughput even during the congestion period. The cost function of the vehicle \( v_i \) for performing the monitoring operation after being elected as the CH of cluster \( C \) is given by the following equation:

\[
C_{stv_i} = \frac{R_{v_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{j}} \times \frac{n}{U_{v_i}}
\]

where \( n \) is the total number of vehicles in \( C \). \( R_{j} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}} \) is the average aggregated utility function value of \( v_j \) in \( C \). \( U_{v_i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} U_{j}}{n} \) is the average reputation value of \( v_i \) in \( C \). Each vehicle \( v_j \) holds a private information about its type (\( \Theta_j \)). The type \( \Theta_j \) can be either Normal or Malicious. The reward function for vehicle \( v_i \) when it is elected as the CH is given by following equation:

\[
Rwd_{vi}(\Theta), \Theta, v_j = P_{vi} - C_{stv_i}
\]

where \( \Theta, v_j \) represents the type of all other vehicles except \( v_i \). \( P_{vi} \) is the payment made to \( v_i \) in the form of reputation gain by the mechanism when it is elected as the CH. Every vehicle \( v_j \in C \) would want to maximize its reward function (\( Rwd_{v_i} \)). It signifies the reward value if it chooses the type \( \Theta_v \). However, \( v_i \) might not reveal its true cost function value (\( C_{stv_i} \)) by either over valuing or under valuing it, if doing so leads to higher reward. Therefore, to address this issue, we propose a VCG mechanism based payment structure, wherein truthful revelation of the cost function is the dominant strategy [32] [33]. The primary objective of the proposed CH election mechanism is to elect the vehicle \( v_i \in C \) with the least \( C_{stv_i} \) value. Since, \( C_{stv_i} \propto \frac{1}{U_{v_i}} \), therefore, electing vehicle with the least \( C_{stv_i} \) value as the CH is equivalent to electing vehicle with the highest \( U_{v_i} \) value. We refer to this as the Social Choice Function (SCF) and define it as:

\[
SCF = \min\{C_{stv_i} \ \forall v_i \in C\}
\]

If multiple vehicles have the same \( SCF \) value, then the vehicle with the highest reputation value is elected as the CH. The payment to the elected CH vehicle \( v_i \) is made using the VCG mechanism. The payment received by \( v_i \) (\( P_{vi} \)) is equal to the second least cost function value of vehicle \( v_k \) (\( C_{stv_k} \)) excluding the cost function of the elected CH \( v_i \).

\[
P_{vi} = \min\{C_{stv_k} \ \forall v_k \in C \text{ and } v_k \neq v_i\}
\]

After vehicle \( v_i \) is elected as the CH and the payment (\( P_{vi} \)) to be made to \( v_i \) is calculated, the agent nodes compute the reward function for \( v_i \) (\( Rwd_{vi} \)) and inform the RSU to increment the reputation of \( v_i \) by \( Rwd_{vi} \) value.

In the subsequent sub-sections, we provide a detailed description about various components of the proposed VANET intrusion detection framework namely, the agent node’s LIDS module, CH’s CIDS module and the RSU’s GDS module. These intrusion detection components interact with each other to identify the malicious vehicles and provide a comprehensive security to the vehicular network.

3.3. Agent node’s Local Intrusion Detection System (LIDS) module

At the lowest level of the proposed framework, the agent nodes operate the LIDS module to monitor vehicles in their neighborhood for sign of maliciousness. The agent node maintains a SCF Table comprising the identities and the reputation values of the vehicles in its radio range. The SCF Table also contains the identities of the vehicles blacklisted by the RSU, which should be excluded from the vehicular communication.

The LIDS module uses a set of specification rules based on RSSI, PDR, PFR and DPR values to detect malicious vehicles in the cluster. The overall architecture of the agent node’s LIDS module is shown in Fig. 4. The Data collection component of the LIDS module computes the RSSI, PDR, PFR and DPR values of the vehicle being monitored. These information are then forwarded to the Intrusion detection component, which uses a set of specification rules (Algorithm 2) stored in its Rule database to detect various type of attacks. When a malicious vehicle is detected by the agent node, it sends a ‘Agent_Vote’ message comprising the identity of the malicious...
vehicle along with the detected attack type to the CH. The CH gathers ‘Agent_Vote’ messages from multiple agent nodes to determine whether the vehicle being reported is indeed malicious. Since the network dynamics in VANET changes frequently, the agent nodes receive updated specification rules from the CH at regular intervals. When there are no matching specification rules against the vehicle being monitored, a verification message (Vermsg) is forwarded to the CH’s neural network based ‘Anomaly detection component’ for further analysis.

Additionally, the agent nodes also monitor the CH for signs of maliciousness. Since CHs perform many vital tasks like data aggregation and monitoring, they are attractive targets for attackers as compromising them can provide the attacker with a huge payoff. The attacker can disrupt the vehicular network through compromised CH by propagating false information and ignoring to act against the malicious vehicles reported by the agent nodes. Therefore, a cooperative detection mechanism (Algorithm 3) is adopted by the agent nodes to detect the malicious CH. Each agent node maintains a binary variable called CH_Status, which is initially set to 0. However, when the agent node finds the CH to be acting maliciously, it sets the CH_Status variable to 1. The agent node uses the same set of detection rules given in Algorithm 2 to detect the malicious CH. When more than one-half of the agent nodes in the cluster find the CH to be misbehaving, they report the malicious CH to the RSU. The RSU then blacklists the malicious CH and broadcasts a message asking vehicles in the cluster to elect a new CH.

3.3.1. Distributed agent nodes election algorithm

The performance of the proposed intrusion detection framework largely depends upon the agent nodes election algorithm. Selecting few agent nodes degrade the detection rate of the IDS, while selecting too many agent nodes introduce a large volume of intrusion detection related traffic in the network. Therefore, to maintain a good trade-off between the detection rate and the IDS traffic volume, we propose a distributed agent node election algorithm (Algorithm 4) that elects an appropriate number of highly reputed vehicles as the agent nodes. The agent nodes election process of cluster C starts with the vehicle \( v_k \in C \) broadcasting the IDS_Agent_Elect (ID\(_C\), SCF\(_k\)) message comprising its ID and SCF table details. Upon receiving the IDS_Agent_Elect () message from \( v_k \), every other vehicle in the cluster \( C \) broadcasts their own IDS_Agent_Elect () messages. Each vehicle \( v_i \) then calculates the average aggregated reputation of every other vehicle \( v_j \in C \) (Aggr\(_j\)) using the SCF table details it received in the IDS_Agent_Elect () messages. The algorithm then elects the top \( k \) vehicles with the highest aggregated reputation values as the agent nodes. Through various rounds of simulations, it was found that electing between 25\% to 30\% of the vehicles in the cluster as the agent nodes gives the best trade-off between high detection rate and low IDS traffic volume.

3.4. CH’s Cluster Intrusion Detection System (CIDS) module

At the intermediate level of the proposed framework, the CH uses Cluster Intrusion Detection System (CIDS) module to detect malicious vehicles. The overall architecture of the CH’s

---

**Algorithm 2 : Detection rules for various attacks**

**Input**: Identity (Node ID), PDR, RSSI, DPR and PFR values of the vehicle

**Output**: Prediction whether Node ID is malicious or normal

```plaintext
if (PDRNode_ID > T_{pd_{rsi}}) then
   // node is performing selective forwarding attack
   send Agent_Vote(Node_ID, selective forwarding) message to the CH
endif

if (RSSINode_ID > T_{rsi_{wh}}) then
   // node is performing sybil attack
   send Agent_Vote(Node_ID, sybil attack) message to the CH
endif

if (PDRNode_ID > T_{pd_{rsi}} & RSSINode_ID > T_{rsi_{wh}}) then
   // node is performing black hole attack
   send Agent_Vote(Node_ID, black hole attack) message to the CH
endif

if (DPRNode_ID > T_{dpr_{dos}} & PFRNode_ID > T_{pfr_{dos}}) then
   // node is performing DoS attack
   send Agent_Vote(Node_ID, DoS attack) message to the CH
endif

if (RSSINode_ID > T_{rsi_{wh}} & PDRNode_ID > T_{pd_{rsi}}) then
   // node is performing worm hole attack
   send Agent_Vote(Node_ID, worm hole attack) message to the CH
endif
```

---

**Algorithm 3 : Distributed cooperative mechanism for detecting malicious CH**

**Input**: Agent nodes’ CH_Status variables

**Output**: Prediction whether the CH is malicious or normal

```plaintext
CH_i^{CH_Status} \rightarrow CH_{i-1} \quad /* ‘k’ agent nodes exchange their CH_Status variables */
```

if Count(CH_Status == 1) < k/2; then
   CH is normal
else
   CH is malicious
   Report the malicious CH to RSU
   RSU informs vehicles in the cluster to initiate a new CH election process
endif
Algorithm 4: Algorithm for electing k agent nodes

Input: Cluster C and IDS agent election messages (IDS-Agent-Elect ( ))
Output: 'k' elected IDS agents of cluster C

IDS-Agent-Elect(ID, SCF( )) \rightarrow Cluster \{v_i\} /* Vehicles in C exchange the agent nodes election messages */

Each vehicle v_i computes the average aggregated reputation of every other vehicle v_j ∈ C (AggRvm) using the SCF table information obtained from the IDS-Agent-Elect ( ) messages

Let R_k denotes the set of 'k' vehicles in C with the highest aggregated reputation values

if v_i ∈ R_k then
  Cluster \{v_i\} \rightarrow IDS-Agent-Elect(ID, SCF( )) /* v_i is elected as agent node */
  v_i \rightarrow v_j /* v_i sends acknowledgment */
else
  v_i \rightarrow R_k
  R_k \rightarrow v_j
end

CIDS module is shown in Fig. 5. As shown in the figure, the CIDS module comprises four different components namely, the Rule based detection component, the neural network based Anomaly detection component, the Update Rule component and the agent node Reputation update component. A detailed description of each of these components are provided in the subsequent subsections.

3.4.1. Rule based detection component

This component uses a set of specification rules based on RSSI, PDR, PFR and DPR values (Feature sets) to detect malicious vehicles in the cluster. It uses the same set of specification rules as used by the agent node’s LIDS module (Algorithm 2) to identify the malicious vehicles. When there are no matching specification rules, a verification message (Vermsg) containing the Feature sets, reputation value and ID of the vehicle being monitored is sent to the ‘Anomaly detection component’ for further analysis. The specification rules of the CH’s CIDS module are updated more frequently compared to that of the agent node’s LIDS module and therefore, the CIDS module contains more updated version of the specification rules. When a malicious vehicle is detected by the CIDS module, it informs both the RSU and the agent nodes in its cluster.

3.4.2. Update rule component

This component provides the updated specification rules for both the LIDS and CIDS modules of the agent node and the CH, respectively. However, the Rule based detection component of CIDS module is updated more frequently compared to that of the LIDS module. This component uses the mean and the standard deviation values of the PDR, RSSI, PFR and DPR

observed during the normal training period to derive new specification rules.

3.4.3. Neural network based anomaly detection component

This component takes its input from the agent node’s LIDS module and the CIDS’s ‘Rule based detection component’ in the form of verification messages (Vermsg) and classify them as either normal or one of the attack types (malicious). The rationale behind adopting a neural network based classifier model is because of their ability to produce better classification models compared to other machine learning algorithms. The anomaly detection component is initially trained with a labeled dataset comprising feature sets and reputation values of the vehicles. Additional features like packet size, source IP, destination IP, hop counts, packet sequence number, velocity and region ID are also used as parameters for training the classifier. Since, the normal feature values of vehicles in VANET vary over time due to change in several network parameters like topology, traffic conditions, congestion etc., therefore, the anomaly detection component is re-trained periodically to incorporate these changes into the classifier model.

3.4.4. Agent node reputation update component

This component of the CIDS module maintains a reputation list of all the agent nodes in its cluster. It updates the agent nodes reputation using the rule described in Algorithm 5, where [Agent] denotes the set of all the k agent nodes in the cluster and [Agent'] ⊆ [Agent] denotes the set of k∗ (k∗ ≤ k) agent nodes that reported the vehicle v_m as malicious. The CH computes the average reputation R^kagg of the agent nodes in the set [Agent] and [Agent'], respectively. If R^kagg is greater than or equal to (R^kagg), then the reputation of the agent nodes that reported v_m as malicious are incremented by one-fourth of their current reputation values. However, if R^kagg is less than R^kagg but greater than one half of R^kagg, then v_m is considered to be suspicious by the CH and a game theory based probabilistic monitoring strategy is adopted by the CH to monitor v_m.
if $R^V_{agg}$ is less than one-half of $R^H_{agg}$, then the reputation of the agent nodes that reported $v_m$ as malicious are decremented by one-third of their current values. This mechanism ensures that the malicious agent nodes cannot collude together to falsely implicate a normal vehicle as malicious. Moreover, when the reputation of any agent node falls below the threshold value $0.3R^H_{agg}$, it is replaced with a new agent node.

**Algorithm 5 : Agent node reputation update mechanism**

**Input:** 1) Cluster C with cluster head (CH)

2) {Agent} = $\{agt_1, ..., agt_k\}$ // Set of k agent nodes in C

3) {Agent'} = $\{agt_1, ..., agt_{k'}\}$ // Set of k' ($k' \leq k$) agent nodes that reported vehicle $v_m$ as malicious

**Output:** Updated reputation values of agent nodes in {Agent'}

$R^V_{agg} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{R^V_{agt_i}}{k}$ // Aggregated reputation of the $k'$ agent nodes in {Agent'}, where $R^H_{agg}$ is the reputation of agt_i in the CH’s reputation list

$R^{agg} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{R^{agg}_{agt_i}}{k}$. // Aggregated reputation of the k' agent nodes in {Agent'}

if ($R^V_{agg} \geq R^{agg}_{agt_i}$) then

$\text{v}_m$ is malicious

$R^{CH}_{agt_i} = R^{CH}_{agt_i} + 0.25^* R^{CH}_{agt_j}$ $\forall agt_j \in \{\text{Agent'}\}$

else if ($0.5^* R^k < R^{agg}_{agt_i} < R^k_{agg}$) then

Monitor $v_m$ with monitoring probability determined by the ‘Game Theory strategy component’

else

Monitor $v_m$ with monitoring probability determined by the ‘Game Theory strategy component’

end

$R^{CH}_{agt_i} = R^{CH}_{agt_i} - 0.25^* R^{CH}_{agt_j}$ $\forall agt_j \in \{\text{Agent'}\}$

if ($R^{CH}_{agt_i} < 0.3R^k_{agg}$) then

remove $agt_j$ from {Agent'}

### 3.4.5. Game theory based strategy component

This CIDS component devices probabilistic monitoring strategies for the CH based on various parameters like CH’s detection rate, false alarm rate and monitoring cost. Persistent monitoring by the CH produces a significant volume of intrusion detection related traffic, which can cause congestion in a bandwidth constrained vehicular network. To address this issue, a game theory based probabilistic monitoring strategy is adopted by the CH to monitor the malicious vehicles reported by the agent nodes. The interaction between the malicious vehicle and the CH is formulated as a two player non-cooperative game between the attacker and the defender. Without loss of generality, we make an assumption that both the CH and the malicious vehicle are rational players and their actions are based upon intelligent consideration of the possible consequences of their chosen strategy. In the said game, the malicious vehicle has two pure strategies: Attack and Wait. Similarly, the CH has two pure strategies: Monitor and Not Monitor. Each player chooses a strategy that maximizes its overall payoffs. To develop a payoff matrix corresponding to the interaction between the CH and the malicious vehicle, we introduce the following terminologies:

- Let $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ denote the detection rate, the false positive rate and the monitoring cost of the CH, respectively.

- Let $\delta$ be the average number of vehicles in the cluster accepting and forwarding information from a malicious vehicle.

Table 1: Strategic form of the game between malicious vehicle (attacker) and CH (defender)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attack</th>
<th>Wait</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>$(2\alpha - \gamma + 1)$, $(1 + \delta - 2\alpha)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Monitor</td>
<td>$(-1 - \alpha), (1 - \alpha + \delta)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows the strategic form of the non-cooperative game between the CH and the malicious vehicle. The strategy space of the CH and the malicious vehicle are $S_D = \{\text{Monitor, Not Monitor}\}$ and $S_A = \{\text{Attack, Wait}\}$, respectively. A pure Nash Equilibrium (NE) of this non-cooperative game corresponds to the strategy pair $(S_A^*, S_D^*)$ of the CH and the malicious vehicle that satisfies the following conditions:

$\text{U}_A(S_A^*, S_D^*) \geq \text{U}_A(S_A^*, S_D) \quad \forall S_D \in S_D$  
$\text{U}_D(S_A^*, S_D^*) \geq \text{U}_D(S_A^*, S_D) \quad \forall S_D \in S_D$

where, $\text{U}_A(S_A^*, S_D^*)$ and $\text{U}_D(S_A^*, S_D^*)$ are the payoff utilities of the malicious vehicle and the CH when they choose their strategies $S_A^*$ and $S_D^*$ respectively. Any unilateral deviation by either the CH or the malicious vehicle from their chosen NE strategy results in a reduced payoff for the deviating player. Clearly, there does not exist any pure strategy NE for this non-cooperative game. Therefore, we derive a mixed strategy NE. Let $p$ and $q$ denote the probabilities of the malicious vehicle and the CH to play their pure strategies Attack and Monitor, respectively. Therefore, when the CH plays its strategy Monitor with probability $q$, the payoff utility of the malicious vehicle if it plays its pure strategies Attack and Wait, respectively are:

$\text{U}_A(\text{Attack}) = (1 + \delta - 2\alpha)q + (1 - \alpha + \delta)(1 - q)$  
$\text{U}_A(\text{Wait}) = \beta q$

Similarly, when the malicious vehicle plays its strategy Attack with probability $p$, the payoff utility of the CH if it plays its pure strategies Monitor and Not Monitor, respectively are:

$\text{U}_D(\text{Monitor}) = (2\alpha - \gamma + 1)p - (\beta + \delta)(1 - p)$  
$\text{U}_D(\text{Not Monitor}) = -(1 - \alpha)p$
The malicious vehicle chooses to play its strategy Attack when \( U_3(\text{Attack}) > U_4(\text{Wait}) \), i.e., when the monitoring probability of the CH \( (q) \leq \frac{(1-\alpha-\gamma)}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \). Similarly, the CH chooses to play its strategy Monitor when \( U_2(\text{Monitor}) > U_3(\text{Not monitor}) \), i.e., when the malicious vehicle’s attack probability \( (p) \leq \frac{(1-\alpha-\gamma)}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \). Therefore, the mixed strategy NE of the game corresponds to the strategy combination \((p^*, q^*)\), where \( p^* = \frac{(1-\alpha-\gamma)}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \) and \( q^* = \frac{(1-\alpha-\gamma)}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \) are the probabilities of the malicious vehicle and the CH to play their strategy Attack and Monitor, respectively. It can be observed that both the attacking and the monitoring probabilities of the malicious vehicle and the CH are inversely proportional to the detection rate of the CH \( (\alpha) \) i.e., \( p^* \propto \frac{1}{\alpha} \) and \( q^* \propto \frac{1}{\alpha} \). Therefore, a high value of \( \alpha \) decreases both the attacking and monitoring probabilities at the NE. The probabilistic game theory based IDS monitoring strategy developed by modeling the interaction between the IDS and the malicious vehicle as two player non-cooperative game significantly reduces the volume of IDS traffic in the vehicular network, without compromising the overall performance (detection rate and accuracy) of the IDS framework.

3.5. RSU’s Global Decision System (GDS) module

At the highest level of the proposed framework, the RSU maintains a blacklist of all the malicious vehicles being reported by the CHs. The CH uses the CH Vote Message to report the malicious vehicles to the RSU. We make an implicit assumption that RSUs are interconnected through secured connections and powerful firewalls, which prevent them from being compromised. Multiple CHs are associated with a given RSU. The \( j^{th} \) RSU \((RSU^j)\) computes the aggregated reputation of the vehicle \( v_m \) being reported by the CHs using the following rule:

\[
\text{Agg}_{RSU^j}^{v_m} = \sum_{v_m}^{n'} \frac{R_{\text{CH}_j}^{RSU^j}}{n'} \sum_{j=1}^{n'} \frac{R_{\text{CH}_j}^{RSU^j}}{n} \tag{6}
\]

where \( n' \) is the number of CHs that reported \( v_m \) as malicious and \( n \) is the total number of CHs within the radio range of \( RSU^j \), respectively \( (n' \leq n) \). \( R_{\text{CH}_j}^{RSU^j} \) is the reputation of the \( j^{th} \) CH in the \( RSU^j \)’s reputation list. The reputation of the CHs are evaluated and updated using procedures described in Sections 3.2 and 3.4.4. After computing the aggregated reputation of \( v_m \), the RSUs exchange their aggregated reputation values of \( v_m \). Let \( l \) be the number of RSUs through which \( v_m \) has passed. The global aggregated reputation value of \( v_m \) is then calculated using the following rule:

\[
Glb_{RSU^{v_m}} = \sum_{l=1}^{l} \frac{\text{Agg}_{RSU^l}^{v_m}}{l} \tag{7}
\]

Finally, \( v_m \) is categorized into one of the category class based on the following rules:

\[
\begin{align*}
&0.25 \leq Glb_{RSU^{v_m}} \leq 0.6, \quad v_m \text{ is suspicious} \\
&0.6 \leq Glb_{RSU^{v_m}} \leq 1, \quad v_m \text{ is malicious}
\end{align*}
\]

The overall architecture of the RSU’s GDS module is shown in Fig. 6. As shown in the figure, the RSU stores the identity of suspicious and malicious vehicles in its Blacklist table. It periodically broadcasts the identity of these vehicles to prevent other normal vehicles in its radio range from communicating with these malicious and suspicious vehicles. All the post crash notification and congestions messages received from malicious vehicles are ignored and discarded by the normal vehicles. On the other hand, the suspicious vehicles are debarred from participating in the CH and the agent nodes election processes. Therefore, the proposed intrusion detection framework ensures that only the trustworthy vehicles in the network have the capability to act as the CH and the agent nodes. Additionally, the Reputation List table of the RSU receives the payment value \((P_{\text{CH}})\) to be made to the elected CH from the agent nodes. The RSU increments the reputation value of the elected CH in its Reputation List by \( P_{\text{CH}} \) value and broadcasts a message asking all the vehicles in its radio range to update the reputation value of the elected CH.

Fig. 7 shows the overall interaction between various modules of the proposed IDS framework namely, the agent node’s LIDS module, the CH’s CIDS module and the RSU’s GDS module. As shown in the figure, the agent node’s LIDS module communicates with the CH’s CIDS module using the ‘Agent_Vote’ and ‘Verification’ messages. The CH uses these messages from the agent nodes to detect malicious vehicles in its cluster. Additionally, the CH uses a combination of specification rules and a neural network based anomaly detection module to detect malicious vehicles and agent nodes in its neighborhood. Finally, the CH’s CIDS module communicates with the RSU’s GDS module using ‘CH Vote’ messages. The RSU uses the vote messages received from its CHs to identify the malicious vehicles and agent nodes in its radio range. These malicious vehicles and agent nodes are then included in the RSU’s Blacklist table. The CH then broadcasts the identities of the malicious vehicles in its Blacklist table to prevent other normal vehicles in the network from communicating with them.
Figure 7: Overall interaction between different modules of the proposed IDS framework

4. Experimental Results

We have classified the experimental result section into two sub-sections namely, the simulated vehicular network traffic and the real-time vehicular network traffic. The experimental setup and the results obtained on the simulated and the real-time vehicular network traffic are provided in the subsequent subsections.

Following parameters were used to analyze the performance of different IDS frameworks: 1) Detection rate 2) False alarm rate 3) IDS traffic volume and 4) Average cluster membership duration of vehicles. We define the following terminologies prior to defining the detection rate and the false alarm rate of the IDS. True positives (TPs): These are cases wherein the IDS correctly identifies the attacks. False positives (FPs): These are cases in which normal data traffic is incorrectly classified as attacks by the IDS. False negatives (FNs): These are cases wherein the IDS fails to detect the attacks.

- **Detection Rate (DR):** It is defined as the ratio of the actual number of attacks detected by the IDS to the total number of attacks in the network.

  \[ DR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \]  

- **False Alarm Rate (FAR):** It is defined as the ratio of number of normal data traffic incorrectly classified as attacks to the total number of attacks detected by the IDS.

  \[ FAR = \frac{FP}{FP + TP} \]  

- **IDS Traffic Volume (ITV):** It is defined as the ratio of volume of the intrusion detection related traffic to the total volume of traffic in the network (IDS and non-IDS traffic) at any given instance of time.

  \[ ITV = \frac{IDS \text{ traffic}}{IDS \text{ traffic} + \text{non-IDS traffic}} \]  

- **Average cluster membership duration (ACMD):** It is defined as the average period for which the vehicle remains associated with a cluster after it has been assigned to a particular cluster by the clustering algorithm.

4.1. Simulated vehicular network traffic

To evaluate the proposed IDS framework, simulations were performed in the NS3 [34] simulator with the realistic mobility of the vehicles generated by an open-source traffic simulator, Simulation of Urban Mobility (SUMO) [35]. A coordination mechanism was built to combine the traffic simulation capabilities of SUMO with the network simulation capabilities of NS3. As shown in Fig. 8, a square grid road topology of 2000 × 2000 m consisting of a two-lane roads and four intersection points in SUMO was considered for network traffic simulation. Each grid is identified by a unique ID (G1 through G25). The vehicles were injected into the road according to a Poisson process with rate equal to two vehicles per second. The total simulation time was 500 seconds. The clustering process started at the 60th second when all the vehicles had entered the road. All the performance metrics were evaluated for the remaining 440 seconds. Two classes of vehicles with different maximum speed ranges were used in the simulation to create a realistic scenario with different types of vehicles on the road, such as passenger cars, buses, and trucks. The first class of vehicles had a maximum speed of 10 m/s, whereas the maximum speed of the second class of vehicles were varied between 10 m/s to 35 m/s.
We have used the IEEE 1609 Wireless Access in Vehicular Environments (WAVE) protocol stack [36] that builds on IEEE 802.11p WLAN standard and operates on seven reserved channels in the 5.9 GHz frequency band for our analysis. The vehicles use 802.11p WiFi with continuous access to a 10 MHz Control Channel (CCH) to transmit 300 byte safety message 12 times per second at 3 Mbps using WAVE Share Message Protocol (WSMP) packets. In addition, all vehicles attempt to randomly send 256 byte IP packets at an application rate of 6 Mbps using the Service Channels (SCHs) channels. Our measurements are based on averaging the results obtained from 10 simulations. We vary the number of malicious vehicles to be between 10% to 30% of the overall vehicles in the network. The key parameters used for simulation are shown in Table 2. PDR, PFR, DPR and RSSI values are calculated every 10 seconds. The set of specification rules used by the LIDS and the CIDS modules are updated every 50 and 30 seconds, respectively.

To make the SUMO and NS3 work together and to change traffic lights dynamically, a client was introduced. In order to get the meaningful data, SUMO generated the realistic road traffic with different kinds of vehicles and intelligent traffic lights. SUMO and NS3 worked in parallel using Traffic Control Interface (TraCI) client, which is a generic interface that interlinks the road traffic in SUMO with network simulation of NS3. TraCI client made it possible to control a running road traffic simulation in SUMO through commands from NS3. TraCI uses a TCP-based client/server architecture, wherein SUMO acts as a server and the external NS3 script (the “controller”) acts as a client. It helps simulate the real streets designed with lanes, traffic lights, turns and other traffic entities. When any application in NS3 wanted to change vehicles’ state in SUMO, it sent a message to the Traci client interface, which in turn generated commands according to applications and then sent them to SUMO for execution followed by the retrieval of data back from the SUMO. Fig. 9 shows the interaction between NS3 and SUMO via the TraCI client.

Both the CH and the malicious vehicle adopt the game theory based strategies discussed in Section 3.4.5 to maximize their overall payoff utilities. Fig. 10 shows the payoff utilities of the CH and the malicious vehicle under the Nash Equilibrium (NE) and the non NE strategies. The payoff utilities are calculated every three seconds into the simulation. It can be observed from the figure that if the player (CH or malicious vehicle) deviates from its NE strategy, while the opponent player continues to play the NE strategy then the payoff utility of the deviating player decreases. Therefore, the players do not have any profitable incentive to deviate from their NE strategy.

The performance of the proposed framework was evaluated against the frameworks proposed in [7], [24] and [37]. The reason for choosing these frameworks for comparison is because of the similarity of the attack types considered in these frameworks with the attack types considered in the proposed IDS framework. It allows us to analyze and compare the per-
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Table 2: Simulation Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Time</td>
<td>500 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Area</td>
<td>5 × 5km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Car-following model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propagation Model</td>
<td>Two-Ray Ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of vehicle per cluster</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of IDS agents per cluster</td>
<td>20-30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol Stack</td>
<td>IEEE 1609 WAVE [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Protocol</td>
<td>AODV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio range</td>
<td>200m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{pdr_{ch}}</td>
<td>60-65 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{pdr_{bh}}</td>
<td>90-95 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{pdr_{wh}}</td>
<td>80-85 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{rssi_{ch}}</td>
<td>-40 - (-45) dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{rssi_{bh}}</td>
<td>-35 - (-40) dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{rssi_{wh}}</td>
<td>-50 - (-55) dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{dpf_{ch}}</td>
<td>80-85 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{dpf_{bh}}</td>
<td>90-95 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_m, C_a</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit power</td>
<td>30 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH’s DR (α)</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH’s FP rate (β)</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
performance of the proposed framework with other IDS frameworks against similar type of attacks. In addition, the framework described in [38] also proposes a clustering algorithm for VANETs, which allows us to compare it with the proposed clustering algorithm. All these factors make them ideal candidates for comparison with the proposed framework.

Figure 11 shows the DR of the proposed framework against different types of attacks. It can be observed from the figure that the DR of the framework increases with the increase in the number of agent nodes per cluster. This can be attributed to the fact that as the number of agent nodes increase, more number of malicious vehicles are detected and reported to the CH. Fig. 12 shows the FAR rate of the proposed framework against various type of attacks. It can be observed from the figure that the FAR of the proposed framework increases with the increase in the number of agent nodes. This is because as the number of agent nodes increase, some of the malicious vehicles get elected as the agent nodes, which in turn provide false reports to the CHs. Therefore, it can be deduced from Figures 11 and 12 that the best trade-off between high DR and low FAR is obtained when 25% to 30% of the vehicles in the cluster are elected as the agent nodes.

Fig. 13 shows the volume of IDS traffic introduced into the vehicular network by various IDS frameworks ([7], [24] and [37]). It can be observed from the figure that the volume of IDS traffic increases with the increase in vehicle density for all the frameworks. However, the proposed framework introduces the least volume of IDS traffic compared to other frameworks. This can be attributed to the fact the proposed framework minimizes the amount of information exchanged between the agent node’s LIDS module and the CH’s CIDS module by electing optimum number of agent nodes for performing the monitoring task. In addition, the CH’s CIDS module employs a game theory based probabilistic monitoring strategy, which further reduces the volume of IDS traffic. On the other hand, the frameworks pro-
Fig. 14: Detection rate and false alarm rate of different frameworks

The proposed IDS frameworks in [7] [24] [37] require all the vehicles in the network to continuously perform the monitoring operation. This results in introduction of high volume of IDS traffic into the vehicular network, as more number of vehicles join the network.

Fig. 14 shows the DR and the FAR of various IDS frameworks against the black hole, worm hole, selective forwarding, DoS and sybil attacks. It can be observed from the figure that the proposed framework achieves the highest DR and lowest FAR amongst all the frameworks against these attacks. The high DR of the proposed framework can be attributed to the fact that it uses a combination of specification rules and a lightweight neural network based classifier model to detect malicious vehicles, which greatly enhances its detection capabilities. On the other hand, the proposed framework minimizes the FAR by electing an appropriate number of agent nodes for performing the monitoring operation.

Fig. 15 shows the average cluster membership duration of vehicles for various IDS frameworks. It can be observed from the figure that the proposed framework provides the highest cluster stability amongst all the frameworks by providing high cluster membership duration to vehicles in its clusters. Its performance is comparable to that of the framework proposed in [37], since both the frameworks use novel clustering algorithms to enhance the stability of the clusters and reduce the frequency of cluster formation process. On the other hand, the average cluster membership duration of the vehicles in [7] [24] are small, even at low vehicular densities, since they do not implement any mechanism to enhance the cluster stability. As a result the vehicular clusters in these frameworks are unstable.

4.2. Real time vehicular network traffic

In this subsection, we analyze the effectiveness of the proposed IDS framework on the real time road network of the German city Eichstätt obtained using the OpenStreetMap [38]. The road network was imported from the OpenStreetMap (OSM) to SUMO using an application called the NETCONVERT [35].
Figure 16 shows the procedure involved in obtaining the road network of German city Eichstätt from the OSM into SUMO using NETCONVERT. The imported SUMO road network file was provided with default values of the road attributes like, speed limit, number of lanes, priority, one-way street and allowed vehicle classes depending on the highway types, using SUMO edge type files described in (http://sumo.dlr.de/wiki/SUMO_edge_type_file). Figures 17 and 18 show the OSM file and the corresponding SUMO network file of the Eichstätt city. Several types of the vehicles (cars, buses and emergency vehicles) with different priorities and maximum speeds were simulated in the road traffic. Different vehicles routes were set in the road traffic in SUMO. The total simulation time was 300 seconds. The results were obtained by averaging the output of 10 round of simulations.

Fig. 19 shows the volume of IDS traffic generated by different frameworks on the Eichstätt road traffic network. It can be observed from the figure that the proposed framework introduces the least volume of the IDS traffic compared to other frameworks. Fig. 20 shows the DR and the FAR of various IDS frameworks on the Eichstätt road network traffic data against the black hole, worm hole, selective forwarding, DoS and sybil attacks. Again it can be observed that the proposed framework achieves the highest DR with least FAR amongst all the frameworks. These results vindicate that the proposed IDS framework significantly reduces the volume of IDS traffic in the vehicular network, while at the same time maintains a high DR and low FAR.

5. Conclusion and future work

In this paper, a novel clustering algorithm, a CH election algorithm and a game theory based IDS framework for VANETs have been proposed. The proposed clustering algorithm ensures the stability of the IDS framework by generating stable vehicular clusters with enhanced connectivity among member vehicles. CH and agent nodes election algorithms are then executed to elect the CH and a set of agent nodes for each cluster. The proposed IDS framework uses the the agent nodes, the CHs and the RSUs operating at three different levels of the vehicular network to carry out the intrusion detection operation in a distributed manner. The framework uses a set of specification rules based on the Packet Drop Rate (PDR), Packet Forwarding Rate (PFR), Receive Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) and Duplicate Packet Rate (DPR) values of the vehicles, along with a lightweight neural network based classifier module for detecting malicious vehicles. In addition, the proposed framework minimizes the volume of IDS traffic introduced into the vehicular network by modeling the interaction between the IDS and the malicious vehicle as a two player non-cooperative game, and by adopting a probabilistic IDS monitoring strategy based on the Nash Equilibrium of the game.
The clustering algorithm proposed in the paper only consid-
ers the vehicles that stopped at the traffic signal and vehicles ap-
proaching the road intersection point with relatively low speed. For our future work, we envisage to formulate a dynamic clus-
tering algorithm, which takes into account the vehicles in mo-
tion for generating stable vehicular cluster. We also aim to im-
prove the DR and minimize the FAR rate of the proposed IDS
framework by analyzing the performances of various other clas-
sifiers using Support Vector Machine (SVM), Decision Tree, Logis-
tic Regression, Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) etc. Addi-
tionally, we also aim to extend and implement the proposed
IDS framework to various other networks like Software Defined
Network (SDN), Delay Tolerant Network etc., in future.
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A game theory based multi layered intrusion detection framework for VANET

Highlights

- A multi-layered game theory based VANET intrusion detection framework is proposed.
- A novel clustering and CH election algorithm for VANET is proposed.
- A payment structure based on VCG mechanism is proposed for the CH election.
- Proposed framework achieves high detection rate and accuracy across wide range of attacks.