JID: ESWA

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Expert Systems With Applications xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Expert Systems With Applications



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/eswa

A software application for rapid risk assessment in integrated supply chains

Faisal Aqlan*

Q1

Department of Industrial Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, The Behrend College, Erie, PA 16563, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Rapid risk assessment Probability theory Fuzzy logic Risk priority matrix Integrated supply chains

ABSTRACT

Supply chain risk management (SCRM) has become a critical component of supply chain management with the movement to global supply chains and the increasing occurrence of internal and external risk events. Effective management of supply chain risks requires a comprehensive yet rapid assessment of all the risk factors in the supply chain and their potential impacts. This paper presents a software application framework for rapid risk assessment (RRA) in integrated supply chains. The proposed framework combines qualitative and quantitative methods to assess and prioritize the risks. Qualitative methods are based on surveys used to collect the risk probability and impact data for the main agents in the supply chain (*i.e.*, supplier, customer, manufacturer, etc.). Quantitative methods are based on probability theory and fuzzy logic. Risks are calculated for each agent in the supply chain and are then aggregated per product type. The proposed RRA tool was tested in a manufacturing environment to assess the validity of the proposed framework. Results from the case study showed that the assessment obtained by the proposed framework agrees with what the risk management experts think about the risk levels and priorities in the company.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1 1. Introduction

Supply chain management can be defined as "the management 2 of upstream and downstream relationships with suppliers and cus-3 4 tomers in order to deliver superior customer value at less cost to the supply chain as a whole" (Christopher, 2011). The goal of supply chain 5 6 management is to manage the relations among supply chain com-7 ponents in order to achieve more profitable outcomes for all supply chain parties. Supply chain performance may be negatively impacted 8 by of the occurrence of risk events in different stages of the supply 9 10 chain system. The management of such events is known as supply 11 chain risk management (SCRM), which has become a critical part of the organizational strategy. SCRM has gained more attention with the 12 movement to global supply chains and the increasing number of dis-13 ruptions that affect the performance of supply chains. SCRM focuses 14 on the identification of potential risks and disruptions in the supply 15 chain and developing mitigation strategies to reduce the impact of 16 17 these disruptions and risks on supply chains.

An essential step for risk management is the understanding of the different categories of risks, and the events and conditions that drive these risks. The goal of SCRM is to prepare the company to be able to respond to different types of risks in such a way that minimizes the impact on its operations. The art of risk management is to

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2015.08.028 0957-4174/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

"identify risks specific to an organization and to respond to them in 23 an appropriate way" (Merna & Al-Thani, 2005). For risk management 24 to be effective, all different levels of the organization need to be con-25 sidered. According to Blackhurst and Wu (2009), most of the defi-26 nitions of SCRM include the following activities: (1) Risk identifica-27 tion and modeling (2) Risk analysis, assessment and impact measure-28 ment (3) Risk management (4) Risk monitoring and evaluation (5) 29 Organizational and personal learning including knowledge transfer. 30 Like other management approaches, SCRM requires good quality of 31 knowledge, abilities, experiences, and skills. It ensures that the prin-32 ciples established by managers are applied to logistics' risk (Waters, 33 2007). 34

Risk events represent a daily challenge to supply chain and logis-35 tics management. The ability to respond to and mitigate these risk 36 events puts the company ahead of its competitors and reduces the ex-37 pected long-term damage to its business. Risk exists in supply chain 38 because of the uncertainty about future risk events, which can appear 39 at any time point in the supply chain. Risks in the supply chain can 40 be classified into five types: demand risk, supply risk, process risk, 41 planning and control risk, and environmental risk. These five types 42 of risks can be further classified into: internal to the organization 43 (process risk and planning and control risk), external to the organi-44 zation but internal to the supply chain (demand risk and supply risk), 45 and external to the supply chain (environmental risk). To manage the 46 risks and minimize their impact on the organization, risk mitigation 47 strategies are implemented. The selection of risk mitigation strategies 48

^{*} Tel.: +1 814 898 6945. *E-mail address:* fua11@psu.edu

JID: ESWA

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

F. Aqlan / Expert Systems With Applications xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

depends on risk type and organization's budget. Chopra and Sodhi
(2004) listed the following risk mitigation strategies: adding capacity, adding inventory, having redundant suppliers, increasing responsiveness, increasing flexibility, aggregating or pooling the demand,
increasing capability, and having more customer accounts.

Effective management of supply chain risks requires a compre-54 hensive yet rapid assessment of all of the risk factors in the supply 55 chain and their potential impacts. Quantitative risk assessment mod-56 57 els have been proved to be an effective and efficient methodology for quantitatively evaluating risks in supply chains. Risk management 58 59 software that implements quantitative models for risk assessment is 60 also available. However, most of these software tools are commercial and they do not consider the different aspects of supply chain risks. 61

62 The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 discusses the literature related to risk assessment in integrated supply chains. In 63 Section 3 a conceptual framework for rapid risk assessment in in-64 tegrated supply chains is laid out, characterizing the main types of 65 risk that are encountered by participants within those supply chains, 66 and characterizing the range of measures that can be taken to man-67 age such risks. Section 4 discusses the proposed software application. 68 A detailed description of the software main components is provided. 69 70 Section 5 presents a case study from a real manufacturing integrated 71 supply chain. Finally, conclusions and future work are discussed in 72 Section 6.

73 2. Related literature

74 The management of supply chain risks has received more atten-75 tion with the increase in the number of risk events such as interna-76 tional terrorism, economic crises, and wars (Lim, 2010; Sheffi, 2002). Different frameworks for supply chain risk management and mitiga-77 78 tion have been proposed in the literature. For example, a framework that considers the effects of risk sharing and information manage-79 80 ment in supply chain networks was developed by Wakolbinger and Cruz (2011). Diabat, Govindan, and Panicker (2012), discussed the 81 analysis and mitigation of risks in a food supply chain. Chen, Sohal, 82 83 and Prajogo (2013) developed a collaborative approach for mitiga-84 tion operational risks in supply chains including: supply risks, de-85 mand risks, and process risks. A framework for product quality risk and visibility assessment was presented by Tse and Tan (2011). The 86 study argues that better visibility of risk in supply tiers could min-87 imize quality risks. One main limitation of the literature on supply 88 89 chain risks is that the most studies do not consider risk factors and risk interconnections when risks are calculated and assessed. 90

Many researches utilized qualitative and quantitative techniques 91 to study supply chain risks. Wu, Blackhurst, and Chidambaram (2006) 92 developed a quantitative model for inbound supply risk analysis 93 94 based on Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). The study also built a 95 prototype computer implementation system and tested it using an 96 industry example. A framework for modeling and analyzing supply 97 chain risks based on timed Petri nets was proposed by Alpan and 98 Gonca (2010). An optimization model for finding the optimal num-99 ber of suppliers under the risk of supply disruption was developed by Sarkar and Mohapatra (2009). Goh, Lim, and Meng (2007), pro-100 posed a stochastic model for managing risks in global supply chains 101 including: demand, supply, disruption, and exchange risks. Simula-102 tion modeling has also been used to study and analyze supply chain 103 104 risks. Schmitt (2009) discussed the use of discrete-event and Monte 105 Carlo simulation methods to quantify supply chain disruption risks.

Uncertainty in supply chain risk assessment causes the decision making to be a complex process. Risks occur in supply chains because of uncertainty about the future (Waters, 2007). Reduction of uncertainty in managing supply chain risks has an economic value and it improves the accuracy of risk management decisions. According to Bogataj and Bogataj (2007), uncertainty level depends on the type and amount of information that is available to estimate the risk likelihood and its impact. In order to reduce the uncertainty in supply 113 chain risks, fuzzy set theory, probability theory, and knowledge man-114 agement principles can be utilized. The use of fuzzy logic methods 115 for risk identification and modeling in supply chains was presented 116 in Ebrahimnejad, Mousavi, and Seyrafianpour (2010). A fuzzy multi-117 criterion model for the assessment of suppliers in supply chains was 118 developed by Hamidi (2011). Aqlan and Ali (2014), combined Lean 119 principles with fuzzy logic for risk assessment in chemical industry. 120 An integrated framework for supply chain risk assessment based on 121 fuzzy logic was proposed in Aglan and Lam (2015a). 122

Software tools for risk management have been discussed in 123 the literature. For example, Fugini, Teimourikia, and Hadjichristofi 124 (2015) presented a web-based cooperative tool for risk management 125 with adaptive security utilizing event-condition-action meta-rules. 126 Stornetta, Engeli, Zarn, Gremaud, and Sturla (2015) developed a risk 127 management tool to prioritize chemical hazard-food pairs. The tool 128 is based on the derivation of a "Priority Index" (PI) that is based on 129 the ratio of the potency of the hazard and the consumer exposure. 130 Hochrainer-Stigler, Mechler, and Mochizuki (2015) presented a risk 131 management tool for tackling country-wide contingent disasters. One 132 major limitation of the literature on supply chain risk management is 133 the lack of rapid and comprehensive assessment methods to quantify 134 and assess the risks. In addition, most of the available commercial 135 softwares for supply chain management do not provide a compre-136 hensive quantitative assessment of the risks. They may also require a 137 long time to perform the risk assessment process. 138

This study proposes a framework and a software implementation for a comprehensive assessment of risks in the integrated supply chains. The proposed framework considers the factors that cause the risks of the different agents in the supply chain (i.e., suppliers, customers, manufacturers, etc.).

3. Rapid risk assessment framework

144

The proposed methodology for Rapid Risk Assessment (RRA) is 145 shown in Fig. 1. The proposed framework integrates both qualitative 146 and quantitative risk assessment methods. Qualitative risk assess-147 ment is based on survives and interviews while quantitative analy-148 sis uses probability theory and fuzzy logic. The quantitative part of 149 the framework provides a new approach for risk assessment in inte-150 grated supply chains. The RRA framework starts with identifying the 151 main agents in the supply chain (i.e., suppliers, manufacturers, dis-152 tributers, customers, etc.) and their interactions. The type and num-153 ber of agents are based on the structure of the integrated supply 154 chain. Once the agents of the supply chain and the interaction among 155 them are identified, risk factors are determined for each agent. Risk 156 factors data is collected through surveys distributed to the risk man-157 agement experts. More risk factors data can also be collected based 158 on historical (and current) data and using simulation techniques. For 159 each agent in the supply chain, risk factor data are collected for prob-160 ability and impact of the risk and the current mitigation strategies. 161 The collected data for the risk factors is used to calculate the aggre-162 gated risk values for the agents. Risk Priority Matrix (RPM) is used 163 to calculate the risk per risk type and per each agent in the supply 164 chain. Based on the bill of the materials (BOM) for product and the 165 supply chain agents involved in producing the product and deliver-166 ing it to the customer, risk is aggregated per product. This allows for 167 comparing the risks associated with the different product types in 168 the integrated supply chain. The following sections discuss the steps 169 of the RRA framework in detail. 170

3.1. Identify main agents in the supply chain and their interactions 171

The main agents in the supply chain and the interaction among 172 them can be identified using on the Supply Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) model. The SCOR model is a framework for evaluating 174

ARTICLE IN PRESS

3



Fig. 2. An illustration of SCOR model.



Fig. 3. Risk interactions among supply chain agents (Aqlan & Lam, 2015a).

supply chain activities and their performance. It views the supply
chain activities as a series of interlocking inter-organizational processes. An illustration of the SCOR model is shown in Fig. 2. The model
provides a unique framework that links performance metrics, processes, best practices, and people into a unified structure. The model
is used for rapid assessment of supply chain performance.

To identify the interactions among the different agents in the integrated supply chain, risk flow analysis is performed (Aqlan & Lam, 2015a). Fig. 3 shows the interactions among the main components of the supply chain. Risk can flow from country (or environment) to suppliers, manufacturers, and customers. Raw material risk is affected184by the supplier risk. The product risk is affected by the manufacturer186risk, customer risk, and raw material risk.187

3.2. Identify main risk factors 188

Risk factors are dynamic, they change over time. For this rea-189 son, companies should have continuous assessment risk manage-190 ment programs to identify the risk factors that can affect the supply 191 chain operations. The direct risks that could affect the supply chain 192 performance and the correlation among these risks are identified by 193 the supply chain experts. For each risk, the main factors or root causes 194 should also be identified. The risk factor data is collected through sur-195 veys and interviews with experts. An example of the identified direct 196 risk factors for a manufacturing site is shown in Fig. 4. 197

3.3. Develop risk surveys for each agent 198

Once the risks and their associated factors are identified, a survey 199 is developed and distributed to the supply chain risk experts to esti-200 mate the risk factor parameters including probability of occurrence 201 and impact. The estimated values are then used as inputs for risk cal-202 culations. The first step of designing the survey is to choose the re-203 spondents, which are the persons who will estimate the probability, 204 impact, and other risk parameters. For each risk factor, the respon-205 dent is asked to give an estimate for the probability of occurrence of 206 the risk factor and its impact (values between 0 and 1). An example 207 of a survey for the manufacturing site is shown in Table 1. 208

4

ARTICLE IN PRESS

F. Aqlan / Expert Systems With Applications xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 4. An example of risk factors for a manufacturing site.

Table 1

Risk factor survey for the manufacturing site.

Factor code	Risk factor question	Likelihood	Resulting impact
QLTY	How likely the company will have severe quality problems?	-	
INRY	How likely the inventory will run out and affect production?		
CAPS	Will the company have capacity shortage that will affect production?		
NPDI	How likely the company will have new product introduction issues?		
INOV	How likely the company will have innovation issues?		
OCUP	How likely the occupational risk will occur in the workplace and affect workers and production?		



Fig. 5. An illustration of the fuzzy logic system.

209 3.4. Calculate aggregated risks

221

In order to calculate the risk values, the risk factors' probability and impact data needs collected from the surveys. Once the data is collected, the aggregated risk value is calculated based on the values of the associated risk factors' likelihood and impact. Assuming that the risk factors are independent and the occurrence of any of the risk factors will cause the risk event to occur, the following equation is used to aggregate the risk likelihood:

$$P_n = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{M} (1 - p_i) \tag{1}$$

where P_n is the aggregated probability of occurrence of risk for agent n and p_i is the probability of occurrence of risk factor i. M is the number of risk factors associated with the risk agent n (for example, in Fig. 4, M = 6). The aggregated impact of the risk is then calculates as:

$$L_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M p_i \times L_i}{\sum_{i=1}^M p_i} \tag{2}$$

where L_n is the aggregated likelihood of the risk for agent *n* and l_i is 222 the resulting impact factor *i*. *M* is the number of risk factors associ-223 ated with the agent n. The two aggregated parameters, risk likelihood 224 225 and impact, are used to calculate the risk score for the agent using the fuzzy inference system. An illustration of the fuzzy inference sys-226 tem is shown in Fig. 5. The membership function for the linguistic 227 variables of the risk likelihood is shown in Fig. 6. The fuzzy inference 228 229 rules are represented by the surface plot shown in Fig. 7.



Fig. 6. Membership function of the likelihood linguistic variables.



Fig. 7. Surface plot for the fuzzy inference rules.

3.5. Develop risk priority matrix

To assess the total risk for each agent of the supply chain, risk priority matrix is proposed (see Table 2). Risk priority matrix is used to calculate the overall risk for each supply chain agent and the overall 233

230

ARTICLE IN PRESS





Fig. 8. Risk aggregation per product.

Table 2 Risk priority matrix.								
Supply chain agent	Risk 1	Risk 2	Risk 3		Risk M	Agent risk		
Agent 1 Agent 2 Agent 3	$R_{11} \\ R_{12} \\ R_{13} \\ .$	R ₂₁ R ₂₂ R ₂₃	R ₂₁ R ₃₂ R ₃₃	 	$\begin{array}{c} R_{M1} \\ R_{M2} \\ R_{M3} \\ \cdot \end{array}$	RA ₁ RA ₂ RA ₃		
Agent N Total risk	R _{1N} RS ₁	R _{2N} RS ₁	R _{3N} RS ₁		R _{MN} RS _M	RA _N PRODUCT RISK		

234 score for each risk type. To calculate the total risk per agent and the 235 total risk, the following equations are used:

$$RA_{i} = \varphi \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^{M} \left(1 - p_{j} \right), \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} p_{j}L_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} p_{j}} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$$
(3)

236

$$RS_{j} = \varphi \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - p_{i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}L_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{MN} p_{i}} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$$
(4)

where φ is the function used by the fuzzy inference system to calculate final crisp values for the risks based on the aggregated probability and impact of the supply chain. *N* is the number of agents in the integrated supply chain and *M* is the number of risk types. The agents of and the different types of risks are then prioritized based on the risk values in the risk priority matrix.

243 3.6. Calculating total risk per product

The last step in the proposed framework is risk calculation and classification per product type. The risks calculated in Step 5 for each agent are used to calculate the total aggregated risk for the product type based on bill-of-material (BOM) and supply chain structure. An example of how risk is calculated per product type is shown in Fig. 8. The product risk is a combination of customer's risk, part's risk, and manufacturing site's risk. Part risk is calculated based on the risk

of the raw material associated with the part. Raw material risk is calculated based on the risk of the supplier who provides the raw materials. Assuming the parameters for suppler *i* risk (i = 1, 2, ..., M), R_i^s , are: P_i^s and L_i^s , the risk parameters for the raw material j (j = 1, 2, ..., N) is calculated as:

$$P_j^w = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^M (1 - \varepsilon_{ij} P_i^s), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N$$
 (5)

$$L_{j}^{w} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \varepsilon_{ij} L_{i}^{s} P_{i}^{s}}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \varepsilon_{ij} P_{i}^{s}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N$$
(6)

where R_i^s is the risk associated with supplier *i* and the value this risk is 257 calculated by the fuzzy inference system based on the risk likelihood, 258 P_i^s , and impact L_i^s . P_j^w and L_j^w are the risk parameters, likelihood and 259 impact, associated with the raw material *j*. ε_{ij} is a binary variable that 260 takes the value of 1 if supplier *i* is a provider of raw material *j* and 0 261 otherwise. Similarly, the part risk, R_k^p , is calculated based on the risk 262 of the raw materials associated with that part. Product risk is also 263 calculated the same way based on part's risk, customer's risk, and 264 manufacturing site's risk. Let the customer's risk parameters are P_{i}^{C} 265 and $L_{l}^{C}(l = 1, 2, ..., L)$, the manufacturing site's risk parameters are 266 P_q^o and L_q^o (q = 1, 2, ..., Q), and the part's risk parameters are P_k^t and L_k^t (k = 1, 2, ..., K), the aggregated risk parameters for the product are 267 268 calculated as: 269

$$P^{r} = 1 - \left[1 - \prod_{l=1}^{L} \left(1 - \varepsilon_{pl} P_{l}^{c}\right)\right] \left[1 - \prod_{q=1}^{Q} \left(1 - \varepsilon_{pq} P_{q}^{o}\right)\right] \times \left[1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 - \varepsilon_{pk} P_{k}^{t}\right)\right]$$
(7)

271

$$L^{r} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \varepsilon_{pl} L_{l}^{c} P_{l}^{c} + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \varepsilon_{pq} L_{q}^{c} P_{q}^{o} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varepsilon_{pk} L_{k}^{t} P_{k}^{t}}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \varepsilon_{pl} P_{l}^{c} + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \varepsilon_{pq} P_{q}^{o} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varepsilon_{pk} P_{k}^{t}}$$
(8)

4. RRA software application

The rapid risk assessment (*RRA*) software tool was developed 272 based on the proposed framework. An illustration of the structure of 273 the software tool is shown is Fig. 9. The RRA tool combines qualitative and quantitative techniques for the assessment and calculation 275 of the risks in integrated supply chains. The tool consists of five main 276 modules: agent identification, risk identification, agent survey, risk 277

6

ARTICLE IN PRESS

F. Aqlan / Expert Systems With Applications xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Aggregated Likelihood

Fig. 10. Customer agent survey module.

0.28



Aggregated Impact

0.27

278 calculation and aggregation, and risk classification and prioritization. The software tool was developed using VBA and SQL program-279 ming languages. The Agent Identification module identifies the main 280 agents in the supply chain, mainly based on SCOR model. Main agents 281 282 in the supply chain include: environment, suppliers, supplier hubs, 283 manufacturers, distribution centers, and customers. Depending on 284 the supply chain structure, risk can transfer from one agent to an-285 other and affect the whole supply chain. Risk Factor Identification module focuses on identifying the main risk factors that can impact 286 the supply chain. A risk may be caused by one or more risk factors. 287 288 Risk factors are identified based on historical data and/or simulation 289 models as well as the subject matter experts. The Agent Survey module includes a set of questions for the agent to estimate the likeli-290 hood and impact of the risk factors and then the Risk Calculation and 291 Aggregation module calculates the aggregated likelihood and impact 292 of the agent risk (see Fig. 10). For each risk factor, the respondents 293 are asked to estimate the probability of the risk with a number be-294 295 tween 0 and 1 and the estimated impact (also with a value between 0 296 and 1). The Risk Classification and Prioritization module classifies the 297 risks based on their final scores into high, medium, and low risks. The risks are then prioritized so that the high risks can be mitigated first. 298 The main menu of the RRA software tool is shown in Fig. 11. There 299 are seven agents in the integrated supply chain and agents can be 300 added or deleted. Each agent has a drop down menu which include 301 302 all the instances of that agent. The risk score for each agent is calcu-303 lated based on the aggregated likelihood and impact obtained from

Customer Nam

BANK OF GREECE

the Risk Survey module. The calculation of the risk score is based on the fuzzy inference system as discussed earlier. Other options that are considered by the RRA tool include connection to database, connection to a simulation model to assess the risks and their impact, aggregating the risk per product type, and generating risk reports. 309

310

Add Country Risk

Close

5. Risk assessment case study

In order to assess the validity of the proposed framework, the RRA 311 software tool was tested in manufacturing environment for assessing 312 the risk in its integrated supply chain. The company has three main 313 product types; product A, product B and product C. Only the main 314 parts and their associated suppliers were considered in the study. 315 The risk calculations were performed to estimate the final risk scores 316 for the three products. The company has a database for risk data and 317 estimates of the risk likelihood and impact are obtained from sur-318 veys distributed to supply chain risk experts. It was found that the 319 final risk scores for the three products, A, B, and C, are 25, 19, and 320 18%, respectively. This means that the risk levels for products B and 321 C are low (green) where the risk level for product A is medium (yel-322 low) and hence mitigation policies are needed to reduce the risk level. 323 The risk calculations procedure for product A is presented in Fig. 12 324 and the risk report generated by the RRA software is shown in Fig. 325 13. The data collected for the risk likelihood for the five parts are: 326 0.09, 0.30, 0.21, 0.17, and 0.54. The risk impacts for the five parts are: 327

ARTICLE IN PRESS

[m5G;September 3, 2015;14:1]

7

F. Aqlan / Expert Systems With Applications xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 11. Main menu of Rapid Risk Assessment (RRA) tool.



Fig. 12. Risk calculations for Product A.

manufacturing site as:

328 0.74, 0.55, 0.52, 0.10, and 0.52, respectively. Using the fuzzy inference 329 system, the calculated risks for the five parts are: 21.1, 23, 20.3, 16, and 55%, respectively. For customers, the risk likelihoods are: 0.31, 330 0.22, 0.50, 0.07, and 0.11, respectively. The risk impacts for customers 331 are: 0.20, 0.09, 0.33, 0.74, and 0.39, respectively. Based on the val-332 ues of likelihood and impact, the customers' risk values were calcu-333 lated by the fuzzy inference system as: 18, 16, 20, 22, and 18%, respec-334 tively. For the manufacturing sites, the risk parameters are 0.33 and 335 0.45. Using the fuzzy inference system, the manufacturing site's risk 336 is 21%. The risk parameters for product A, likelihood and impact, are 337 338 then calculated based on the risk parameters of customers, parts, and

$$P_{A}^{r} = 1 - \prod_{l=1}^{L} (1 - \varepsilon_{pl} P_{l}^{c}) \prod_{q=1}^{Q} (1 - \varepsilon_{pq} P_{q}^{o}) \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - \varepsilon_{pk} P_{k}^{t})$$

$$= 1 - (1 - 0.19)(1 - 0.22)(1 - 0.33) = 0.58$$

$$L_{A}^{r} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \varepsilon_{pl} L_{l}^{c} P_{l}^{c} + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \varepsilon_{pq} L_{q}^{o} P_{q}^{o} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varepsilon_{pk} L_{k}^{t} P_{k}^{t}}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \varepsilon_{pl} P_{l}^{c} + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \varepsilon_{pq} P_{q}^{o} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varepsilon_{pk} P_{k}^{t}}$$

$$= \frac{0.64 + 0.34 + 0.15}{1.31 + 0.91 + 0.33} = 0.44$$

Please cite this article as: F. Aqlan, A software application for rapid risk assessment in integrated supply chains, Expert Systems With Applications (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2015.08.028

339

8

385

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

Q6

05

Q4

F. Aqlan / Expert Systems With Applications xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

County	Country Risk	Supplier	Supplier Risk	Agg. Suplier Risk	Part	Part Risk	Agg. Part Risk	Manufacturer	Manufacturer Risk	
Country 1	0.23	Supplier 1	0.51	0.42	Dort 1	0.16	0.10	0.211	Manufacturer	0.21
Country 3	0.31	Supplier 3	0.32	0.31	Parti		0.211	Manufacturer 1	0.21	
Country 2	0.18	Supplier 2	0.27	0.22	Part 2	0	0.23	Product	Product Risk	
	1. T. T. T.							Product A	0.36	
Country 3	0.31	Supplier 3	0.32	0.32	Part 3	0.2	0.222			
Country 4	0.17	Supplier 7	0.19	0.18			0.223	Customer	Customer Risk	
						200		Customer 1	0.18	
Country 5	0.21	Suplier 4	0.16	0.18	Part 4	0.17	0.16	Customer 2	0.16	
			1				i	Customer 3	0.2	
Country 6	0.17	Supplier 5	0.63	0.5	Part 5	0.22	0.55	Customer 4	0.22	
Country 6	0.17	Supplier 6	0.31	0.27		Parto	0.52	0.55	Customer 5	0.18

Fig. 13. Risk report for Product A.

References

Using the fuzzy inference system with a likelihood value of 0.58 341 and an impact value of 0.44, the calculated risk for product A is 36%. 342 This value of risk is considered high and the company needs to de-343 velop effective mitigation strategies to reduce the risk levels. 344

345 6. Conclusions and future work

346 Risk management in integrated has become increasingly important in today's competitive and globally dispersed environments. The 347 existing supply chain risk management models and software are not 348 comprehensive and are require a long time to perform the risk assess-349 ment process. This paper presented a framework for risk assessment 350 **02** 351 in integrated framework. The major contributions of this research are two-folds. First, it proposes a framework for rapid assessment of risks 352 353 in integrated supply chains by combining qualitative and quantitative 354 techniques taking into consideration risk correlations and uncertain-355 ties. The proposed framework helps decision makers assess the risks 356 per product type and compare and prioritize the risks of the different product types. Second, it develops a software application that helps 357 the risk management decisions to be fast and easy. The proposed soft-358 ware was developed using VBA and SQL programming languages. The 359 360 software tool is flexible and it allows the user to add or delete agents, 361 connect to database, and connect to simulation models. Furthermore, the risk survey's questions can also be changed and/or replaced. The 362 application of the proposed framework in a real manufacturing en-363 vironment has been carried out to assess the proposed system. Re-364 365 sults from the case study showed that the assessment obtained by 366 the proposed framework agrees with what the risk management ex-367 perts think about the risk levels in the company.

368 The major limitation of this research is that subjective weights are assigned to the risks to calculate the aggregated values. These 369 370 weights are decided by the subject matter experts. Usually, higher weights are assigned to the higher risk values and based on this 371 an equation can be developed to link the weights to the risk val-372 ues without having the decision makers assign them. Furthermore, 373 this research did not discuss the two risk factor identification meth-374 375 ods: simulation and historical data analysis. As an extension to the 376 work performed in this research, the risk factor identification meth-377 ods, namely simulation and historical data, can be further investigated. Data mining and big data analysis techniques can also be uti-378 lized for risk management considering structured and unstructured 379 data. In addition, to deal with the uncertainty inherent with the risk 380 data, methods other than fuzzy logic can be used such as Monte Carlo 381 382 Simulation, Utility Theory, and Information Theory.

383 **Uncited references**

03 384 Alpan & Gonca, 2010, Aqlan & Lam, 2015b

- Alpan, G., & Gonca, T. (2010). Risk assessment and management for supply chain net-386 works: a case study. Computers in Industry, 61(3), 250-259.
- Aqlan, F., & Ali, E. M. (2014). Integrating lean principles and fuzzy bow-tie analysis for risk assessment in chemical industry. Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 29, 39-48.
- Aqlan, F., & Lam, S. S. (2015a). A fuzzy-based integrated framework for supply chain risk assessment. International Journal of Production Economics, 161, 54-63.
- Aqlan, F., & Lam, S. S. (2015b). Supply chain risk modeling and mitigation. International Journal of Production Research, 53(18), 5640-5656.
- Blackhurst, J., & Wu, T. (Eds.). (2009). Managing supply chain risk and vulnerability: Tools and methods for supply chain decision makers (p. 12). London, UK: Springer

Bogataj, D., & Bogataj, M. (2007). Measuring the supply chain risk and vulnerability in frequency space. International Journal of Production Economics, 1-2(108), 291-301.

Chen, J., Sohal, A., & Prajogo, D. (2013). Supply chain operational risk mitigation: a collaborative approach. International Journal of Production Research, 75(1), 2186-2199. Chopra, S., & Sodhi, M. S. (2004). Managing risk to avoid supply-chain breakdown. MIT

Sloan Management Review, 46(1), 52-61. Diabat, A., Govindan, K., & Panicker, V. (2012). Supply chain risk management and its

- mitigation in a food industry. International Journal of Production Research, 50(11), 3039-3050. Ebrahimnejad, S., Mousavi, S., & Seyrafianpour, H. (2010). Risk identification and assess-
- ment for build-operate-transfer projects: A fuzzy multi attribute decision making model. Expert Systems with Applications, 37(1), 575-586.
- Fugini, M., Teimourikia, M., & Hadjichristofi, G. (2015). A web-based cooperative tool for risk management with adaptive security. Future Generation Computer Systems (in press).
- Goh, M., Lim, J. Y., & Meng, F. (2007). A stochastic model for risk management in global supply chain networks. European Journal of Operational Research, 182(1), 164-173.
- Hamidi, H. (2011). A fuzzy MCDM model for allocating orders to suppliers in a supply chain under uncertainty over a multi-period time horizon. Expert Systems with Applications, 38(8), 9076-9083.
- Hochrainer-Stigler, S., Mechler, R., & Mochizuki, J. (2015). A risk management tool for tackling county-wide contingent disasters: a case study on Madagascar. Environmental Modelling and Software, 72, 44-55.
- Lim, S. J. (2010). Risk response strategies in the supply chain: Examining attributes of stakeholders and risk atitude. Master Thesis, Singapore Management University. Merna, T., & Al-Thani, F. F. (2005). Corporate risk management: An organizational per-
- spective. West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sarkar, A., & Mohapatra, P. K. (2009). Determining the optimal Size of supply base with the consideration of risks of supply disruptions. International Journal of Production Economics, 122(1), 122-135.
- Schmitt, A. J. (2009). Quantifying supply chain disruption risk using Monte Carlo and discrete-event simulation. In Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference (pp. 1237-1248).
- Sheffi, Y. (2002). Supply chain management under the threat of international terrorism. International Journal of Logistics Management, 12(2), 1-12.
- Stornetta, A., Engeli, B., Zarn, J., Gremaud, G., & Sturla, S. (2015). Development of a risk management tool for prioritizing chemical harzard-food pairs and demonstration for selected mycotoxins. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 72(2), 257-265.

Tse, Y., & Tan, K. (2011). Managing product quality risk in a multi-tier global supply chain. International Journal of Production Research, 49(1), 139-158.

Wakolbinger, T., & Cruz, J. M. (2011). Supply chain disruption risk management through strategic information acquisition and sharing and risk-sharing contracts. International Journal of Production Research, 49(13), 4063-4084.

Waters, D. (2007). Supply chain risk management: Vulnerability and resilience in logistics. London, UK: Kogan Page Ltd.

Wu, T., Blackhurst, J., & Chidambaram, V. (2006). A model for inbound supply risk anal-442 ysis. Computers in Industry, 57(4), 350-365. 443